

Submission Data File

General Information	
Form Type*	10-K
Contact Name	M2 Compliance
Contact Phone	754-243-5120
Filer Accelerated Status*	Non-Accelerated Filer
Filer File Number	
Filer CIK*	0001850767 (Accustem Sciences Inc.)
Filer CCC*	*****
Filer is Shell Company*	N
Filer is Smaller Reporting Company	Yes
Filer is Voluntary Filer*	N
Filer is Well Known Seasoned Issuer*	N
Confirming Copy	No
Notify via Website only	No
Return Copy	Yes
SROS*	NONE
Depositor CIK	
Period*	12-31-2024
ABS Asset Class Type	
ABS Sub Asset Class Type	
Sponsor CIK	
Emerging Growth Company	Yes
Elected not to use extended transition period	No
(End General Information)	

Document Information	
File Count*	6
Document Name 1*	form10-k.htm
Document Type 1*	10-K
Document Description 1	
Document Name 2*	ex19-1.htm
Document Type 2*	EX-19.1
Document Description 2	
Document Name 3*	ex31-1.htm
Document Type 3*	EX-31.1
Document Description 3	
Document Name 4*	ex31-2.htm
Document Type 4*	EX-31.2
Document Description 4	
Document Name 5*	ex32-1.htm
Document Type 5*	EX-32.1
Document Description 5	
Document Name 6*	ex32-2.htm
Document Type 6*	EX-32.2
Document Description 6	
(End Document Information)	

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2024

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number: 000-56257

ACCUSTEM SCIENCES, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware

(State of other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

87-3774438

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

5 Penn Plaza, 19th Floor, #1954 New York, NY

(Address of principal executive offices)

10001

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: 00 44 2074952379

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Title of each class	Trading Symbol(s)	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock	ACUT	OTCQB Venture Marketplace ("OTCQB")

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b 2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant whether the registrant has filed a report on and attestation to its management's assessment of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting under Section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (15 U.S.C. 7262(b)) by the registered public accounting firm that prepared or issued its audit report.

If securities are registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act, indicate by check mark whether the financial statements of the registrant included in the filing reflect the correction of an error to previously issued financial statements.

Indicate by check mark whether any of those error corrections are restatements that required a recovery analysis of incentive-based compensation received by any of the registrant's executive officers during the relevant recovery period pursuant to §240.10D-1(b).

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b 2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the Company's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$2,179,335, computed by reference to the closing sale price of the common stock on the OTCQB Venture Marketplace on June 30, 2024, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter.

As of March 31, 2025, there were 12,100,535 shares of Common Stock, \$0.001 par value outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE: None

PART I		
Item 1.	Business	4
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	19
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	49
Item 1C.	Cybersecurity	49
Item 2.	Properties	50
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	50
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	50
PART II		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	51
Item 6.	[Reserved]	51
Item 7.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	51
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	56
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	F-1
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	57
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	57
Item 9B.	Other Information	58
Item 9C.	Disclosure Regarding Foreign Jurisdictions that Prevent Inspections	58
PART III		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	58
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	61
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholders Matters	64
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	65
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	66
PART IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statements and Schedules	67
Item 16.	Form 10-K Summary	67

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AND OTHER INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT

This Annual Report on Form 10-K (this “Annual Report”) contains “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, that are intended to be covered by the “safe harbor” created by those sections. Forward-looking statements, which are based on certain assumptions and describe our future plans, strategies and expectations, can generally be identified by the use of forward-looking terms such as “believe,” “expect,” “may,” “will,” “should,” “would,” “could,” “seek,” “intend,” “plan,” “goal,” “project,” “estimate,” “anticipate,” “strategy,” “future,” “likely” or the negative thereof or other variations thereon or other comparable terminology. All statements other than statements of historical facts included in this Annual Report regarding our strategies, prospects, financial condition, operations, costs, plans and objectives are forward-looking statements. Examples of forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements we make regarding: expectations for revenues, cash flows and financial performance and the anticipated results of our ongoing development and business strategies.

Forward-looking statements are neither historical facts nor assurances of future performance. Instead, they are based only on our current beliefs, expectations and assumptions regarding the future of our business, future plans and strategies, projections, anticipated events and trends, the economy and other future conditions. Because forward-looking statements relate to the future, they are subject to inherent uncertainties, risks and changes in circumstances that are difficult to predict and many of which are outside of our control. Our actual results and financial condition may differ materially from those indicated in the forward-looking statements. Therefore, you should not rely on any of these forward-looking statements. Important factors that could cause our actual results and financial condition to differ materially from those indicated in the forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, the following:

- the success, cost and timing of our clinical development of our products, including the progress of, and results from, our preclinical and
- clinical trials of MSC or StemPrintER products, our discovery programs and other potential product candidates;
- our ability to compete with companies currently marketing or engaged in the development of treatments for indications that our product candidates are designed to target;
- our plans to pursue research and development of other future product candidates;
- the potential advantages of our product candidates and those being developed;
- the rate and degree of market acceptance and clinical utility of our product candidates;
- the success of our collaborations and partnerships with third parties;
- our estimates regarding the potential market opportunity for our product candidates;
- our sales, marketing and distribution capabilities and strategy;
- our ability to establish and maintain arrangements for manufacture of our product candidates;
- our intellectual property position;
- our expectations related to the use of capital;
- our estimates regarding expenses, future revenues, capital requirements and needs for additional financing;
- the impact of government laws and regulations; and
- our competitive position.

The forward-looking statements are based upon management’s beliefs and assumptions and are made as of the date of this report. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements included in this report. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements.

This report also contains or may contain estimates, projections and other information concerning our industry and our business, including data regarding the estimated size of our markets and their projected growth rates. Information that is based on estimates, forecasts, projections or similar methodologies is inherently subject to uncertainties and actual events or circumstances may differ materially from events and circumstances reflected in this information. Unless otherwise expressly stated, we obtained these industry, business, market and other data from reports, studies and similar data prepared by third parties, industry and general publications, government data and similar sources. In some cases, we do not expressly refer to the sources from which these data are derived.

Unless otherwise stated or the context otherwise requires, the terms “AccuStem” “we,” “us,” “our” and the “Company” refer collectively to AccuStem and, where appropriate, its subsidiaries.

Summary of Risk Factors

Our business is subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, including those highlighted in this section below, that represent challenges that we face in connection with the successful implementation of our strategy. The occurrence of one or more of the events or circumstances described in more detail in the risk factors below, alone or in combination with other events or circumstances, may have an adverse effect on our business, cash flows, financial condition and results of operations. Such risks include, but are not limited to:

- We have incurred net losses in every year since our inception. We anticipate that we will continue to incur losses for the foreseeable future and may never achieve or maintain profitability.

- We need substantial additional funding to complete the development of our product candidates, which may not be available on acceptable terms, if at all. Failure to obtain this necessary capital when needed may force us to delay, limit or terminate certain of our product development, research operations or future commercialization efforts, if any.
- Our recurring losses from operations raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. There is no assurance that we will be successful in executing upon our operating plan and be able to maintain an adequate level of liquidity, which would result in not being able to continue as a going concern.
- Our rights to develop and commercialize our product candidates are subject to the terms and conditions of licenses granted to us by others. If we fail to comply with our obligations under our existing and any future intellectual property licenses with third parties, we could lose license rights that are important to our business.
- If we are unable to obtain and maintain patent protection for our product candidates and technology, or if the scope of our patent protection is not sufficiently broad, our competitors could develop and commercialize similar products and technology.
- We do not have collaborations in place with institutions for utility studies and there is no guarantee that we will be able to demonstrate prospective clinical utility of MSC and/or StemPrintER.
- We are dependent on third parties who provide certain resources and services to us, as we have limited resources.
- We operate in a competitive market and will face competition from competitors involved in multi-gene prognostic assay for the prediction of risk of recurrence in luminal (ER+/HER2-) breast cancer patients.
- We are reliant upon the expertise and continued service of a small number of key individuals of our management, Board of Directors and scientific advisors.
- Even if we are successful in completing all pre-clinical studies and clinical trials, we may not be successful in commercializing one or more of our product candidates.
- We will need to expand our organization and may experience difficulties in managing this growth, which could disrupt our operations.
- Our employees, independent contractors, consultants, commercial partners, collaborators and vendors may engage in misconduct or other improper activities, including noncompliance with regulatory standards and requirements.

Item 1. Business

Company Overview

We are a clinical stage diagnostics company dedicated to improving quality of life and outcomes for the more than 18 million people worldwide who are diagnosed with cancer each year. Our plan is to develop and commercialize a suite of novel genomic tests that support decision making along the entire continuum of oncology care. Our focus will be the launch of our proprietary genomic tests, MSC (MicroRNA Signature Classifier) for patients with lung nodules and StemPrintER for patients with early stage breast cancer. We estimate this market opportunity represents more than \$6.3 billion in annual revenue in the US, where we will focus our initial commercialization efforts.

The MSC test, a 24-micro RNA (miRNA) assay designed to help determine whether lung nodules identified by LDCT (low dose computer tomography) screening are benign or malignant. The test was designed to minimize overtreatment and undertreatment of the 1.6 million patients diagnosed with lung nodules each year in the US, while simultaneously reducing costs to the healthcare system by improving the accuracy of LDCT alone. MSC has been validated in multiple prospective, randomized cohorts representing over 5,000 patients and published in top tier journals including the *Journal of Clinical Oncology*.

StemPrintER, a 20-gene prognostic assay intended to predict the risk of distant recurrence (“DR”) in luminal (ER+/HER2-negative) breast cancer patients. The assay was developed to measure the “stemness” of tumors, or how much a tumor behaves like stem cells which could indicate how likely a cancer is to recur or be resistant to standard treatments, ultimately impacting how patients are managed by their multi-disciplinary care team. StemPrintER has been validated in more than 3,000 patients across multiple clinical cohorts including the TransATAC study. Together, these data confirm that StemPrintER is highly prognostic for outcomes in patients with breast cancer and indicate the potential utility of the test in the oncology clinic.

Beyond our initial plans for MSC and StemPrintER, we believe there is significant opportunity to expand our product portfolio. First, given the broad applicability of tumor “stemness”, which has been evaluated in a multitude of different cancers, we believe the StemPrint platform will have meaningful clinical utility beyond breast cancer. As such, we will seek to validate and commercialize StemPrint for a variety of different tumor types. In addition, we plan to offer ancillary commodity testing (e.g., hereditary genetic testing, somatic mutation testing) that augments our proprietary assays and provides additional information and value to patients and physicians throughout the patient care continuum.

Emerging Growth Company Status

On April 5, 2012, the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act (the “JOBS Act”) was enacted. Section 107 of the JOBS Act provides that an “emerging growth company” can take advantage of the extended transition period provided in Section 7(a)(2)(B) of the Securities Act for complying with new or revised accounting standards. In other words, an “emerging growth company” can delay the adoption of certain accounting standards until those standards would otherwise apply to private companies.

We have chosen to take advantage of the extended transition periods available to emerging growth companies under the JOBS Act for complying with new or revised accounting standards until those standards would otherwise apply to private companies provided under the JOBS Act. As a result, our financial statements may not be comparable to those of companies that comply with public company effective dates for complying with new or revised accounting standards.

Subject to certain conditions set forth in the JOBS Act, as an “emerging growth company,” we intend to rely on certain of these exemptions, including, without limitation, (i) providing an auditor’s attestation report on our internal controls over financial reporting pursuant to Section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as amended, and (ii) complying with the requirement adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the communication of critical audit matters in the auditor’s report on financial statements. We will remain an “emerging growth company” until the earliest of (i) the last day of the fiscal year in which we have total annual gross revenues of \$1.235 billion or more; (ii) the last day of our fiscal year following the fifth anniversary of the date of the completion of our IPO; (iii) the date on which we have issued more than \$1 billion in nonconvertible debt during the previous three years; or (iv) the date on which we are deemed to be a large accelerated filer under the rules of the SEC.

MSC and Market Opportunity in Lung Cancer

Each year, nearly 2.5 million people are diagnosed with lung cancer worldwide. Historically, lung cancer has been identified at later stages where cure is not possible. To improve outcomes for patients, low dose computed tomography (LDCT) screening programs have been implemented in some countries to detect lung cancer earlier. While these programs have been endorsed by medical societies, they have also led to a significant increase in the detection of lung nodules creating a clinical dilemma for patients and their physicians. Most patients with a lung nodule will not have cancer but in most cases clinical factors alone are not sufficient to determine which nodules require further intervention (e.g., biopsy) versus surveillance. In order to facilitate more efficient and effective patient care, genomics have been proposed as a means of supporting the decision-making process regarding the ideal care path for patients with lung nodules.

MSC was designed to interrogate miRNA’s as a more comprehensive measure of cancer risk as compared to historic assays that evaluate genomics and proteomics. The assay evaluates blood samples collected from patients using a robust real-time quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) platform. MSC was validated in more than 5,000 patients with and without lung nodules across multiple prospective clinical cohorts.

Our plan is to commercialize MSC for the 1.6 million patients diagnosed with lung nodules in the US each year, translating to a serviceable market opportunity of more than \$5.5 billion.

MSC Background and Clinical Research History

With the increasing incidence of cancer cases globally, many of which are diagnosed at a late stage, earlier detection via cancer screening has become a primary focus in the oncology space. Recently, research has shown the potential of micro RNAs (miRNAs) for the early detection of imperceptible cancers due to their stability and ubiquity in many bodily fluids, including blood.

The MSC test was designed to evaluate 24 miRNAs in plasma samples from patients diagnosed with lung nodules to improve the performance of LDCT screening alone. The test has been extensively validated in more than 5,000 patients from multiple prospective, randomized trials that have demonstrated MSC’s ability to more accurately stratify lung nodules as benign or malignant. In the MILD trial, published in *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, the test demonstrated 87% sensitivity, 81% specificity and 99% negative predictive value. Importantly, the MILD trial also demonstrated that MSC in combination with LDCT has the potential to reduce the false positive rate of LDCT alone by a factor of five. Additional analyses from the bioMILD trial, published in *Annals of Oncology* and *The Lancet Regional Health – Europe*, have confirmed the ability of MSC to accurately stratify patients with and without lung nodules detected by LDCT. While the former publication demonstrated that with one-time MSC testing MSC+ patients were 2x as likely to have cancer as MSC- patients, the latter publication showed that with annual MSC testing MSC+ patients were more than 4x as likely to have cancer versus MSC- patients. These more recent data demonstrate the value and importance of serial MSC testing in combination with LDCT. Together, both screening modalities have the potential to better triage patients diagnosed with lung nodules, improve patient outcomes and save costs to the healthcare system.

Commercialization of the MSC Test

The ability to effectively triage patients with lung nodules is a significant and growing clinical need that could be addressed with more advanced and novel genomic testing. The substantial level of high quality clinical evidence for the MSC test demonstrates the immediate relevance of MSC for the clinical management of lung nodules.

In order to commercialize a proprietary genomic classifier, it must meet two important benchmarks- the test must have sufficient data to be used in the clinical management of patients and have enough peer reviewed publications to obtain reimbursement from CMS and other payers. With our four publications in top-tier scientific journals, we believe MSC has met the minimum threshold to enable commercialization. Thus, we plan to launch MSC once we have achieved several key milestones. The first- identifying or building a laboratory that will be responsible for processing, testing and reporting MSC results for all commercial samples- has been achieved by partnering with a commercial laboratory, EmeritusDx, located in Lake Forest, CA. The next milestone, transferring MSC from the laboratory in which it was developed to a commercial laboratory in the US, is actively under way. Finally, once testing is established in that partner laboratory, we will seek to obtain U.S. Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (“CLIA”) certification so that we are able to report results for clinical use and to seek reimbursement from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. We anticipate that it will take at least 18 months to complete these milestones. Once those tasks are complete, we plan to initially launch StemPrintER in the US and then expand to other markets as we evaluate clinical need and revenue opportunity. See “ - IEO/University of Milan License Agreement” for information regarding the License which could impact our ability to implement our plans.

To augment the value proposition of MSC, we also plan to offer additional “commodity” testing (e.g., next generation sequencing). These additional tests should create significant value for our customers while leveraging existing laboratory equipment and processes for economy of scale and providing additional revenue opportunities to the Company.

StemPrintER and Market Opportunity in Breast Cancer

Each year, more than two million women are diagnosed with breast cancer worldwide. Endocrine receptor positive (ER+) breast cancers constitute the majority of breast cancer cases (~75%) and display remarkable variability in clinical behavior. This heterogeneity makes prognosis and therapy response often challenging to predict using the standard clinicopathological features of the tumor. Although the overall prognosis for this group of patients is good, a significant proportion (~20%) of these patients will experience distant recurrence in the first ten years post-surgery. For ER+ patients who also have a negative HER2 status (HER2-), the standard of care is endocrine therapy with the addition of adjuvant chemotherapy in those patients considered to be at risk of recurrence according to clinicopathological parameters. However, it has become apparent that these parameters are often insufficient to predict risk of recurrence in ER+/HER2- breast cancer patients, and, as a consequence, a significant proportion of these patients are either over- or under-treated. We anticipate StemPrintER will be used in conjunction with clinical evaluation to identify a patient’s risk of recurrence to help physicians optimize treatment planning throughout the care continuum.

We believe StemPrintER has a novel biological basis in the stem cell biology and interrogates the intrinsic content and aggressiveness of cancer stem cells of the primary tumor. The assay uses a reliable real-time quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR), formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) platform for testing. StemPrintER was developed and clinically validated in a retrospective analysis using a consecutive series of approximately 2,400 patients with breast cancer from the IEO. Subsequently, StemPrintER was independently validated using a cohort of approximately 800 ER+/HER2- postmenopausal patients from the prospective, randomized TransATAC trial.

Our plan is to commercialize StemPrintER for early stage breast cancer, representing an estimated US population of 214,000 patients and a serviceable market opportunity of more than \$800 million annually.

StemPrintER Background and Clinical Research History

The development and validation of multi-gene assays that interrogate the underlying biology of tumors for accurate prognostication of individual cancer patients has represented an expanding area of research for more than a decade. The theory that all tumors arise from cancer stem cells, and the increasing recognition of their relevance to tumor heterogeneity and disease course suggests that the knowledge of the “degree of stemness” of a breast cancer, or how much a tumor behaves like stem cells, might substantially advance individualized patient management. Research has shown that a “high stemness” signature in cancer is a primary rationale for disease recurrence given cancer stem cells are highly adaptable and able to grow indefinitely.

StemPrintER was developed to be a novel genomic predictor of patient outcomes based on 20 “stemness” genes whose expression levels would be capable of stratifying patients into two distinct groups: those at very low risk of cancer recurrence and those at an increased risk of their cancer returning. This information is intended to inform treatment planning at various timepoints throughout the patient care continuum. Through a validation study analyzing a large prospective, randomized cohort of breast cancer patients with high-quality follow-up, and a series of retrospective studies based on the use of fresh tumor samples and gene expression profiles from additional breast cancer patients, it has been established that StemPrintER predicts the individual likelihood of developing distant metastases in luminal (ER+/HER2-) and triple negative breast cancers.

The largest validation study for StemPrintER involved the retrospective analysis of nearly 2,400 breast tumor samples collected through the IEO clinical network. In this cohort, StemPrintER High Risk patients (“SPRS High”) were 1.85 times more likely to have a distant recurrence compared to Low Risk (“SPRS Low”) patients. In the TransATAC cohort, a team of scientists from the IEO and collaborating institutions conducted an independent validation of StemPrintER and compared its performance to OncotypeDX. Analyses were completed for distant recurrence (DR) risk over different time intervals throughout the 10-year follow-up period. Our study results showed that StemPrintER was highly prognostic for recurrence risk (Hazard Ratio (High Risk vs. Low Risk)= 4.27 (95% CI: 2.67-6.84), $p < 0.0001$). Additionally, StemPrintER outperformed Oncotype DX RS in 10-year DR risk prediction in all patients, as well as in N0 and N1-3 patients.

Commercialization of the StemPrint Platform and StemPrintER

From a clinical standpoint, although future studies are warranted to increase the level of clinical evidence of the reliability and applicability of the 20-gene test, the recent independent validation using the TransATAC cohort demonstrates the immediate relevance of StemPrintER for the clinical management of breast cancer patients, in particular, for those with ER+/HER2- disease. These luminal patients represent the majority (~75%) of newly-diagnosed cases and display high molecular heterogeneity and variability in their clinical behavior. Accordingly, ER+/HER2- breast cancer patients can greatly benefit from accurate stratification of their risk of recurrence for the development of an optimal treatment plan.

Historically in breast cancer, multi-gene assays have been used to inform the role of systemic therapy following surgery. While we believe that StemPrintER may have the same ability, especially in identifying patients with excellent long-term prognosis who would not derive significant benefit from adjuvant chemotherapy, we plan to focus on answering alternative clinical questions not addressed by current commercially-available products. We will devote our resources to obtaining established cohorts of patients and running prospective clinical trials that may demonstrate a broader utility for StemPrintER.

Our commercialization plan for StemPrintER testing, beyond the need for additional clinical studies, is similar to our strategy for MSC. We need to achieve the same milestones and will provide testing through the same partner laboratory. Additionally, as with MSC, we also plan to offer additional “commodity” testing (e.g., IHC receptor status, hereditary gene panel) with the StemPrintER. These ancillary tests should create significant value for our customers while offering operational economies of scale and additional revenue opportunities.

Given the broad applicability of tumor “stemness”, which has been evaluated in a multitude of different cancers, we believe the StemPrint platform will have meaningful clinical utility beyond breast cancer. As such, we will seek to validate and commercialize StemPrint in a variety of different tumor types. Each tumor type, where applicable, would also include ancillary testing to boost our value proposition to customers.

Reimbursement Strategy

Our revenue is expected to be derived from different sources including standard private third-party and government medical insurance coverage and reimbursement models. Prior to full commercial scaling, we expect to focus our sales efforts on a small number of early adopting sites to establish ordering history with payers, effective logistics and additional clinical utility, subject to successful validation trials and approvals under the CLIA certification or upon the obtaining of a CE mark for the test, which serves as proof of conformity with European health, safety and environmental production standards.

Competition

Genetic, genomic and/or biomarker testing plays an important and continually evolving role in the oncology space. Several companies offer testing that might be competitive with MSC and StemPrintER.

Lung Cancer Screening

Nodify Lung (Biodesix) consists of two blood-based proteomic tests, the Nodify CDT[®] & Nodify XL2[®] tests to help providers decipher the risk of malignancy of a lung nodule. The test is for patients with a lung nodule detected by LDCT.

Percepta Nasal Swab (Veracyte) is a test that is designed to help physicians identify with high accuracy which patients with lung nodules detected on CT are low risk for cancer and which are high risk for cancer. The test is for patients that have inconclusive diagnostic results from their lung nodule biopsy.

Breast Cancer

Breast Cancer Index (Hologic) is an assay that is designed to predict the likelihood of late breast cancer recurrence and determine the need for extended endocrine therapy (an additional five years of endocrine therapy beyond the standard five years). The test is for pre- and post-menopausal patients with ER+/HER2- disease and up to three positive lymph nodes.

EndoPredict (Eurobio Scientific) is a CE-marked assay that is designed to predict the likelihood of metastases developing within ten years of an initial breast cancer diagnosis. The test is for pre- and post-menopausal patients with early stage ER+/HER2- breast cancer and up to three positive lymph nodes.

MammaPrint (Agendia) is an FDA-cleared, CE-marked assay that is designed to assess the risk of distant recurrence within 5 years and whether a person would benefit from chemotherapy. The test is for pre- and post-menopausal patients with stage 1 or 2 breast cancer, with a tumor size of 5 centimeters or less, and LN-negative or LN-positive disease (up to three positive nodes). The test can be used irrespective of ER and HER2 status.

OncotypeDX (Exact Sciences) is a CE-marked assay that is designed to assess the risk of distant metastasis and to predict the need for chemotherapy in patients with ER+/HER2-breast cancer. The test can be used in pre- and post-menopausal patients with up to three positive lymph nodes.

Prosigna (Veracyte) is a CE-marked assay designed to provide information on breast cancer subtype and to predict distant recurrence-free survival at ten years. The test is for postmenopausal patients with early-stage ER+/HER2- breast cancer that is LN-negative or LN-positive (up to 3 positive nodes).

Pan Cancer

The “commodity” testing that we plan to offer (e.g., IHC receptor testing, somatic mutation testing, hereditary genetic testing) has numerous competitors in industry (e.g., Ambry, Color Health, Foundation Medicine, Guardant, Invitae, Laboratory Corporation of America, Natera, Neogenomics, Quest Diagnostics, Tempus) and academic and hospital settings.

Government Regulation

U.S. health regulatory overview

The following provides an overview of key aspects of laboratory service and medical device regulation within the U.S. It should be noted this overview does not address every facet of regulation at the federal and state level, but only those that would generally be most relevant to the activities described in this registration statement.

Federal and state clinical laboratory licensing requirements

The CLIA governs the operations of all clinical laboratories operating in or returning results to individuals in the U.S. CLIA is administered by The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (‘CMS’), in partnership with state health departments. A clinical laboratory is defined as a laboratory that performs testing on specimens derived from humans for the purpose of providing information for the diagnosis, prevention or treatment of disease, or the assessment of health. Clinical laboratories must hold a certificate applicable to the type of laboratory examinations they perform and must demonstrate compliance with regulations addressing, among other things, personnel qualification and training, record keeping, quality control, and proficiency testing, all of which are intended to ensure the timeliness, reliability, and accuracy of clinical laboratory testing services. CLIA requires that laboratories demonstrate or verify the analytical validity of all tests they perform. Where a clinical laboratory analyses specimens based on a proprietary test method (i.e., a laboratory developed test, ‘LDT’), the laboratory must, among other things, document the accuracy, precision, specificity, sensitivity of, and establish a reference range for, such test.

CMS provides for exemption from CLIA for states that develop clinical laboratory standards that are at least as stringent as federal requirements. Both New York and Washington State are exempt from CLIA. The NYS Clinical Laboratory Evaluation Program requires all independent clinical laboratories operating in, or testing specimens from, NYS to obtain a laboratory permit prior to commencing operations, and all clinical laboratories performing LDTs to submit test validation documentation demonstrating the tests’ analytical and clinical validity.

Failure to comply with CLIA certification and state clinical laboratory licensure requirements may result in a range of enforcement actions, including certificate or license suspension, limitation, or revocation, directed plan of action, onsite monitoring, civil monetary penalties, criminal sanctions, and revocation of the laboratory’s approval to receive Medicare and Medicaid payment for its services, as well as significant adverse publicity.

Food and Drug Administration

The FDA regulates, among other medical products, “medical devices” which include certain articles intended for use in the diagnosis, prevention, cure, mitigation, or treatment of disease or intended to effect the structure or function of the body. Whether a product is intended for use as a medical device is generally determined, in the first instance, based on the manufacturer’s product labelling, which includes the label affixed to the product, materials distributed with the product, and promotional communications concerning the product.

Devices classified as Class I (low risk), generally may be marketed without FDA pre-market review, but are subject to “general controls”, including establishment registration, device listing, record keeping, medical device reporting, and quality system regulations, including design controls. Devices classified as Class II (moderate risk), may, in addition to general controls, also be subject to “special controls” (e.g., performance standards / manufacturing standards, post-market surveillance, patient registries, special labelling requirements, pre-market data requirements and guidelines), and also generally must obtain 510(k) premarket clearance or DeNovo authorization from FDA. Class III (high risk) devices must, in addition to general controls, obtain FDA pre-market approval through the submission of a pre-market approval application that contains evidence, including data from adequate and well-controlled clinical studies, demonstrating that the device is safe and effective for its intended use. In general, devices that require FDA pre-market clearance or DeNovo authorization may not be commercially distributed or promoted prior to obtaining such authorization, although they may be distributed and used for the purpose of developing the clinical data necessary to support FDA marketing applications, subject to certain limitations. Post-market changes to a cleared / authorized or approved device also may be subject to prior review by FDA, depending on the scope of the change and its potential impact on device safety and effectiveness.

It should also be emphasized that this pre-market review process is only one facet of FDA’s regulation. For example, FDA regulates product labelling, including promotional claims; the manufacturing of medical devices, including their design, under FDA quality system requirements; clinical trials with new or modified products; and post-market monitoring for, reporting of, and action related to, safety concerns. Failure to comply with applicable pre and post-market device requirements can result in a determination by FDA that a device is “adulterated” (Section 501) or “misbranded” (Section 502) in violation of the U.S. Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetics Act. The statute provides for a number of penalties, including seizure, injunction, criminal, and civil monetary penalties, for the sale or distribution of adulterated or misbranded devices. In general, prior to undertaking enforcement action, FDA will notify a regulated entity of a violation or suspected 36 violation through a communication, such as a “Warning Letter” or “Untitled Letter”. If FDA identifies violations during inspection of a manufacturer’s facility, the agency will issue a Form 483 listing the identified violation and directing the manufacturer to make the necessary corrections.

FDA regulation of software

Commercially distributed software applications that meet the definition of a medical device may be subject to FDA pre-market authorization, depending on their classification and software function. These include both applications that are components of a hardware medical device and certain “standalone” software. In 2017, FDA issued final guidance adopting international principles established by the International Medical Device Regulators Forum for the clinical evaluation of software as a medical device (“SaMD”), which refers to software that is intended to be used for one or more medical purposes that perform these purposes without being part of a hardware medical device. In 2019, FDA issued a guidance that provides guidance on FDA’s oversight of device software functions including mobile medical apps that meet the definition of a device. While the guidance is not binding on either FDA or regulated industry, FDA intends to consider the principles in developing regulatory approaches for SaMD as well as for digital health technologies.

FDA regulation of LDTs

FDA regulates a category of medical devices, called in vitro diagnostic medical devices, or IVDs, that are used in the collection, preparation, and examination of specimens from the human body. IVDs include reagents, instruments, and systems that are intended for use in diagnosis of disease or other conditions, including the state of health, in order to cure, mitigate, treat, or prevention disease or its sequelae. FDA historically has taken the position that tests developed in-house by a clinical laboratory and used to analyze patient specimens meet the definition of an IVD and fall within the agency’s regulatory jurisdiction. At the same time, FDA historically has for the most part exercised “enforcement discretion,” i.e., has not required clinical laboratories performing LDTs to comply with IVD device requirements. In the past, FDA has signaled intent to modify its enforcement discretion policy with regard to LDT regulation, and in 2014 proposed a regulatory framework for LDTs, which it abandoned before implementation in 2016. The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) has determined that LDTs do not require a premarket review with FDA, but rather an applicant may voluntarily submit a premarket notification or premarket approval (or an Emergency Use Authorization in the case of COVID-19 tests) for their LDT. It is possible that Congress will enact legislation directing FDA to regulate LDTs.

On June 24, 2021, the U.S. Congress continued its effort to establish a new, risk-based framework for the review and approval of LDTs that would accelerate innovation and improve the quality of testing, reintroduced a revised version of the Verifying Accurate Leading-edge IVCT Development (VALID) Act. In its initial construction, the VALID Act may have a significant impact on clinical laboratories as they will need to comply with several new requirements, including:

- Registration and listing with FDA;
- Quality requirements;
- Investigational studies;
- Premarket review and approval or clearance;
- Adverse event reporting; and
- Corrections and removals.

While the VALID Act outlines a framework for these elements (among others), the law, if enacted, would direct FDA over the following years to promulgate regulations and issue guidance documents, giving clinical laboratories and others the opportunity to participate in shaping the new IVCT regulatory program.

The U.S. Federal Trade Commission and Consumer Protection Laws

Within the U.S., the U.S. Federal Trade Commission (“FTC”), has authority to regulate advertising for most medical devices and for laboratory services. In addition, various state consumer protection laws exist which can similarly regulate claims that are being made by entities with respect to what benefits their products or services can provide to consumers. In some instances, FTC or U.S. states have taken action with respect to medical products based on claims being made with respect to, e.g., their benefits to patients, seeking various penalties, such as injunctions and substantial fines. Activities have focused more, to date, on products that are sold directly to consumers, such as dietary supplements, as opposed to prescription products ordered by physicians, although the possibility exists that FTC or other consumer protection bodies could take steps to regulate claims with respect to IVDs or LDTs.

Fraud and Abuse

The significant U.S. fraud and abuse laws include the:

- Anti-Kickback Statute: the federal U.S. Anti-Kickback Statute (42 U.S. Code § 1320a–7b(b) imposes criminal penalties on persons and entities for, among other things, knowingly and willfully soliciting, offering, receiving or providing remuneration (including any kickback, bribe or rebate), directly or indirectly, in cash or in kind, to induce or reward, or in return for, either the referral of an individual for, or the purchase, lease or order of a good, facility, item or service for which payment may be made under a government healthcare program such as Medicare and Medicaid.
- False Claims Act: the U.S. federal false claims and civil monetary penalties laws, including the federal civil U.S. False Claims Act (31 USC. §§ 3729 – 3733), impose criminal and civil penalties, including through civil whistleblower or qui tam actions against individuals or entities for, among other things knowingly presenting or causing to be presented false or fraudulent claims for payment by a federal healthcare program or making a false statement or record material to payment of a false claim or avoiding, decreasing, or concealing an obligation to pay money to the federal government, with potential liability including mandatory treble damages, significant per-claim penalties, and administrative penalties.

Transparency requirements

The U.S. Physician Payments Sunshine Act (known as Affordable Care Act Section 6002: Transparency Reports and Reporting of Physician Ownership or Investment Interests) requires certain manufacturers of drugs, devices, biologics, and medical supplies for which payment is available under Medicare, Medicaid, or the Children's Health Insurance Program, with specific exceptions, to report annually to the CMS information related to payments or transfers of value made to physicians and teaching hospitals, as well as information regarding ownership and investment interests held by physicians and their immediate family members. Any failure to report or providing incomplete or misleading information may subject the Company to penalties. Analogous state laws. Analogous state fraud and abuse laws and regulations, such as U.S. state antikickback and false claims laws, can apply to sales or marketing arrangements, and claims involving healthcare items or services reimbursed by governmental or non-governmental third-party payors. These laws are generally broad and are enforced by many different U.S. federal and state agencies as well as through private actions. Some state laws require adherence to compliance guidelines promulgated by the U.S. federal government and require device and drug manufacturers to report information related to payments and other transfers of value to physicians and other healthcare providers or marketing expenditures.

Data privacy and security

We are subject to a number of federal, state and foreign laws and regulations that govern the collection, use, disclosure, and protection of health-related and other personal information. In the United States, such laws and regulations include health information privacy, data protection and security laws, data breach notification laws, and consumer protection laws and regulations, such as Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act. For example, HIPAA imposes obligations on "covered entities," including certain healthcare providers, such as us, health plans, and healthcare clearinghouses, and their respective "business associates" that create, receive, maintain or transmit individually identifiable health information for or on behalf of a covered entity, as well as their covered subcontractors with respect to safeguarding the privacy, security and transmission of individually identifiable protected health information, or PHI. Entities that are found to be in violation of HIPAA, whether as the result of a breach of unsecured PHI, a complaint about privacy practices, or an audit by HHS, may be subject to significant civil, criminal, and administrative fines and penalties and/or additional reporting and oversight obligations if required to enter into a resolution agreement and corrective action plan with HHS to settle allegations of HIPAA non-compliance.

In addition, certain state and non-U.S. laws, such as the CCPA, the CPRA, the GDPR, the UK GDPR and the UK Data Protection Act 2018, govern the privacy and security of personal information, including health-related information in certain circumstances, some of which are more stringent than HIPAA and many of which differ from each other in significant ways and may not have the same effect, thus complicating compliance efforts.

Failure to comply with these laws, where applicable, can result in claims, litigations, regulatory investigations and other proceedings, and the imposition of significant civil and/or criminal penalties and private litigation. Privacy, data protection and security laws, regulations, and other obligations are constantly evolving, may conflict with each other to complicate compliance efforts, and can result in claims, investigations, proceedings, or actions that lead to significant civil and/or criminal penalties, other liabilities, and restrictions on data use, storage, and other processing.

Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 ("HIPAA")

The HIPAA imposes criminal and civil liability for, among other things, failing to protect the privacy of patient and security of patient data. Additionally, the HIPAA by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act and its implementing regulations, also imposes obligations on covered entities and their business associates that perform certain functions or activities that involve the use or disclosure of protected health information on their behalf, including mandatory contractual terms as well as implementing reasonable and appropriate administrative, physical and technical safeguards with respect to maintaining the privacy, security and transmission of protected health information.

The FTC has taken an active role with regard to protection of personal information, relying on its broad consumer protection powers to seek substantial penalties where companies that have made deceptive or misleading statements regarding practices of collecting and safeguarding data or did not have adequate safeguards to protect information consistent with their claims regarding data security. State laws also govern the privacy and security of health information in some circumstances, many of which differ from each other in significant ways and often are not pre-empted by HIPAA, thus complicating compliance efforts.

Government and Third-Party Payor Reimbursement and Billing for Clinical Laboratory Services

Medicare coverage is limited to items and services that are within the scope of a Medicare benefit category that are reasonable and necessary for the diagnosis or treatment of an illness or injury.

Under Medicare, payment for our tests would be made under the Clinical Laboratory Fee Schedule, or CLFS, with payment amounts assigned to specific procedure billing codes. In April 2014, Congress passed the Protecting Access to Medicare Act, or PAMA, which included substantial changes to the way in which clinical laboratory services will be paid under Medicare. Under PAMA, laboratories that receive the majority of their Medicare revenue from payments made under the CLFS or the Medicare Physician Fee Schedule are required to report to CMS, beginning in 2017 and every three years thereafter (or annually for “advanced diagnostic laboratory tests”), private payor payment rates and volumes for their tests. Laboratories that fail to report the required payment information may be subject to substantial civil monetary penalties. As required under PAMA, CMS uses the rates and volumes reported by laboratories to develop Medicare payment rates for laboratory tests equal to the volume-weighted median of the private payor payment rates for the tests.

PAMA also authorizes the adoption of new, temporary billing codes and unique test identifiers for FDA-cleared or approved tests, as well as advanced diagnostic laboratory tests. The AMA’s CPT Editorial Panel created a new section of billing codes to supplement the AMA’s existing Category I CPT code set and to facilitate implementation of this section of PAMA. These proprietary laboratory analyses codes, or PLA codes, may be requested by a clinical laboratory or manufacturer to specifically identify their test. If approved, the codes are issued by the AMA on a quarterly basis. While our testing products are not presently identified by any PLA codes, we may choose to seek a specific PLA code or codes to describe some of our testing products in the future.

Billing for testing is complicated. Depending on the billing arrangement and applicable law, we must bill various payors, such as insurance companies, Medicare, Medicaid, physicians, hospitals, employer groups and patients, all of which have different billing requirements. Additionally, compliance with applicable laws and regulations as well as internal compliance policies and procedures adds further complexity to the billing process. Changes in laws and regulations could negatively impact our ability to bill our clients or increase our costs. CMS also establishes new procedures and continuously evaluates and implements changes to the coverage criteria and reimbursement process for billing government programs. There is a potential that missing or incorrect information on test requisitions will add complexity to and slow the billing process, create backlogs of unbilled tests, or generally increase the aging of accounts receivable and bad debt expense. Failure to timely and correctly bill for testing services may lead to our not being reimbursed for our services or an increase in the aging of our accounts receivable, which could adversely affect our results of operations and cash flows.

Revenue from governmental and third-party payors can be retroactively adjusted after a new examination during the claims settlement process or as a result of post-payment audits. For example, Medicare reimbursement claims made by healthcare providers and suppliers are subject to audit from time to time by governmental and third-party payors and their agents. To ensure compliance with Medicare, Medicaid and other requirements and regulations, government agencies or their agents (including Recovery Audit Contractors, Unified Program Integrity Contractors and other contractors operating under the Medicare and Medicaid programs) often conduct audits and request customer records and other documents to support claims submitted for payment of services rendered and compliance with government program claim submission requirements. Private payors conduct similar audits to ensure claims align with coverage requirements and may take legal action to recover alleged overpayments. Negative audit findings or allegations of fraud or abuse may subject us to liability, including but not limited to overpayment liability, refunds or recoupments of previously paid claims, payment suspension, or the revocation of billing or payment privileges in governmental healthcare programs or termination of arrangements with third-party payors. Failure to comply with applicable laws relating to billing federal healthcare programs could also lead to various penalties, including but not limited to:

- overpayments and recoupments of reimbursement received;
- exclusion from participation in Medicare/Medicaid programs;

- asset forfeitures;
- civil and criminal fines and penalties; and
- the loss of various licenses, certificates and authorizations necessary to operate our business.

Any of these penalties or sanctions could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or cash flows.

Healthcare Reform

In March 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, collectively the Affordable Care Act, or ACA, was enacted in the United States. The ACA made a number of substantial changes to the way healthcare is financed by governmental and private insurers. The ACA, among other things, included provisions governing enrollment in federal and state healthcare programs, reimbursement matters and fraud and abuse, which we expect will impact our industry and our operations in ways that we cannot currently predict.

Since its enactment, there have been judicial, executive and Congressional challenges to certain aspects of the ACA. On June 17, 2021, the U.S. Supreme Court dismissed the most recent judicial challenge to the ACA without specifically ruling on the constitutionality of the ACA. Prior to the Supreme Court's decision, President Biden issued an executive order initiating a special enrollment period from February 15, 2021 through August 15, 2021 for purposes of obtaining health insurance coverage through the ACA marketplace. The executive order also instructed certain governmental agencies to review and reconsider their existing policies and rules that limit access to healthcare. It is unclear how other healthcare reform measures of the Biden administration or other efforts, if any, to challenge, repeal or replace the ACA will impact the ACA or our business.

Other legislative changes have been proposed and adopted since the ACA was enacted. These changes include aggregate reductions to Medicare payments to providers of 2% per fiscal year pursuant to the Budget Control Act of 2011, which went into effect on April 1, 2013, and due to subsequent legislative amendments to the statute, will remain in effect through 2030, with the exception of a temporary suspension from May 1, 2020 through December 31, 2021, unless additional Congressional action is taken. The American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012, among other things, further reduced Medicare payments to several providers, including hospitals and cancer treatment centers, and increased the statute of limitations period for the government to recover overpayments to providers from three to five years. Additional state and federal health care reform measures may also be adopted in the future, any of which could have a material adverse effect on the clinical laboratory industry.

Regulation of Medical Devices in the European Union

The European Union, or EU, has adopted specific directives and regulations regulating the design, manufacture, clinical investigations, conformity assessment, labeling and adverse event reporting for medical devices (including in vitro diagnostic medical devices).

In the EU, there is currently no premarket government review of medical devices. However, the EU requires that all in vitro diagnostic medical devices placed on the market in the EU must meet the essential requirements of the EU In Vitro Diagnostic Medical Devices Directive, or Directive 98/79/EC, or the IVDD, including the requirement that an in vitro diagnostic medical device must be designed and manufactured in such a way that it will not compromise the clinical condition or safety of patients, or the safety and health of users and others. In addition, the device must achieve the performances intended by the manufacturer and be designed, manufactured, and packaged in a suitable manner. The European Commission has adopted various standards applicable to medical devices. There are also harmonized standards relating to design and manufacture. While not mandatory, compliance with these standards is viewed as the easiest way to satisfy the essential requirements as a practical matter as it creates a rebuttable presumption that the device satisfies that essential requirement.

Compliance with the essential requirements of the IVDD is a prerequisite for European Conformity Marking, or CE-Mark, without which in vitro diagnostic medical devices cannot be marketed or sold in the EU. To demonstrate compliance with the essential requirements laid down in Annex I to the IVDD, medical device manufacturers must undergo a conformity assessment procedure, which varies according to the type of medical device and its (risk) classification. As a general rule, demonstration of conformity of in vitro diagnostic medical devices and their manufacturers with the essential requirements must be based, among other things, on the evaluation of clinical data supporting the safety and performance of the products during normal conditions of use. Specifically, a manufacturer must demonstrate that the device achieves its intended performance during normal conditions of use, that the known and foreseeable risks, and any adverse events, are minimized and acceptable when weighed against the benefits of its intended performance, and that any claims made about the performance and safety of the device are supported by suitable evidence. Except for (general) in vitro diagnostic medical devices, where the manufacturer can self-declare the conformity of its products with the essential requirements, a conformity assessment procedure requires the intervention of a notified body. Notified bodies are independent organizations designated by EU member states to assess the conformity of devices before being placed on the market. A notified body would typically audit and examine a product's technical dossiers and the manufacturers' quality system (notified body must presume that quality systems which implement the relevant harmonized standards – which is ISO 13485:2016 for Quality Management Systems – conform to these requirements). If satisfied that the relevant product conforms to the relevant essential requirements, the notified body issues a certificate of conformity, which the manufacturer uses as a basis for its own declaration of conformity. The manufacturer may then apply the CE-Mark to the device, which allows the device to be placed on the market throughout the EU.

Throughout the term of the certificate of conformity, the manufacturer will be subject to periodic surveillance audits to verify continued compliance with the applicable requirements. In particular, there will be a new audit by the notified body before it will renew the relevant certificate(s).

All manufacturers placing in vitro diagnostic medical devices into the market in the EU must comply with the EU medical device vigilance system. Under this system, incidents must be reported to the relevant authorities of the EU member states, and manufacturers are required to take Field Safety Corrective Actions, or FSCAs, to reduce a risk of death or serious deterioration in the state of health associated with the use of an in vitro diagnostic medical device that is already placed on the market. An incident is defined as any malfunction or deterioration in the characteristics and/or performance of a device, as well as any inadequacy in the labeling or the instructions for use which, directly or indirectly, might lead to or might have led to the death of a patient or user or of other persons or to a serious deterioration in their state of health. An FSCA may include the recall, modification, exchange, destruction or retrofitting of the device. FSCAs must be communicated by the manufacturer or its legal representative to its customers and/or to the end users of the device through Field Safety Notices.

The advertising and promotion of in vitro diagnostic medical devices is subject to some general principles set forth by EU directives. According to the IVDD, only devices that are CE marked may be marketed and advertised in the EU in accordance with their intended purpose. Directive 2006/114/EC concerning misleading and comparative advertising and Directive 2005/29/EC on unfair commercial practices, while not specific to the advertising of medical devices, also apply to the advertising thereof and contain general rules, for example requiring that advertisements are evidenced, balanced and not misleading. Specific requirements are defined at national level. EU member states laws related to the advertising and promotion of medical devices, which vary between jurisdictions, may limit or restrict the advertising and promotion of products to the general public and may impose limitations on promotional activities with healthcare professionals.

Many member states in the EU have adopted specific anti-gift statutes that further limit commercial practices for medical devices (including in vitro diagnostic medical devices), in particular vis-à-vis healthcare professionals and organizations. Additionally, there has been a recent trend of increased regulation of payments and transfers of value provided to healthcare professionals or entities. In addition, many EU member states have adopted national "Sunshine Acts" which impose reporting and transparency requirements (often on an annual basis), similar to the requirements in the United States, on medical device manufacturers. Certain countries also mandate implementation of commercial compliance programs.

In the EU, regulatory authorities have the power to carry out announced and, if necessary, unannounced inspections of companies, as well as suppliers and/or sub-contractors and, where necessary, the facilities of professional users. Failure to comply with regulatory requirements (as applicable) could require time and resources to respond to the regulatory authorities' observations and to implement corrective and preventive actions, as appropriate. Regulatory authorities have broad compliance and enforcement powers and if such issues cannot be resolved to their satisfaction can take a variety of actions, including untitled or warning letters, fines, consent decrees, injunctions, or civil or criminal penalties.

The EU regulatory landscape concerning medical devices is evolving. On April 5, 2017 Regulation (EU) 2017/746 of the European Parliament and of the Council on in vitro diagnostic medical devices and repealing Directive 98/79/EC and Commission Decision 2010/227/EU, or the IVDR, was adopted to establish a modernized and more robust EU legislative framework, with the aim of ensuring better protection of public health and patient safety. Unlike directives, the IVDR does not need to be transposed into national law and therefore reduces the risk of discrepancies in interpretation across the different European markets.

The IVDR will become applicable five years after publication (on May 26, 2022). Once applicable, the IVDR will among other things:

- strengthen the rules on placing devices on the market and reinforce surveillance once they are available;
- establish explicit provisions on manufacturers' responsibilities for the follow-up of the quality, performance and safety of devices placed on the market;
- establish explicit provisions on importers' and distributors' obligations and responsibilities;
- impose an obligation to identify a responsible person who is ultimately responsible for all aspects of compliance with the requirements of the new regulation;
- improve the traceability of medical devices throughout the supply chain to the end-user or patient through the introduction of a unique identification number, to increase the ability of manufacturers and regulatory authorities to trace specific devices through the supply chain and to facilitate the prompt and efficient recall of medical devices that have been found to present a safety risk;
- set up a central database (Eudamed) to provide patients, healthcare professionals and the public with comprehensive information on products available in the EU; and
- strengthen rules for the assessment of certain high-risk devices that may have to undergo an additional check by experts before they are placed on the market.

Regulations Related to Clinical Laboratories in the European Union

The EU does not have an overarching law or regulation that governs the legal framework surrounding the operations of clinical laboratories in a way that would be analogous to CLIA in the United States. However, EU member states' laws may affect how our business as a testing service provider is carried out.

Other laws and guidelines that impact clinical laboratories work include the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Dignity of the Human Being with regard to the Application of Biology and Medicine, the Declaration of Helsinki adopted by the World Medical Association and related codes of conduct and guidelines issued by the relevant research ethics committees.

Coverage and Reimbursement

In international markets, reimbursement and healthcare payment systems vary significantly by country, and many countries have instituted price ceilings on specific product lines and procedures. In the EU, member states impose controls on whether products are reimbursable by national or regional health service providers and on the prices at which devices are reimbursed under state-run healthcare schemes. More and more, local, product specific reimbursement law is applied as an overlay to medical device regulation, which has provided an additional layer of clearance requirement.

Intellectual Property

We consider the protection of our proprietary technologies and products, as well as our ability to maintain patent protection that covers the composition of matter of our product candidates, their methods of use, and other related technologies and inventions, to be a critical element in the success of our business. As of March 4, 2024, our licensed intellectual property included three patent families.

We license rights to one patent family covering the StemPrintER algorithm and methods of stratifying breast cancer patients with issued patents in the U.S. and in Europe which expire in 2037, and pending applications in Canada and the U.S. Any patent issuing from the pending applications is expected to expire in 2037, without taking into account any potential patent term extensions or patent term adjustment.

We also license rights to one patent family covering the SPARE algorithm and methods of assessing risk of breast cancer recurrence, which has pending applications in the U.S. and in Europe and Canada. Any patent issuing from these applications is expected to expire in 2041, without taking into account any potential patent term extensions or patent term adjustment.

We also license rights to one patent family relating to miRNA-based methods of stratifying lung nodules identified by LDCT, the MSC test, which has one issued patent in Europe which will expire in 2034, and pending applications in the U.S. and China. Any patent issuing from these applications is expected to expire in 2034, without taking into account any potential patent term extensions or patent term adjustment.

IEO/University of Milan License Agreement

On June 24, 2014, Tiziana entered into an exclusive license agreement (the "License") with IEO/University of Milan, pursuant to which it obtained a worldwide, royalty-bearing, exclusive license under certain patents and a worldwide, royalty-bearing, non-exclusive license under certain know-how of IEO/University of Milan to develop and commercialize licensed products in connection with a multi-gene prognostic tool. The License was assigned to us as part of the arrangements contained in the demerger agreement on October 30, 2020. Pursuant to the terms of the License, we are obliged to use reasonable efforts in connection with the development and commercialization of the licensed products, including in accordance with specified diligence milestones.

On November 9, 2022, AccuStem and the IEO/University of Milan amended the License to clarify the regulatory path and timeline for the commercialization of StemPrintER. Specifically, the regulatory requirement language has been modified to (i) extend the timeline for regulatory approval or clearance of a licensed product to 36 months from the date of the amendment, (ii) clarify that contractual regulatory requirements can be satisfied by the approval or clearance of the test as a Laboratory Developed Test (i.e., approval or clearance can be achieved via the CLIA regulatory path rather than the FDA) and (iii) the timeline for commercial launch has been extended for an additional 60 months from the date of the amendment. The amendment provides for a separate licensing payment of \$175,000 to the IEO.

The License also provides additional payments by us of up to €300,000 (or \$320,250 based on exchange rate of \$1.0675: to €1.00) in development milestone payments and payment of single digit percentage royalties on net sales. The License remains in effect until the royalty term has expired with respect to all licensed products in all countries. The License may be terminated by either party in the event of a material breach and in addition, we may terminate the License at any time upon 30 days' notice.

Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we may become a party to various legal actions and complaints arising in the ordinary course of business. In addition to commitments and obligations in the ordinary course of business, we are subject to various claims, pending and potential legal actions for damages, investigations relating to governmental laws and regulations and other matters arising out of the normal conduct of our business. It is possible that cash flows or results of operations could be materially affected in any particular period by the unfavorable resolution of one or more of these contingencies.

Human Capital

As of December 31, 2024, we had two full time employees. These employees are not represented by a labor union or covered by a collective bargaining agreement, and we consider our relationship with our employees to be good.

Compensation, Benefits, and Development

We provide our employees with competitive salaries and bonuses, opportunities for equity ownership, and a robust employment package that promotes well-being across all aspects of our employees' lives, including health care and paid time off.

CORPORATE INFORMATION

Our legal name is AccuStem Sciences, Inc. Our registered office is situated at 300 Creek View Road, Suite 209, Newark, DE 19711, New Castle County, Delaware 19801, and our telephone number is +44 (0) 20 7495 2379. We have one wholly owned subsidiary: StemPrintER Sciences Limited, a private company incorporated in England and Wales with limited liability under the Companies Act. Our website address is www.AccuStem.com. The reference to our website is an inactive textual reference only and information contained in, or that can be accessed through, our website or any other website cited in this registration statement is not part of hereof.

Available Information

We file annual, quarterly, and current reports, proxy statements, and other documents with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). The SEC maintains an internet website, www.sec.gov, that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers, including us, that file electronically with the SEC.

Copies of each of our filings with the SEC on Form 10-K, Form 10-Q, and Form 8-K and all amendments to those reports, can be viewed and downloaded free of charge at our website, www.accustem.com, as soon as reasonably practicable after the reports and amendments are electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC.

Our code of ethics, other corporate policies and procedures, and the charters of our Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, and Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee are available through our website at www.accustem.com.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following risk factors may be important to understanding any statement in this Annual Report on Form 10-K or elsewhere. Our business, financial condition and operating results can be affected by a number of factors, whether currently known or unknown, including but not limited to those described below. Any one or more of such factors could directly or indirectly cause our actual results of operations and financial condition to vary materially from past or anticipated future results of operations and financial condition. Any of these factors, in whole or in part, could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and stock price.

Risks Specific to the Development of the Business

We do not have collaborations in place with institutions for utility studies and there is no guarantee that we will be able to demonstrate prospective clinical utility of MSC or StemPrintER.

We are likely to run clinical utility studies for MSC and StemPrintER to support applications for reimbursement, which are necessary for successful commercialization and to provide further evidence to support marketing claims. We have not yet identified which institutions will carry out the utility studies and have not yet entered into the relevant agreements with these institutions. There is a risk that we will not be able to secure these collaborations, which would impact our ability to proceed to the utility study stage.

Furthermore, we may not be able to demonstrate the clinical utility of MSC and/or StemPrintER in a real-world setting, which would impact our ability to secure reimbursement. If such reimbursement is not achieved, it will make commercialization of MSC and/or StemPrintER significantly more challenging and would impact our ability to generate revenue and, accordingly, result in a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

There are risks associated with the process of establishing a CLIA laboratory and in offering MSC or StemPrintER which are outside our control.

We do not yet have a CLIA-certified laboratory partner that can run MSC or StemPrintER as a LDT. Even if we eventually obtain CLIA certification for a laboratory that will run our assay and proceed to commercialization, there are inherent risks associated with offering MSC or StemPrintER as LDTs that are outside our control, including test uptake, which would have an impact on the amount of revenue we could generate. Further, we may not be able to generate any meaningful revenue from offering MSC and/or StemPrintER as a LDT.

We will be dependent on third parties to provide certain resources and services to us, as we have limited resources

We plan to rely in part on external resources to conduct the research, development, supply of reagents/consumables and clinical testing of our MSC and StemPrintER tests, including in relation to our laboratory systems which we expect to rely on software developed by external manufacturers. The future development of MSC, StemPrintER and any other products will partly depend upon the performance of these third parties. We cannot guarantee that the relevant third parties will be able to carry out their obligations under the relevant arrangements. In the future, we may depend on external resources in marketing, sales and distribution of our products. We cannot guarantee that we will be able to assign competent partners to conduct these tasks or that these tasks can be completed on the basis of terms which are beneficial to us. Additionally, while management is responsible for making decisions on our behalf, management will rely to a certain extent on the advice of external professional advisors. There is no guarantee that we will receive the correct advice from such advisors.

Disagreements between us and any third parties could lead to delays in our R&D program and/or commercialization plans. If any third parties were to terminate their relationships with us, we would be required to obtain development and/or commercialization services from other third parties or develop the relevant functions internally, which could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We are subject to research and product development risk

We may not be able to develop new products or to identify specific market needs that can be addressed by tests or solutions developed us. Product development will be a key ongoing activity for us. However, there can be no assurance that further products will be developed, successfully launched, or accepted by the market. All new product development has an inherent level of risk and can be a lengthy process and suffer unforeseen delays, cost overruns and setbacks, such as difficulty recruiting patients into clinical trials. The nature of the medical device industry may mean new products may become obsolete as a result of competition or regulatory changes which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

In addition, R&D may be subject to various requirements, such as research subject protection for individuals participating in clinical evaluations of new products, institutional review board oversight, regulatory authorizations, and design control requirements. Failure to comply with requirements could result in penalties, delay, or prevent commercialization of products.

We are subject to risks associated with medical and technological change and obsolescence

Demand for our products could be adversely impacted by the development of alternative technology and alternative medicines. There can be no assurance that the technology and products currently being developed by us will not be rendered obsolete. As a result, there is the possibility that new technology or products may be superior to, or render obsolete, the technology and products that we are currently developing. Any failure of ours to ensure that our products remain up to date with the latest advances may have a material adverse impact on our competitiveness and financial performance. Our success will depend, in part, on our ability to develop and adapt our products or acquire and integrate new technologies to meet these technological changes and industry trends and failure to do so could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Risks Relating to Intellectual Property

Our rights to develop and commercialize our product candidates are subject to the terms and conditions of licenses granted to us by others. If we fail to comply with our obligations under our existing and any future intellectual property licenses with third parties, we could lose license rights that are important to our business.

We are reliant upon licenses and sublicenses from Istituto Europeo di Oncologia, Fondazione FIRC per l'Oncologia Molecolare and the University of Milan ("IEO/University of Milan") to certain patent rights and proprietary technology that are important or necessary to the development of our technology and product candidates, including the patents and know-how relating to manufacture.

On June 24, 2014, Tiziana entered into an exclusive license agreement (the "License") with IEO/University of Milan, pursuant to which it obtained a worldwide, royalty-bearing, exclusive license under certain patents and a worldwide, royalty-bearing, non-exclusive license under certain know-how, respectively, of IEO/University of Milan to develop and commercialize licensed products in connection with a multi-gene prognostic tool. The License was assigned to us as part of the arrangements contained in the demerger agreement on October 30, 2020. Pursuant to the terms of the License, we are obliged to use reasonable efforts in connection with the development and commercialization of the licensed products, including in accordance with specified diligence milestones.

If we fail to meet our obligations under the License or if the License is terminated for any reason, we may be required to discontinue our R&D program or any future commercialization efforts of StemPrintER product candidate, be unable to expand our operations or be unable to otherwise capitalize on our business opportunities, as desired, which could harm our business and potentially cause a discontinuation of our operations.

The License may also be terminated for other reasons including breach and insolvency.

In March 2025, we acquired the license for the proprietary microRNA Signature Classifier (MSC) test and all historic data, research and know-how for the test from certain secured creditors and interest holders. The terms of the acquisition include the transfer of all rights, title and interest in the intellectual property relating to the MSC test.

If we are unable to obtain and maintain patent protection for our product candidates and technology, or if the scope of our patent protection is not sufficiently broad, our competitors could develop and commercialize similar products and technology

Our success depends, in large part, on our ability to seek, obtain and maintain patent protection in the United States, U.K. and other countries with respect to our product candidates and technology. Our licensors have sought, and we intend to seek, to protect our proprietary position by filing patent applications in the United States, the U.K. and elsewhere, related to certain technologies and our product candidates, MSC and StemPrintER, that are important to our business.

Our current patent portfolio contains a limited number of patent applications, which are in-licensed from third parties. If we are unable to assert any such patents to prevent others from reproducing our technology and product candidates, or are unable to identify patentable aspects of our R&D output before it is too late to obtain patent protection, failure to do so could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our intellectual property is open to challenge

No assurance can be given that any current or future trademark, design right or patent applications will result in registered trademarks, design rights or patents, that the scope of any patent, design or trademark protection or the protection provided by copyright or database rights or the right to bring actions for breach of confidentiality will exclude competitors or provide competitive advantages to us, that any of our licensed-in patents, design rights or trademarks will be held valid if challenged or that third parties will not claim rights or ownership of the patents, design rights, trademarks or other intellectual property rights held by us.

If we cannot successfully enforce our intellectual property rights, this could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and prospects. We may be subject to claims in relation to the infringement of patents, design rights, trademarks or other intellectual property rights owned by third parties. Adverse judgments against us may give rise to significant liabilities in monetary damages, legal fees and/or an inability to manufacture, market or sell products either at all or in particular territories.

Our strategy involves generating commercially valuable intellectual property that can be protected

We intend to augment our intellectual property portfolio. No assurance can be given that any future patent applications will result in granted patents, that the scope of any patent protection will exclude competitors or provide competitive advantages to us, that any of our patents will be held valid if challenged or that third parties will not claim rights in or ownership of the patents and other proprietary rights held by us. Should we fail to successfully obtain additional patent protection in respect its technology and products could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Market and Competitive Risks

We operate in a competitive market and will face competition from competitors involved in genetic, genomic and/or biomarker testing of patients with or at risk of cancer

We may face competition from competitors involved in developing assays to support the diagnosis and treatment planning for patients with or at risk of cancer. Many of our competitors will have access to greater research, development, marketing, financial and personnel resources which may provide commercial advantages to those competitors. New products may be more effective, cheaper or more effectively marketed than MSC and/or StemPrintER. A substantial increase in competition for any of these reasons could require us to, for example, increase our marketing or capital expenditure or require us to change our business model to remain competitive, which may have an adverse impact on our business including our profitability and/or financial condition.

The market opportunities for our product candidates may be smaller than we anticipate

We are focusing our R&D efforts on a multi-gene prognostic tool for predicting the recurrence of certain breast cancers. Our understanding of both the number of people who have these diseases, as well as the subset of people with these diseases who have the potential to benefit from our prognostic assay, is based on estimates. These estimates may prove to be incorrect and new studies may reduce the estimated incidence or prevalence of these diseases. The number of patients in the United States, the United Kingdom (“U.K.”), the European Union (“EU”) and elsewhere may turn out to be lower than expected, may not be otherwise amenable to assessment with our product candidates or patients may become increasingly difficult to identify and access, all of which would adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Further, there are several factors that could contribute to making the actual number of patients who receive our potential products, if and when approved, less than the potentially addressable market, such as the lack of widespread availability of, and limited reimbursement for, new therapies in many underdeveloped markets.

The future commercial success of our product candidates will depend upon the degree of each product candidate's market acceptance by physicians, patients, third-party payors and others in the medical community

We have no product authorized for marketing; our product candidates are at the validation study stage of development, and we may never have a product available to be commercially sold or that becomes commercially successful. The commercial success of our product candidates will depend, in part, on their acceptance by physicians, patients and third-party payors as medically necessary, cost-effective and safe. If our future products do not achieve an adequate level of acceptance, we may not generate significant product revenue and may not become profitable. Even if some product candidates achieve market acceptance, the market may not prove to be large enough to generate significant revenues. The degree of market acceptance of our product candidates, if approved for commercial sale, will depend on several factors, including, but not limited to:

- the effectiveness and safety of our product candidates as demonstrated in clinical trials;
- the potential and perceived advantages of our product candidates over alternative prognostic tools;
- the availability and cost of use relative to alternative prognostic tools;
- changes in the standard of care for the targeted indications for any product candidate;

- the willingness of physicians to use, and the target patient population to try, new prognostic tools;
- product labelling or product insert requirements of the FDA, the U.K. Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency , the European Medicines Agency (“EMA”) or other regulatory authorities, including any limitations or warnings contained in a product’s approved labelling;
- the timing of market introduction of competitive products;
- sales, distribution and marketing support;
- publicity concerning our product candidates or competing products and treatments;
- potential product liability claims;
- any restrictions on the use of our products together with other medications; and
- favorable third-party payor coverage and adequate reimbursement.

Even if a potential product displays favorable clinical properties and safety profile in preclinical studies and clinical trials, market acceptance of the product will not be fully known until after it is launched.

The insurance coverage and reimbursement status of newly approved products is uncertain. Failure to obtain or maintain adequate coverage and reimbursement for our approved product candidates could limit our ability to market those products

We expect that coverage and adequate reimbursement by government and private payors will be essential for most patients to be able to afford our approved product candidates. Accordingly, sales of our product candidates will depend substantially, both domestically and abroad, on the extent to which the costs of our product candidates will be paid by health maintenance, managed care, pharmacy benefit and similar healthcare management organizations, or will be reimbursed by government authorities, private health coverage insurers and other third-party payors. Coverage and reimbursement by a third-party payor may depend upon several factors, including the third-party payor’s determination that use of a product is:

- a covered benefit under our health plan;
- safe, effective and medically necessary;
- appropriate for the specific patient;
- cost-effective; and
- neither experimental nor investigational.

Obtaining coverage and reimbursement for a product from third-party payors is a time-consuming and costly process that could require us to provide to the payor supporting scientific, clinical and cost-effectiveness data. We may not be able to provide data sufficient to gain acceptance with respect to coverage and reimbursement. If coverage and reimbursement are not available or delayed, or are available only at limited levels, we may not be able to successfully commercialize our product candidates. Even if coverage is provided, the approved reimbursement amount may not be adequate to realize a sufficient return on our investment and less favorable coverage policies and reimbursement rates may be implemented in the future.

Market acceptance and sales of our products will depend significantly on the availability of adequate coverage and reimbursement from third-party payors and may be affected by existing and future healthcare reform measures.

Regulatory Risks

Complying with numerous regulations pertaining to our business is an expensive and time-consuming process, and any failure to comply could result in substantial penalties.

Once we have our CLIA certified lab, we will be subject to CLIA, a federal law that regulates clinical laboratories that perform testing on samples derived from humans for the purpose of providing information for the diagnosis, prevention or treatment of disease. CLIA regulations mandate specific standards in the areas of personnel qualifications, administration, and participation in proficiency testing, patient test management, quality control, quality assurance and inspections. We will be subject to survey and inspection every two years. Moreover, CLIA inspectors may make random inspections of our clinical reference laboratory.

Although we are required to hold a certificate of accreditation or compliance under CLIA that allows us to perform high complexity testing, we are not required to hold a certificate of accreditation through CAP. We could alternatively maintain a certificate of accreditation from another accrediting organization or a certificate of compliance through inspection by surveyors acting on behalf of the CLIA program.

The failure to comply with CLIA requirements can result in enforcement actions, including the revocation, suspension, or limitation of our CLIA certificate of accreditation, as well as a directed plan of correction, state on-site monitoring, civil money penalties, civil injunctive suit and/or criminal penalties. We must maintain CLIA compliance and certification to be eligible to bill for tests provided to Medicare beneficiaries. If we were to be found out of compliance with CLIA program requirements and subjected to sanctions, our business and reputation could be harmed. Even if it were possible for us to bring our laboratory back into compliance, we could incur significant expenses and potentially lose revenue in doing so.

We will be required to maintain a license to conduct testing in Arizona. Arizona laws establish standards for day-to-day operation of our clinical reference laboratory, including the training and skills required of personnel and quality control. Moreover, several other states require that we hold licenses to test samples from patients in those states. Other states may have similar requirements or may adopt similar requirements in the future. Although we plan to obtain licenses from states where we believe we are required to be licensed, we may become aware of other states that require out-of-state laboratories to obtain licensure in order to accept samples from the state, and it is possible that other states currently have such requirements or will have such requirements in the future.

If we were to lose our CLIA certificate of accreditation or Arizona license, whether as a result of a revocation, suspension or limitation, we would no longer be able to sell our testing products, which would limit our revenue and harm our business.

If we fail to comply with healthcare laws and regulations, we could face substantial penalties and our business, operations and financial condition could be adversely affected.

We are also subject to healthcare fraud and abuse regulation by both the federal government and the states in which we conduct our business and to similar foreign laws and regulations in the countries where we conduct our business. These laws include, without limitation, state and federal anti-kickback, self-referral, fraud and abuse, false claims, and transparency laws and regulations with respect to payments and other transfers of value made to physicians and other licensed health care professionals.

The Anti-Kickback Statute, or AKS, prohibits, among other things, knowingly and willfully offering, paying, soliciting or receiving any remuneration (including any kickback, bribe or rebate), directly or indirectly, overtly or covertly, in cash or in kind, to induce or in return for purchasing, leasing, ordering or arranging for the purchase, lease or order of any good, facility, item or service, including laboratory services, reimbursable, in whole or in part, under Medicare, Medicaid or other federally financed healthcare programs. The term "remuneration" has been broadly interpreted to include anything of value. The AKS has been interpreted to apply to arrangements between manufacturers on one hand and prescribers, purchasers and formulary managers on the other. Although there are a number of statutory exceptions and regulatory safe harbors protecting certain common activities from prosecution, the exceptions and safe harbors are drawn narrowly. Our practices may not in all cases meet all of the criteria for safe harbor protection from anti-kickback liability. Failure to meet all of the requirements of a particular applicable statutory exception or regulatory safe harbor, however, does not make the conduct per se illegal under the AKS. Instead, the legality of the arrangement will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis based on a cumulative review of all of its facts and circumstances. Several courts have interpreted the statute's intent requirement to mean that if any one purpose of an arrangement involving remuneration is to induce referrals of federal healthcare covered business, the AKS has been violated. Further, a person or entity does not need to have actual knowledge of the statute or specific intent to violate it in order to have committed a violation.

On June 25, 2014, the Office of Inspector General, or OIG, released a Special Fraud Alert, expressing concern regarding laboratory payments made to referring physicians and physician group practices for blood sample collection, processing, and packaging. Specifically, the OIG expressed concern that such arrangements may implicate the AKS when laboratories make payments to physicians for services that are already covered and reimbursed by Medicare, or are not commercially reasonable or exceed fair market value, all in order to induce physicians to order tests from such laboratory. Because the choice of laboratory and the decision to order laboratory tests is made or strongly influenced by the physician, with little or no input from patients, such payment may induce physicians to order more laboratory tests than are medically necessary, particularly when the payments are tied to, or take into account, the volume or value of business generated by the physician. To the extent our arrangements with physicians and pathology medical groups for services related to sample collection, transporting and handling are found to be inconsistent with applicable laws, we may be subject to significant penalties, including criminal penalties, and exclusion from participation in U.S. federal or state health care programs.

We are also subject to the federal physician self-referral prohibitions, commonly known as the Stark Law, which prohibits, among other things, physicians who have a financial relationship, including an investment, ownership or compensation relationship with an entity, from referring Medicare patients for designated health services, which include clinical laboratory services, unless an exception applies. Similarly, entities may not bill Medicare or any other party for services furnished pursuant to a prohibited referral. In addition, the government may assert that a claim including items or services resulting from a violation of the Stark Law constitutes a false or fraudulent claim for purposes of the false claims laws.

The federal civil and criminal false claims law, including the False Claims Act, prohibit, among other things, any person from knowingly presenting or causing to be presented a false claim for payment to the federal government, or knowingly making or causing to be made a false statement to get a false or fraudulent claim paid by the federal government. A claim includes "any request or demand" for money or property presented to the U.S. government. In addition, the government may assert that a claim for items or services arising from a violation of the AKS or Stark Law constitutes a false or fraudulent claim for purposes of the false claims laws. Private individuals also have the ability to bring actions under these false claims laws in the name of the government alleging false and fraudulent claims presented to or paid by the government (or other violations of the statutes) and to share in any amounts paid by the entity to the government in fines or settlement. Such suits, known as qui tam actions, are pervasive in the healthcare industry.

HIPAA also established federal criminal statutes that prohibit, among other things, knowingly and willfully executing, or attempting to execute, a scheme to defraud any healthcare benefit program, including private third-party payors, and knowingly and willfully falsifying, concealing or covering up a material fact or making any materially false, fictitious or fraudulent statement in connection with the delivery of or payment for healthcare benefits, items or services. Similar to the AKS, a person or entity does not need to have actual knowledge of the statute or specific intent to violate it in order to have committed a violation.

In addition, under the federal civil monetary penalties statute, a person is prohibited from offering or transferring to a Medicare or Medicaid beneficiary any remuneration, including waivers of co-payments and deductible amounts (or any part thereof), that the person knows or should know is likely to influence the beneficiary's selection of a particular provider, practitioner or supplier of Medicare or Medicaid payable items or services. Moreover, in certain cases, providers who routinely waive copayments and deductibles for Medicare and Medicaid beneficiaries can also be held liable under the AKS and civil False Claims Act. One of the statutory exceptions to the prohibition is non-routine, unadvertised waivers of copayments or deductible amounts based on individualized determinations of financial need or exhaustion of reasonable collection efforts. The OIG emphasizes, however, that this exception should only be used occasionally to address special financial needs of a particular patient. Although this prohibition applies only to federal healthcare program beneficiaries, the routine waivers of copayments and deductibles offered to patients covered by commercial payors may implicate applicable state laws related to, among other things, unlawful schemes to defraud, excessive fees for services, tortious interference with patient contracts and statutory or common law fraud. To the extent our patient assistance programs are found to be inconsistent with applicable laws, we may be required to restructure or discontinue such programs, or be subject to other significant penalties.

Under the Physician Payments Sunshine Act, manufacturers of certain devices, drugs and biologics are required to report to CMS certain payments and transfers of value by them and in some cases their distributors to physicians (defined to include doctors, dentists, optometrists, podiatrists and chiropractors), certain other health care providers beginning in 2022, and teaching hospitals, as well as ownership and investment interests held by physicians (as defined by the statute) and their immediate family members. Because we plan to manufacture our own laboratory developed tests, or LDTs, solely for use by or within our own laboratory, we believe that we are currently exempt from these reporting requirements. We cannot assure, however, that our regulators, principally the federal government, will agree with our determination, and a determination that we have violated these laws and regulations, or a public announcement that we are being investigated for possible violations, could adversely affect our business, prospects, results of operations or financial condition.

Several states in which we plan to operate have also adopted similar fraud and abuse laws as described above. The scope of these laws and the interpretations of them vary from state to state and are enforced by state courts and regulatory authorities, each with broad discretion. Some state fraud and abuse laws apply to items or services reimbursed by any payor, including patients and commercial insurers, not just those reimbursed by a federally funded healthcare program.

It is possible that some of our business activities could be subject to challenge under one or more of such laws. Such a challenge, regardless of the outcome, could have a material adverse effect on our business, business relationships, reputation, financial condition and results of operations. Although an effective compliance program can mitigate the risk of investigation and prosecution for violations of these laws, the risks cannot be entirely eliminated. Any action against us for violation of these laws, even if we successfully defend against it, could cause us to incur significant legal expenses and divert our management's attention from the operation of our business. Moreover, achieving and sustaining compliance with these laws may prove costly.

If we or our operations are found to be in violation of any of the laws described above or any other governmental regulations that apply to us, we may be subject to significant penalties, including administrative, civil and/or criminal penalties, damages, fines, disgorgement, individual imprisonment, exclusion from participation in U.S. federal or state health care programs, such as Medicare and Medicaid in the United States and similar programs outside the United States, a corporate integrity agreement or other agreement to resolve allegations of non-compliance with these laws, and the curtailment or restructuring of our operations, any of which could materially adversely affect our ability to operate our business and our financial results. To the extent that any of our testing products are sold in a foreign country, we may be subject to similar foreign laws and regulations, which may include, for instance, applicable post-marketing requirements, including safety surveillance, anti-fraud and abuse laws, and implementation of corporate compliance programs and reporting of payments or transfers of value to healthcare professionals. For instance, many member states in the European Union, or EU, have adopted specific anti-gift statutes that further limit commercial practices for medical devices (including in vitro diagnostic medical devices), in particular vis-à-vis healthcare professionals and organizations.

Additionally, there has been a recent trend of increased regulation of payments and transfers of value provided to healthcare professionals or entities. In addition, many EU member states have adopted national "Sunshine Acts" which impose reporting and transparency requirements (often on an annual basis), similar to the requirements in the United States, on medical device manufacturers.

Our products and operations are subject to extensive government regulation and oversight both in the United States and abroad, and our failure to comply with applicable requirements could harm our business.

Our product candidates are in vitro tests which can be regulated as medical devices in the United States and other jurisdictions. When applicable, the FDA and foreign regulatory agencies regulate, among other things, with respect to medical devices: design, development and manufacturing; testing, labeling, content and language of instructions for use and storage; clinical trials (to the extent applicable, clinical trials encompass the notion of clinical investigations in the EU); product safety; establishment registration and device listing; marketing, sales and distribution; premarket clearance, classification, approval, and certification; recordkeeping procedures; advertising and promotion; recalls and field safety corrective actions; post-market surveillance, including reporting of deaths or serious injuries and malfunctions that, if they were to recur, could lead to death or serious injury; post-market studies; and product import and export.

The regulations to which we may be subject are complex and have tended to become more stringent over time. Regulatory changes could result in restrictions on our ability to carry on or expand our operations, higher than anticipated costs or lower than anticipated sales. The FDA and its foreign counterparts enforce its regulatory requirements through, among other means, periodic unannounced inspections. We do not know whether we or any contract manufacturers or suppliers that we utilize will be found compliant in connection with any future FDA or foreign inspections. Failure to comply with applicable regulations could jeopardize our ability to sell our products and result in enforcement actions such as: warning letters; fines; injunctions; civil penalties; termination of distribution; recalls or seizures of products; delays in the introduction of products into the market; total or partial suspension of production; refusal to grant future marketing authorizations or certifications; withdrawals or suspensions of current marketing authorizations and certifications, resulting in prohibitions on sales of our products; and in the most serious cases, criminal penalties.

In order to sell our products in EU member states, our products must comply with the essential requirements of the EU In Vitro Diagnostic Medical Devices Directive (Directive 98/79/EC), or the IVDD. Compliance with these requirements is a prerequisite to be able to affix the European Conformity, or CE, mark to our products, without which they cannot be sold or marketed in the EU. All medical devices placed on the market in the EU must meet the essential requirements laid down in Annex I to the IVDD including the requirement that an in vitro diagnostic medical device must be designed and manufactured in such a way that it will not compromise the clinical condition or safety of patients, or the safety and health of users and others. In addition, the device must achieve the performances intended by the manufacturer and be designed, manufactured, and packaged in a suitable manner. The European Commission has adopted various standards applicable to medical devices. There are also harmonized standards relating to design and manufacture. While not mandatory, compliance with these standards is viewed as the easiest way to satisfy the essential requirements as a practical matter as it creates a rebuttable presumption that the device satisfies that essential requirement. To demonstrate compliance with the essential requirements we must undergo a conformity assessment procedure, which varies according to the type of medical device and its (risk) classification. As a general rule, demonstration of conformity of in vitro diagnostic medical devices and their manufacturers with the essential requirements must be based, among other things, on the evaluation of clinical data supporting the safety and performance of the products during normal conditions of use. Specifically, a manufacturer must demonstrate that the device achieves its intended performance during normal conditions of use, that the known and foreseeable risks, and any adverse events, are minimized and foreseeable when weighed against the benefits of its intended performance, and that any claims made about the performance and safety of the device are supported by suitable evidence.

Except for (general) in vitro diagnostic medical devices, where the manufacturer can self-declare the conformity of its products with the essential requirements of the IVDD, a conformity assessment procedure requires the intervention of a notified body. Notified bodies are independent organizations designated by EU member states to assess the conformity of devices before being placed on the market. The notified body would typically audit and examine the product's technical file and the manufacturer's quality system (notified body must presume that quality systems which implement the relevant harmonized standards—which is ISO 13485:2016 for Quality Management Systems—conform to these requirements). If satisfied that the relevant product conforms to the relevant essential requirements, the notified body issues a certificate of conformity, which the manufacturer uses as a basis for its own declaration of conformity. The manufacturer may then apply the CE-Mark to the device, which allows the device to be placed on the market throughout the EU.

If we fail to achieve compliance with applicable European laws and directives, we would be unable to continue to affix the CE mark to our products, which would prevent us from selling them within the EU. In the EU, we must inform the notified body that carried out the conformity assessment of the devices that we market or sell in the EU and European Economic Area, or EEA, of any planned substantial changes to our quality system or substantial changes to our in vitro diagnostic medical devices that could affect compliance with the essential requirements laid down in Annex I to the IVDD or cause a substantial change to the intended use for which the device has been CE marked. The notified body will then assess the planned changes and verify whether they affect the product's ongoing conformity with the IVDD. If the assessment is favorable, the notified body will issue a new certificate of conformity or an addendum to the existing certificate attesting compliance with the essential requirements and quality system requirements laid down in the Annexes to the IVDD.

The aforementioned EU rules are generally applicable in the EEA (which consists of the 27 EU member states plus Norway, Liechtenstein and Iceland). Non-compliance with the above requirements would also prevent us from selling our products in these three countries.

The EU regulatory landscape concerning medical devices is evolving and a new regulation governing in vitro diagnostic medical devices became applicable on May 26, 2022 and these modifications may have an effect on the way we plan to conduct our business in the EU and the EEA.

The FDA may modify its enforcement discretion policy with respect to laboratory developed tests, or LDTs, in a risk-based manner, and we may become subject to extensive regulatory requirements and may be required to conduct additional clinical trials prior to continuing to sell our existing tests or launching any other tests in the United States we may develop, which may increase the cost of conducting, or otherwise harm, our business.

LDTs are in vitro tests that are intended for clinical use and are designed, manufactured, and used within a single laboratory. Although LDTs are classified as medical devices and the FDA has statutory authority to ensure that medical devices are safe and effective for their intended uses, the FDA has historically exercised enforcement discretion and has not enforced certain applicable FDA requirements, including premarket review, with respect to LDTs. In addition, in August 2020, HHS announced that the FDA will not require premarket review of LDTs absent notice-and-comment rulemaking. Although the Biden administration has not taken affirmative steps to rescind this August 2020 announcement issued by the previous administration, this 2020 policy statement is no longer posted on the HHS website.

Legislative and administrative proposals proposing to amend the FDA's oversight of LDTs have been introduced in recent years and we expect that new legislative and administrative proposals will continue to be introduced from time to time. It is possible that legislation could be enacted into law or regulations or guidance could be issued by the FDA which may result in new or increased regulatory requirements for us to continue to offer our LDTs or to develop and introduce new tests as LDTs in the United States.

For example, the FDA could modify its current approach to LDTs in a way that would subject our tests that we market in the United States as LDTs to the enforcement of additional regulatory requirements. In recent years, the FDA has stated its intention to modify its enforcement discretion policy with respect to LDTs.

Specifically, on July 31, 2014, the FDA notified Congress of its intent to modify, in a risk-based manner, its policy of enforcement discretion with respect to LDTs. On October 3, 2014, the FDA issued two draft guidance documents entitled "Framework for Regulatory Oversight of Laboratory Developed Tests (LDTs)," or the Framework Guidance, and "FDA Notification and Medical Device Reporting for Laboratory Developed Tests (LDTs)". The FDA halted finalization of the guidance in November 2016 to allow for further public discussion on an appropriate oversight approach to LDTs and to give congressional authorizing committees the opportunity to develop a legislative solution, and FDA issued a discussion paper on possible approaches to LDT regulation in January 2017.

In addition, the FDA and Congress have, for over the past decade, considered a number of proposals to end the FDA's enforcement discretion policy for LDTs and subject LDTs to additional regulatory requirements. For example, Congress has recently worked on legislation to create an LDT and in vitro diagnostic regulatory framework for all in vitro clinical tests that would be separate and distinct from the existing medical device regulatory framework. In June 2021, members of the U.S. House of Representatives formally introduced the VALID Act (Verifying Accurate Leading-edge IVCT Development Act of 2021) and an identical version of the bill was introduced in the U.S. Senate. If passed in its current form, the VALID Act would create a new category of medical products separate from medical devices called "in vitro clinical tests," or IVCTs, and bring all such products within the scope of FDA's oversight. The VALID Act appears to contemplate that traditional LDTs would become subject to FDA regulation as IVCTs, and that all IVCTs would be categorized as either high-risk or low-risk, distinct from FDA's existing classification for medical devices into Class I, Class II, or Class III. As proposed, the risk classification for an IVCT would depend on certain factors, including the risk to the patient or public health of an inaccurate result, the extent to which, the test is well-understood and/or how well-characterized it is, the clinical circumstances under which the test is used, and the availability of other tests and any mitigating measures. Depending on the risk classification, new IVCTs, or certain modifications to existing IVCTs, could be subject to premarket review. Notably, the bill currently includes a provision that would "grandfather" certain tests that were commercialized before the enactment of the legislation, subject to certain requirements. It is unclear whether the VALID Act or any other legislative proposals would be passed by Congress or signed into law by the President.

Even if the FDA does not modify its policy of enforcement discretion, they may impose significant regulatory requirements, including the requirement for premarket review and subsequent marketing authorization at some point in the future. We may also be required to conduct clinical studies to support our planned product launches. If we are required to conduct such clinical trials, delays in the commencement or completion of clinical testing could significantly increase our test development costs and delay commercialization of any products.

If we do not obtain and maintain any required international regulatory registrations and marketing authorizations or certifications for our products, we will be unable to market and sell such products outside of the United States.

Sales of our products outside of the United States will remain subject to foreign regulatory requirements that vary widely from country to country. In addition, the FDA regulates exports of medical devices from the United States. While the regulations of some countries may not impose significant barriers to marketing and selling our products or only require notification to regulators or third parties, others require that we obtain affirmative marketing authorization from a specified regulatory body. Complying with foreign regulatory requirements, including obtaining registrations, marketing authorizations or certifications, can be expensive and time-consuming, and we may not receive necessary marketing authorizations in each country in which we plan to market our products or we may be unable to do so on a timely basis. The time required to obtain registrations and marketing authorizations, if required by other countries, may be longer than that required for FDA marketing authorizations, and requirements for such registrations or authorizations may significantly differ from FDA requirements. If we modify our products, we may need to apply for additional marketing authorizations before we are permitted to sell the modified product. In addition, we may not continue to meet the quality and safety standards required to maintain the authorizations that we have received. If we are unable to maintain our marketing authorizations in a particular country, we will no longer be able to sell the applicable product in that country.

Obtaining marketing authorization in the United States from the FDA does not ensure similar marketing authorization or certification by regulatory authorities or notified bodies in other countries, and registration, marketing authorization or certification by one or more foreign regulatory authorities or notified bodies does not ensure registration, marketing authorization, or certification by regulatory authorities or notified bodies in other foreign countries or by the FDA. However, a failure or delay in obtaining registration, marketing authorization or certification in one country may have a negative effect on the regulatory process in others.

Legislative or regulatory reforms in the United States or the EU may make it more difficult and costly for us to obtain marketing authorizations or certifications for any product candidate or to manufacture, market or distribute any product candidates after such authorizations have been obtained.

From time to time, legislation is drafted and introduced in Congress that could significantly change the statutory provisions governing the regulation of medical devices. In addition, the FDA may change its policies, adopt additional regulations or revise existing regulations, or take other actions, which may prevent or delay marketing authorization in the United States of our future products under development or impact our ability to modify any products for which we have already obtained marketing authorizations on a timely basis. Over the last several years, the FDA has proposed reforms to its 510(k) clearance process, and such proposals could include increased requirements for clinical data and a longer review period, or could make it more difficult for manufacturers to utilize the 510(k) clearance process for their products. For example, in November 2018, FDA officials announced steps that the FDA intended to take to modernize the premarket notification pathway under Section 510(k) of the FDCA. Among other things, the FDA announced that it planned to develop proposals to drive manufacturers utilizing the 510(k) pathway toward the use of newer predicates. These proposals included plans to potentially sunset certain older devices that were used as predicates under the 510(k) clearance pathway, and to potentially publish a list of devices that have been cleared on the basis of demonstrated substantial equivalence to predicate devices that are more than ten years old. These proposals have not yet been finalized or adopted, although the FDA may work with Congress to implement such proposals through legislation. Accordingly, it is unclear the extent to which any proposals, if adopted, could impose additional regulatory requirements on us that could delay our ability to obtain 510(k) clearances in the future, increase the costs of compliance, or restrict our ability to maintain any marketing authorizations that we may obtain, or otherwise create competition that may negatively affect our business.

More recently, in September 2019, the FDA issued revised final guidance describing an optional “safety and performance based” premarket review pathway for manufacturers of “certain, well-understood device types” to demonstrate substantial equivalence under the 510(k) clearance pathway by showing that such device meets objective safety and performance criteria established by the FDA, thereby obviating the need for manufacturers to compare the safety and performance of their medical devices to specific predicate devices in the clearance process. The FDA maintains a list of device types appropriate for the “safety and performance based” pathway and continues to develop product-specific guidance documents that identify the performance criteria for each such device type, as well as recommended testing methods, where feasible. The FDA may establish performance criteria for classes of devices similar to ours, and it is unclear the extent to which such performance standards, if established, could impact our ability to obtain marketing authorization or otherwise create competition that may negatively affect our business.

In addition, FDA regulations and guidance are often revised or reinterpreted by the FDA in ways that may significantly affect our business and our products. Any new statutes, regulations or revisions or reinterpretations of existing regulations may impose additional costs or lengthen review times of any product candidates or make it more difficult to obtain marketing authorizations for, manufacture, market or distribute any product candidate we are developing. We cannot determine what effect changes in regulations, statutes, legal interpretation or policies, when and if promulgated, enacted or adopted may have on our business in the future. Such changes could, among other things, require: additional testing prior to seeking marketing authorization, changes to manufacturing methods recalls, replacement or discontinuance of our products; or additional record keeping.

The FDA’s and other regulatory authorities’ policies may change and additional government regulations may be promulgated that could prevent, limit or delay marketing authorization of any product candidates we develop. If we are slow or unable to adapt to changes in existing requirements or the adoption of new requirements or policies, or if we are not able to maintain regulatory compliance, we may be subject to enforcement action and we may not achieve or sustain profitability.

The EU regulatory landscape concerning medical devices is evolving. On April 5, 2017, Regulation (EU) 2017/746 of the European Parliament and of the Council on in vitro diagnostic medical devices and repealing Directive 98/79/EC and Commission Decision 2010/227/EU, or the IVDR, was adopted to establish a modernized and more robust EU legislative framework, with the aim of ensuring better protection of public health and patient safety. Unlike directives, the IVDR does not need to be transposed into national law and therefore reduces the risk of discrepancies in interpretation across the different European markets.

The IVDR will become applicable five years after publication (on May 26, 2022). Once applicable, the IVDR will among other things:

- strengthen the rules on placing devices on the market and reinforce surveillance once they are available;
- establish explicit provisions on manufacturers’ responsibilities for the follow-up of the quality, performance and safety of devices placed on the market;
- establish explicit provisions on importers’ and distributors’ obligations and responsibilities;
- impose an obligation to identify a responsible person who is ultimately responsible for all aspects of compliance with the requirements of the new regulation;
- improve the traceability of medical devices throughout the supply chain to the end-user or patient through the introduction of a unique identification number, to increase the ability of manufacturers and regulatory authorities to trace specific devices through the supply chain and to facilitate the prompt and efficient recall of medical devices that have been found to present a safety risk;

- set up a central database (Eudamed) to provide patients, healthcare professionals and the public with comprehensive information on products available in the EU; and
- strengthen rules for the assessment of certain high-risk devices that may have to undergo an additional check by experts before they are placed on the market.

These modifications may have an effect on the way we conduct our business in the EEA.

Changes in funding for, or disruptions caused by global health concerns impacting, the FDA and other government agencies could hinder their ability to hire and retain key leadership and other personnel, or otherwise prevent new medical device products from being developed, authorized or commercialized in a timely manner, which could negatively impact our business.

The ability of the FDA, foreign regulatory agencies and notified bodies to review, authorize and certify the sale of new products can be affected by a variety of factors, including government budget and funding levels; its ability to hire and retain key personnel and accept the payment of user fees; statutory, regulatory, and policy changes; and other events that may otherwise affect the FDA's ability to perform routine functions. Average review times at the FDA have fluctuated in recent years as a result. In addition, government funding of other government agencies that fund research and development activities is subject to the political process, which is inherently fluid and unpredictable. Disruptions at the FDA and other agencies may also slow the time necessary for new devices, including in vitro diagnostics to be reviewed and/or authorized for marketing by necessary government agencies, which would adversely affect our business. For example, over the last several years, including for 35 days beginning on December 22, 2018, the U.S. government has shut down several times and certain regulatory agencies, such as the FDA, have had to furlough critical FDA employees and stop critical activities.

On July 10, 2020, the FDA announced its intention to resume certain on-site inspections of domestic manufacturing facilities subject to a risk-based prioritization system which had been postponed previously due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The FDA intends to use this risk-based assessment system to identify the categories of regulatory activity that can occur within a given geographic area, ranging from mission critical inspections to resumption of all regulatory activities. Other regulatory authorities may adopt similar restrictions or other policy measures in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. If a prolonged government shutdown occurs, or if global health concerns continue to prevent the FDA, other regulatory authorities or notified bodies from conducting business as usual or conducting inspections, reviews or other regulatory activities, it could significantly impact the ability of the FDA to timely review and process our regulatory submissions, which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

For instance, in the EU, notified bodies must be officially designated to certify products and services in accordance with the IVDR. Only a few notified bodies have been designated so far but the COVID-19 pandemic has significantly slowed down their designation process. Without IVDR designation, notified bodies may not yet start certifying devices in accordance with the new Regulation. As only a few notified bodies have been IVDR-designated they are facing a heavy workload and their review times have lengthened. This situation could impact the way we are conducting our business in the EU and the EEA.

If we are unable to effectively adapt to changes in the healthcare industry, including changes to laws and regulations regarding or affecting the U.S. healthcare reform, our business may be harmed.

Federal, state and local legislative bodies frequently pass legislation and promulgate regulations relating to healthcare reform or that affect the healthcare industry. We anticipate that there will continue to be increased government oversight and regulation of the healthcare industry in the future. We cannot predict the ultimate content, timing or effect of any new healthcare legislation or regulations, nor is it possible at this time to estimate the impact of potential new legislation or regulations on our business. It is possible that future legislation enacted by Congress or state legislatures, or regulations promulgated by regulatory authorities at the federal or state level, could adversely affect our business.

Our failure to maintain compliance of our future clinical laboratory operations with applicable laws could result in substantial civil or criminal penalties

The operation of a clinical laboratory by us will be in a highly regulated environment which, among other things, will require maintaining compliance with CLIA certification and state clinical laboratory licensing requirements. Failure to maintain compliance with these requirements may result in a range of enforcement actions, including certificate or license suspension, limitation, or revocation, directed plan of action, onsite monitoring, civil monetary penalties and criminal sanctions. Such failure may also result in significant adverse publicity. Any of these consequences could limit or entirely prevent our continued operation and therefore impact our financial performance.

Failure in, or security breaches or incidents impacting, our information technology, storage systems or our clinical laboratory equipment could significantly disrupt our operations and our research and development efforts.

Our ability to execute our business strategy will depend, in part, on the continued and uninterrupted performance of our information technology, or IT, systems, which support our operations, including at our proposed clinical laboratories, and our research and development efforts. We are dependent on our IT systems for many aspects of our business, including our needs to retain and store our confidential and proprietary business information and to receive and process test orders, securely store patient health records and deliver the results of our tests. The integrity and protection of our own data, and that of our customers and employees, is critical to our business. The regulatory environment governing information, security and privacy and data protection laws is increasingly demanding and continues to evolve. IT systems are vulnerable to damage from a variety of sources, including telecommunications or network failures, cyberattacks (including ransomware attacks) and other malicious human acts from criminal hackers, hacktivists, state-sponsored intrusions and other attacks, industrial espionage and employee malfeasance, breaches and incidents due to employee error or negligence, and natural disasters. Moreover, despite network security and back-up measures, some of our servers are potentially vulnerable to physical or electronic break-ins, computer viruses and other malicious code similar disruptive problems.

High-profile security breaches and incidents at other companies and in government agencies have increased in recent years, and security industry experts and government officials have warned about the risks of hackers and cyber-attacks targeting businesses such as ours. Cyber-attacks are becoming more sophisticated and frequent, and in some cases have caused significant harm. Computer hackers and others routinely attempt to breach the security of technology products, services and systems, and to fraudulently induce employees, customers, or others to disclose information or unwittingly provide access to systems or data. Much of our workforce currently works remotely rather than in our offices, and we may be more susceptible to security breaches and incidents as a result. Our service providers may be more susceptible to security breaches and other security incidents while social distancing measures restrict the ability of their employees to work at offices to combat the COVID-19 pandemic.

We may in the future experience attempted or successful cyber-attacks of our IT systems or networks. To date, we have not experienced any material cyber-attacks. However, any security breach or incident impacting, or interruption could compromise our networks and the information stored therein, including algorithms relating to our products, could be accessed by unauthorized parties, publicly disclosed, lost, inaccessible or unavailable, corrupted, or stolen. Despite the precautionary measures we have taken to prevent unanticipated problems that could affect our IT systems, unauthorized access to our systems, or disruptions or other security breaches impacting our IT systems, and any unauthorized access to, or, loss, inaccessibility, unavailability, corruption, theft or disclosure could also disrupt our operations, including our ability to:

- process tests, provide test results, bill payors or patients;
- process claims and appeals;
- provide customer assistance services;
- conduct research and development activities;
- collect, process and prepare company financial information;
- provide information about our tests and other patient and healthcare provider education and outreach efforts through our website; and
- and manage the administrative aspects of our business and damage our reputation.

Any such breach, incident, or other compromise of IT systems or data, or the perception that any of these has occurred, could result in legal claims or proceedings, liability under laws that protect the privacy of personal information, such as the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, similar U.S. state data protection regulations, including the California Consumer Privacy Act, or CCPA, the EU General Data Protection Regulation, or GDPR, and other regulations, the breach of which could result in claims, complaints, regulatory investigations and other proceedings, and significant fines, penalties, and other liability. We also may be required to incur significant costs in an effort to detect and prevent security breaches and other security-related incidents. Additionally, information obtained by third parties in connection with past or future cyberattacks or other security breaches or incidents could be used in ways that adversely affect our company or our stockholders.

Further, third-party service providers who support our operations, and our independent contractors (including CROs), consultants, collaborators, and service providers also may suffer interruptions and disruptions of systems and other breaches, incidents, or other compromises of or impacting their IT systems or data that they process or maintain for us, which may lead to any of the foregoing. We and our third-party service providers may not have the resources or technical sophistication to anticipate or prevent all cyberattacks or other sources of security breaches or incidents, and we or they may face difficulties or delays in identifying and responding to cyberattacks and data security breaches and incidents. In addition, the interpretation and application of consumer, health related and security, privacy and data protection laws in the United States, Europe and elsewhere are often uncertain, contradictory and in flux, such as in the area of international transfers of personal data. Complying with these various laws, and satisfying healthcare providers' and patients' evolving expectations with respect to data protection, could cause us to incur substantial costs or require us to change our business practices and compliance procedures in a manner adverse to our business.

We do not maintain insurance policies for cybersecurity-related matters, data handling or data security liabilities. The successful assertion of one or more large claims against us could have a material adverse effect on our business, including our financial condition, operating results, and reputation

Potential conflicts of interest between our management, Board of Directors, and significant stockholders and Tiziana could result in a divergence of interest between management and investors.

Certain directors, management personnel, and significant stockholders of Tiziana are also our directors, officers and significant stockholders. Additionally, a majority of the Board, as of the date hereof, consists of individuals who are also affiliated with Tiziana. Conflicts of interest may arise between the best interest of our stockholders and Tiziana with respect to the performance of services by Tiziana by us. There can be no assurances that any such conflicts will be resolved in our favor or will not adversely affect our business, operations or operating results.

Certain members of our management do not devote their full time to our company and certain of our officers and directors may have conflicts of interest.

While our executive officers devote such time to us as they deem reasonable and necessary to discharge the business of our company, our officers have professional interests in a variety of activities other than those relevant to us and are not required to devote any minimum amount of time to our business. Keeren Shah, our Chief Financial Officer also serves as Chief Financial Officer of Tiziana Life Sciences, OKYO Pharma Ltd and Actavia Life Sciences, Inc. (formerly known as Rasna Therapeutics Inc). Accordingly, conflicts may arise in the allocation of time between our company and one or more of these activities. While we expect that our board of directors and management will exercise their fiduciary obligation to our company, there are no assurances any conflicts of interest which may arise will be resolved in our favor.

Risks Specific to Our Financial Position and the Future Financing of the Business

We have incurred net losses in every year since our inception. We anticipate that we will continue to incur losses for the foreseeable future and may never achieve or maintain profitability.

We are a clinical stage diagnostic company with a limited operating history. Since our inception in May 2013, we have incurred significant net losses. Our net losses were \$1,505,102 and \$2,050,664 for the year ended December 31, 2024 and 2023, respectively. As of December 31, 2024, we had an accumulated deficit of \$8,027,047. We expect that it could be several years, if ever, before we have a commercialized product candidate. We expect to continue to incur significant and increasing operating expenses and losses for the foreseeable future. These net losses will adversely impact our stockholders' equity and net assets and may fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter and year to year. We anticipate that our expenses will increase substantially if, and as, we:

- manufacture our product candidates in accordance with current good manufacturing practices, or cGMP, for clinical trials or potential commercial sales;
- establish a sales, marketing and distribution infrastructure to commercialize any product candidate for which we may obtain marketing approval;
- develop, maintain, expand and protect our intellectual property portfolio;
- identify, assess, and acquire or in-license other product candidates and technologies;
- secure, maintain or obtain freedom to operate for any in-licensed technologies and products;
- address any competing technological and market developments; and
- expand our operations in the United States and Europe.

We may never succeed in any or all of these activities and, even if we do, we may never generate revenues that are significant or large enough to achieve profitability. If we do achieve profitability, we may not be able to sustain or increase profitability on a quarterly or annual basis. Our failure to become and remain profitable would decrease the value of our company and could impair our ability to raise capital, maintain our research and development, or R&D, efforts expand our business or continue our operations.

We need substantial additional funding to complete the development of its product candidates, which may not be available on acceptable terms, if at all. Failure to obtain this necessary capital when needed may force us to delay, limit or terminate certain of our product development, research operations or future commercialization efforts, if any

Our operations have consumed substantial amounts of cash since inception, and we expect our expenses to increase significantly in connection with our ongoing activities, particularly as we continue the R&D of, initiate further clinical trials of and seek marketing approval for, our product candidates. In addition, if we obtain marketing approval for our product candidates, we expect to incur significant expenses related to product sales, marketing, manufacturing and distribution.

Furthermore, we expect to incur additional costs associated with operating as a public reporting company in the United States.

If we are unable to obtain adequate funding on a timely basis, we may be required to significantly curtail, delay or discontinue our R&D programs of our product candidates or any future commercialization efforts, be unable to expand our operations or be unable to otherwise capitalize on our business opportunities, as desired, which could harm our business and potentially cause a discontinuation of operations.

Our financial condition and operating results have varied significantly in the past and losses are continuing and increasing due to a variety of factors, many of which are beyond our control.

Our financial condition and operating results have varied significantly in the past and losses are continuing and increasing due to a variety of factors, many of which are beyond our control. Factors relating to our business that may contribute to these fluctuations include:

- continuing our current research and development programs, including conducting preclinical and clinical studies for product candidates;
- initiating clinical trials for product candidates;
- the success of our clinical trials through all phases of clinical development;
- delays in the commencement, enrollment and timing of clinical trials;
- our ability to secure and maintain collaborations, licensing or other arrangements for the future development and/or commercialization of our product candidates, as well as the terms of those arrangements;
- our ability to obtain, as well as the timeliness of obtaining, additional funding to develop our product candidates;
- the results of clinical trials or marketing applications for product candidates that may compete with our product candidates;
- competition from existing products or new products that may receive marketing approval;
- potential side effects of our product candidates that could delay or prevent approval or cause an approved product to be taken off the market;
- any delays in regulatory review and approval of our product candidates;
- our ability to identify and develop additional product candidates;
- the ability of patients or healthcare providers to obtain coverage or sufficient reimbursement for our products;
- our ability, and the ability of third parties such as Clinical Research Organizations (“CROs”) to adhere to clinical study and other regulatory requirements;
- the ability of third-party manufacturers to manufacture our product candidates and key ingredients needed to conduct clinical trials and, if approved, successfully commercialize our products;
- the costs to us, and our ability as well as the ability of any third-party collaborators, to obtain, maintain and protect our intellectual property rights;
- costs related to and outcomes of potential intellectual property litigation;
- our ability to adequately support future growth;
- our ability to attract and retain key personnel to manage our business effectively; and
- our ability to build our finance infrastructure and, to the extent required, improve our accounting systems and controls.

Developing new products and services is a speculative and risky endeavor. Products or services that initially show promise may fail to achieve the desired results or may not achieve acceptable levels of analytical accuracy or clinical utility. We may need to alter our products in development and repeat clinical studies before we identify a potentially successful product or service. Product development is expensive, may take years to complete and can have uncertain outcomes. Failure can occur at any stage of the development. If, after development, a product or service appears successful, we may, depending on the nature of the product or service, still need to obtain U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, and other regulatory clearances, authorizations or approvals before we can market it. The FDA's clearance, authorization or approval pathways are likely to involve significant time, as well as additional research, development and clinical study expenditures. The FDA may not clear, authorize or approve any future product or service we develop. Even if we develop a product or service that receives regulatory clearance, authorization or approval, we would need to commit substantial resources to commercialize, sell and market it before it could be profitable, and the product or service may never be commercially successful. Additionally, development of any product or service may be disrupted or made less viable by the development of competing products or services.

New potential products and services may fail any stage of development or commercialization and if we determine that any of our current or future products or services are unlikely to succeed, we may abandon them without any return on our investment. If we are unsuccessful in developing additional products or services, our potential for growth may be impaired.

In cases where we are successful in obtaining regulatory approval to market one or more of our product candidates, our revenue will be dependent, in part, upon the size of the markets in the territories for which we gain regulatory approval, the accepted price for the product, the ability to obtain coverage and reimbursement, and whether we own the commercial rights for that territory. If the number of our addressable patients is not as significant as we estimate, the indication approved by regulatory authorities is narrower than we expect, or the treatment population is narrowed by competition, physician choice or treatment guidelines, we may not generate significant revenue from sales of such products, even if approved.

We expect our research and development expenses to continue to be significant in connection with our continued investment in our ongoing and planned clinical trials for our current product candidates and any future product candidates we may develop. Furthermore, if we obtain regulatory approval for our product candidates, we expect to incur increased sales and marketing expenses. In addition, once we are a public company, we will incur additional costs associated with operating as a public company. As a result, we expect to continue to incur significant and increasing operating losses and negative cash flows for the foreseeable future. These losses have had and will continue to have a material adverse effect on our stockholders' equity, financial position, cash flows and working capital.

Our recurring losses from operations raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern

Since inception, we have incurred cumulative losses from operations, negative cash flows from operating activities and have accumulated deficits of \$8,027,047 and \$6,521,945 as of December 31, 2024 and 2023, respectively. We expect to continue to generate significant operating losses for the foreseeable future. Based on our recurring losses from operations since inception and continued cash outflows from operating activities, in our audited consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2024 and 2023, we concluded that this circumstance raised substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern within one year from the original issuance date of such financial statements. Similarly, in its report on the consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2024 and 2023, our independent registered public accounting firm included an emphasis of matter paragraph stating that our recurring losses from operations and continued cash outflows from operating activities raised substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Our consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2024 and 2023 do not include any adjustments that may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Risks Related to our Business Operations

Risks relating to managing growth, employee matters and other risks relating to our business

As of December 31, 2024, we had two full-time employees. As we mature, we expect to expand our full-time employee base and hire more scientists, technicians and other skilled and experienced personnel. Our management may need to divert a disproportionate amount of its attention away from the day-to-day activities and devote a substantial amount of time toward managing these growth activities. We may not be able to effectively manage the expansion of our operations, which may result in weaknesses in our infrastructure, operational mistakes, loss of business opportunities, loss of employees and reduced productivity among remaining employees. Our expected growth could require significant capital expenditures and may divert financial resources from other projects, such as the development of additional products or technologies. If the management is unable to effectively manage our growth, our expenses may increase more than expected, the ability to generate and/or grow revenues could be reduced, and we may not be able to implement our business strategy. Our future financial performance and our ability to commercialize products and compete effectively will depend, in part, on our ability to effectively manage any future growth.

Challenges in identifying and retaining key personnel could impair our ability to conduct and grow our operations effectively

Our ability to compete in the highly competitive medical device industry depends upon our ability to attract and retain highly qualified management and sales teams. We are intending to recruit our own commercial team and expand our existing central infrastructure team. Many of the other pharmaceutical companies and academic institutions that we compete against for qualified personnel have greater financial and other resources, different risk profiles and a longer history in the industry than it does. We might not be able to attract or retain these key persons on conditions that are economically acceptable. Our inability to attract and retain these key persons could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial conditions and results of operation.

We are reliant upon the expertise and continued service of a small number of key individuals of our management, Board of Directors and scientific advisors

We rely on the expertise and experience of a small number of key individuals of our management, Directors and scientific advisors to continue to develop and manage our business. The retention of their services cannot be guaranteed. Accordingly, the departure of these key individuals could have a negative impact on our operations, financial condition, our ability to execute our business strategy and future prospects.

We intend to rely, in part, on the recruitment of appropriately qualified personnel, including personnel with a high level of scientific and technical expertise in the industry. We may be unable to find a sufficient number of appropriately highly trained individuals to satisfy its growth rate which could affect its ability to develop products as planned.

In addition, if we fail to succeed in pre-clinical or clinical studies, it may make it more challenging to recruit and retain appropriately qualified personnel. Our inability to recruit key personnel or the loss of the services of key personnel or consultants may impede the progress of our R&D objectives as well as the commercialization of our lead and other products, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. We do not have employment agreements with any of our executive officers, and they may voluntarily terminate their employment with us at any time.

We may become subject to product liability claims

We face an inherent risk of product liability and associated adverse publicity as a result of the clinical testing of our products and sales of our products once marketing approval is received from relevant regulatory authorities.

Criminal or civil proceedings might be filed against us by study subjects, patients, relevant regulatory authorities, pharmaceutical companies, and any other third party using or marketing our products. Any such product liability claims may include allegations of defects in manufacturing or design, negligence, strict liability, a breach of warranties and a failure to warn of dangers inherent in the product.

If we cannot successfully defend ourselves against product liability claims, we may incur substantial liabilities or be required to limit commercialization of our products, if approved. Even if we successfully defend ourselves against such product liability claims it could require significant financial and management resources. Regardless of the merits or eventual outcome, product liability claims may result in:

- decreased demand for our products due to negative public perception;
- injury to our reputation;
- withdrawal of clinical study participants or difficulties in recruiting new study participants;
- initiation of investigations by regulators;
- costs to defend or settle the related litigation;
- diversion of management's time and our resources;
- substantial monetary awards to patients, study participants or subjects;
- product recalls, withdrawals or labelling, marketing or promotional restrictions;
- loss of revenues from product sales; or
- the inability to commercialize any of our products, if approved.

Although we intend to maintain levels of insurance customary for our sector to cover our current and future business operations, any claim that may be brought against us could result in a court judgment or settlement in an amount that is not covered, in whole or in part, by our insurance or that is in excess of the limits of our insurance coverage. Our insurance policies also have various exclusions, and we may be subject to a product liability claim for which we have no coverage. In such cases, we would have to pay any amounts awarded by a court or negotiated in a settlement that exceed our coverage limitations or that are not covered by our insurance, and we may not have, or be able to obtain, sufficient capital to pay such amounts. Any such judgment could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, business reputation and could adversely affect the market for our products.

If we or our partners, licensees and subcontractors were unable to obtain and maintain appropriate insurance coverage at an acceptable cost, or to protect ourselves or ourselves in any way against actions for damages, this would seriously affect the marketing of our products and, more generally, be detrimental to our business, prospects, results of operations or financial condition.

Market and economic conditions may negatively impact our business, financial condition and share price.

Concerns over medical epidemics, energy costs, geopolitical issues, the U.S. mortgage market and a deteriorating real estate market, unstable global credit markets and financial conditions, and volatile oil prices have led to periods of significant economic instability, diminished liquidity and credit availability, declines in consumer confidence and discretionary spending, diminished expectations for the global economy and expectations of slower global economic growth, increased unemployment rates, and increased credit defaults in recent years. Our general business strategy may be adversely affected by any such economic downturns (including the current downturn related to inflation and the Russia-Ukraine conflict), volatile business environments and continued unstable or unpredictable economic and market conditions. If these conditions continue to deteriorate or do not improve, it may make any necessary debt or equity financing more difficult to complete, more costly, and more dilutive. Failure to secure any necessary financing in a timely manner and on favorable terms could have a material adverse effect on our growth strategy, financial performance, and share price and could require us to delay or abandon development or commercialization plans.

Clinical trials are expensive, time-consuming, and may not be successful.

Clinical trials are expensive, time-consuming, and may not be successful. They involve the evaluation of diagnostic tests to determine the safety and efficacy of the diagnostic tests necessary for an approved diagnostic technology. Many tests and products in human clinical trials fail to demonstrate the desired safety and efficacy characteristics. Even if our tests and products candidates progress successfully through initial or subsequent human testing, they may fail in later phases of development. We may engage others to conduct our clinical trials, including clinical research organizations and government-sponsored agencies. These trials may not start or be completed as we forecast or may not achieve desired results.

We may experience numerous unforeseen events during, or as a result of, clinical trials that could delay or prevent our ability to receive marketing authorization or commercialize our diagnostic technologies, including:

- regulators or institutional review boards may not authorize us or our investigators to commence a clinical trial or conduct a clinical trial at a prospective trial site;
- we may experience delays in reaching, or fail to reach, agreement on acceptable clinical trial contracts or clinical trial protocols with prospective trial sites;
- clinical trials may produce negative or inconclusive results, and we may decide, or regulators may require us, to conduct additional clinical trials or abandon product and test development programs;
- the number of patients required for clinical trials may be larger than we anticipate, enrollment in these clinical trials may be slower than we anticipate, or participants may drop out of these clinical trials at a higher rate than we anticipate;
- our third-party contractors may fail to comply with regulatory requirements or meet their contractual obligations to us in a timely manner, or at all;
- we may have to suspend or terminate clinical trials for various reasons, including a finding that the participants are being exposed to unacceptable health risks;
- regulators or institutional review boards may require that we or our investigators suspend or terminate clinical research for various reasons, including noncompliance with regulatory requirements or a finding that the participants are being exposed to unacceptable health risks;
- the cost of clinical trials may be greater than we anticipate; or
- regulators may revise the requirements for approving our diagnostic technologies, or such requirements may not be as we anticipate.

If we are required to conduct additional clinical trials or other testing beyond those that we currently contemplate, if we are unable to successfully complete clinical trials or other testing, if the results of these trials or tests are not positive or are only modestly positive or if there are safety concerns, we may:

- be delayed in obtaining marketing approval;
- not obtain marketing approval at all, which would seriously impair our viability;
- obtain marketing approval in some countries and not in others;
- obtain approval for indications or patient populations that are not as broad as we intend or desire;
- obtain approval with labeling that includes significant use or distribution restrictions or safety warnings;
- be subject to additional post-marketing testing requirements; or
- have the diagnostic test removed from the market after obtaining marketing approval.

Our product and test development costs will increase if we experience delays in clinical testing or marketing approvals. We do not know whether any of our preclinical studies or clinical trials will begin as planned, will need to be restructured, or will be completed on schedule or at all. Significant preclinical or clinical trial delays also could shorten any periods during which we may have the exclusive right to commercialize our diagnostic technology or allow our competitors to bring diagnostic tests to market before we do, potentially impairing our ability to successfully commercialize our diagnostic technologies and harming our business and results of operations.

If testing of a particular diagnostic test or product candidate does not yield successful results, then we will be unable to commercialize that test or product candidate.

We must demonstrate that the product safety and efficacy of our candidates for diagnostic tests and product candidates in humans through extensive clinical testing. Our research and development programs are at an early stage of development. We may experience numerous unforeseen events during, or as a result of, the testing process that could delay or prevent commercialization of any test or product, including the following:

- the results of pre-clinical studies may be inconclusive, or they may not be indicative of results that will be obtained in human clinical trials;
- safety and efficacy results attained in early human clinical trials may not be indicative of results that are obtained in later clinical trials;
- after reviewing test results, we may abandon projects that we might previously have believed to be promising;
- we or our regulators may suspend or terminate clinical trials because the participating subjects or patients are being exposed to unacceptable health risks; and
- our test or product candidates may not have the desired effects or may include undesirable side effects or other characteristics that preclude regulatory approval or limit their commercial use if approved.

Even if our diagnostic tests or product candidates receive marketing approval, they may fail to achieve the degree of market acceptance by physicians, patients, third-party payers and others in the medical community necessary for commercial success.

Even if our products receive marketing approval, they may nonetheless fail to gain sufficient market acceptance by physicians, patients, third-party payers, and others in the medical community. If we do not generate significant product revenues, we may not become profitable. The degree of market acceptance of our products and tests, if approved for commercial sale, will depend on a number of factors, including:

- their efficacy, safety and other potential advantages compared to alternative tests or products;
- our ability to offer them for sale at competitive prices;
- their convenience and ease of administration compared to alternative diagnostics or treatments;
- the willingness of the target patient population to try new diagnostic tests and of physicians to order these tests;
- the willingness of the target patient population to try new therapies and of physicians to prescribe these therapies;
- the strength of marketing and distribution support;
- the availability of governmental agencies and third-party medical insurance and adequate reimbursement for our diagnostic tests or product candidates;
- any restrictions on the use of our diagnostic tests or product candidates together with other diagnostic methods or therapeutic treatments;
- any restrictions on the use of our diagnostic tests or product candidates together with other medications;
- inability of certain types of patients to produce adequate samples for analysis in the use of our diagnostic tests; and
- inability of certain types of patients to use our diagnostic tests.

If we are unable to address and overcome these and similar concerns, our business and results of operations could be substantially harmed.

If we are unable to establish effective sales, marketing, and distribution capabilities or enter into agreements with third parties with such capabilities, we may not be successful in commercializing our diagnostic tests or product candidates if and when they are approved.

We do not have a sales or marketing infrastructure and have limited experience in the sale, marketing, or distribution of our diagnostic tests or product candidates. To achieve commercial success for any diagnostic test or product candidates for which we obtain marketing approval, we will need to successfully establish and maintain relationships directly and with third parties to perform sales and marketing functions.

Factors that may inhibit our efforts to commercialize our diagnostic tests or product candidates on our own include:

- our inability to recruit, train, and retain adequate numbers of effective sales, technical support, and marketing personnel;
- the inability of sales personnel to obtain access to or educate physicians on the benefits of our diagnostic tests or product candidates;
- the lack of complementary diagnostic tests or products to be offered by sales personnel, which may put us at a competitive disadvantage relative to companies with more extensive diagnostic tests or product lines;
- unforeseen costs and expenses associated with creating an independent sales, technical support, and marketing organization; and
- the inability to obtain sufficient coverage and reimbursement from third-party payors and governmental agencies.

If we do not establish sales, marketing, and distribution capabilities successfully, either on our own or in collaboration with third parties, we will not be successful in commercializing our diagnostic tests or product candidates.

If we are unable to convince physicians as to the benefits of our proposed diagnostic tests or product candidates, we may incur delays or additional expense in our attempt to establish market acceptance.

Broad use of our proposed diagnostic tests and products may require pathology laboratories and physicians to be informed regarding our proposed diagnostic tests and products and the intended benefits. Inability to carry out this physician education process may adversely affect market acceptance of our proposed diagnostic tests or products. We may be unable to timely educate physicians regarding our proposed diagnostic tests or products in sufficient numbers to achieve our marketing plans or to achieve acceptance of our diagnostic tests or products. Any delay in physician education may materially delay or reduce demand for our diagnostic tests or products. In addition, we may expend significant funds toward physician education before any acceptance or demand for our proposed diagnostic tests or products is created, if at all.

We face substantial competition, which may result in others discovering, developing, or commercializing competing diagnostic tests or products before or more successfully than we do.

The development and commercialization of new diagnostic technologies is highly competitive. We face competition and will face competition with respect to any diagnostic technology that we may seek to develop or commercialize in the future, from major diagnostic and pharmaceutical companies, LDT laboratories, smaller diagnostic and pharmaceutical companies, and biotechnology companies worldwide. Potential competitors also include academic institutions, government agencies, and other public and private research organizations that conduct research, seek patent protection, and establish collaborative arrangements for research, development, manufacturing, and commercialization.

A substantial number of the companies against which we are competing have or, against which we may compete in the future may have, significantly greater financial resources, established presence in the market, and expertise in research and development, manufacturing, preclinical testing, conducting clinical trials, obtaining regulatory approvals, and marketing approved diagnostic tests or products than we do. Mergers and acquisitions in the diagnostic, pharmaceutical, and biotechnology industries may result in even more resources being concentrated among a smaller number of our competitors.

Smaller and other early-stage companies may also prove to be significant competitors, particularly through collaborative arrangements with large and established companies. These third parties compete with us in recruiting and retaining qualified scientific, sales, marketing, and management personnel, establishing clinical trial sites and patient registration for clinical trials, and acquiring technologies complementary to, or necessary for, our programs.

Our commercial opportunity could be reduced or eliminated if our competitors develop and commercialize diagnostic tests or products that are more accurate, more convenient, or less expensive than any diagnostic tests or products that we may develop. Our competitors also may obtain FDA or other regulatory approval for their diagnostic tests or products more rapidly than we may obtain approval for ours, which could result in our competitors establishing a stronger market position. In addition, our ability to compete may be affected in many cases by insurers or other third-party payors.

We may be unable to compete in our target marketplaces, which could impair our ability to generate revenues, thus causing a material adverse impact on our results of operations.

If users of our proposed diagnostic tests or products are unable to obtain adequate reimbursement from third-party payers or governmental agencies or if new restrictive legislation is adopted, market acceptance of our proposed tests or products may be limited, and we may not achieve revenues.

The continuing efforts of government and insurance companies, health maintenance organizations (“HMOs”) and other payers of healthcare costs to contain or reduce costs may affect our future revenues and profitability, as well as the future revenues and profitability of our potential customers, suppliers, and collaborative partners and the availability of capital. For example, in certain international markets, pricing or profitability of diagnostic tests and products is subject to government control. In the U.S., given recent federal and state government initiatives directed at lowering the total cost of healthcare, the U.S. Congress and state legislatures will likely continue to focus on healthcare reform, the cost of medical devices, tests and prescription pharmaceuticals, and Medicare and Medicaid reforms. While we cannot predict whether any such legislative or regulatory proposals will be adopted, the announcement or adoption of such proposals could materially harm our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Our ability to commercialize our proposed tests or products will depend in part on the extent to which appropriate reimbursement levels for the cost of our tests or products are obtained by governmental authorities, private health insurers, and other organizations such as HMOs. Governmental agencies and third-party payers are increasingly challenging the prices charged for medical tests, drugs, and services. Also, the trend toward managed healthcare in the U.S. and the concurrent growth of organizations such as HMOs, which could control or significantly influence the purchase of healthcare services, diagnostics, and drugs, as well as legislative proposals to reform healthcare or reduce government insurance programs, may all result in lower prices for or rejection of our tests or products.

Our employees, independent contractors, consultants, commercial partners and vendors may engage in misconduct or other improper activities, including noncompliance with regulatory standards and requirements.

Our business operations and current and future relationships with investigators, healthcare professionals, consultants, third-party payors and customers will be subject, directly or indirectly, to federal and state healthcare fraud and abuse laws, false claims laws, health information privacy and security laws, and other healthcare laws and regulations. If we are unable to comply, or have not fully complied, with such laws, we could face substantial penalties. We are exposed to the risk of employee fraud or other illegal activity by our employees, independent contractors, consultants, commercial partners, vendors and agents acting on behalf of us or our affiliates. Misconduct by these parties could include intentional, reckless and/or negligent conduct that fails to: comply with the regulations of the FDA or foreign health authorities; provide true, complete and accurate information to the FDA or foreign health authorities; comply with manufacturing standards we have established; comply with healthcare fraud and abuse laws in the United States and similar foreign fraudulent misconduct laws; or report financial information or data accurately or to disclose unauthorized activities to us.

The market for our proposed tests and products is competitive and rapidly changing, and new diagnostic technologies which may be developed by others could impair our ability to maintain and grow our business and remain competitive.

The diagnostic industry is subject to rapid and substantial technological change. Developments by others may render our proposed tests or products noncompetitive or obsolete, or we may be unable to keep pace with technological developments or other market factors. Technological competition from diagnostic, pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, universities, governmental entities, and others diversifying into the field is intense and is expected to increase.

Our resources are limited, and we may experience technical challenges inherent in such technologies. Competitors have developed or are in the process of developing technologies that are, or in the future may be, the basis for competition. Some of these technologies may have an entirely different approach or means of accomplishing similar diagnostic efficacy compared to our proposed tests or products. Our competitors may develop diagnostic technologies that are more effective or less costly than our proposed tests or products and therefore present a serious competitive threat.

The potential widespread acceptance of diagnostic tests that are alternatives to ours may limit market acceptance of our proposed tests or products, even if commercialized. Many of our targeted diseases and conditions can also be detected by other tests or treated by other medications. These tests and treatments may be widely accepted in medical communities and have a longer history of use. The established use of these competitive technologies may limit the potential for our technologies, formulations, tests and products to receive widespread acceptance if commercialized.

Risks Related to Our Reliance on Third Parties

We rely, and expect to continue to rely, on third parties to conduct our preclinical studies and clinical trials. If these third parties do not successfully carry out their contractual duties or meet expected deadlines, we may not be able to obtain regulatory approval for or commercialize our product candidates.

We have relied upon and plan to continue to rely upon third parties, including independent clinical investigators and third-party contract research organizations (“CROs”), to conduct our preclinical studies and clinical trials and to monitor and manage data for our ongoing preclinical and clinical programs. In engaging these third parties, we typically have to, and expect to have to, negotiate budgets and contracts, which may result in delays to our development timelines and increases costs. Additionally, there is a limited number of qualified third-party service providers that specialize or have the expertise required to achieve our business objectives, and so it may be challenging to find alternative investigators or CROs, or do so on commercially reasonable terms. We rely on these parties for execution of our preclinical studies and clinical trials, and control only certain aspects of their activities. Nevertheless, we are responsible for ensuring that each of our preclinical studies and clinical trials is conducted in accordance with the applicable protocol and legal, regulatory and scientific standards, and our reliance on these third parties does not relieve us of our regulatory responsibilities. We and our third-party contractors and CROs are required to comply with Good Clinical Practice (“GCP”) requirements, which are regulations and guidelines enforced by the FDA, the Competent Authorities of the Member States of the European Economic Area and comparable foreign regulatory authorities for all of our product candidates in clinical development. Regulatory authorities enforce these GCP requirements through periodic inspections of trial sponsors, principal investigators and clinical trial sites. If we fail to exercise adequate oversight over any of our CROs or if we or any of our CROs fail to comply with applicable GCP requirements, the clinical data generated in our clinical trials may be deemed unreliable and the FDA, EMA or other regulatory authorities may require us to perform additional clinical trials before approving our marketing applications. We cannot assure you that upon a regulatory inspection of us or our CROs or other third parties performing services in connection with our clinical trials, such regulatory authority will determine that any of our clinical trials complies with GCP regulations. In addition, our clinical trials must be conducted with product produced under applicable cGMP regulations. Our failure to comply with these regulations may require us to repeat clinical trials, which would delay the regulatory approval process.

Further, these investigators and CROs are not our employees and we will not be able to control, other than by contract, the amount of resources, including time, which they devote to our product candidates and clinical trials. If independent investigators or CROs fail to devote sufficient resources to the development of our product candidates, or if their performance is substandard, it may delay or compromise the prospects for approval and commercialization of our product candidates. These investigators and CROs may also have relationships with other commercial entities, including our competitors, for whom they may also be conducting clinical studies or other product development activities, which could affect their performance on our behalf. In addition, the use of third-party service providers requires us to disclose our proprietary information to these parties, which increases the risk that a competitor will discover them or that this information will be misappropriated or disclosed.

If any of our relationships with these third-party CROs terminate, we may not be able to enter into arrangements with alternative CROs or to do so on commercially reasonable terms. If CROs do not successfully carry out their contractual duties or obligations or meet expected deadlines, if they need to be replaced or if the quality or accuracy of the clinical data they obtain is compromised due to the failure to adhere to our clinical protocols, regulatory requirements or for other reasons, our clinical trials may be extended, delayed or terminated and we may not be able to obtain regulatory approval for or successfully commercialize our product candidates. As a result, our results of operations and commercial prospects would be harmed, our costs could increase and our ability to generate revenues could be delayed.

Repeating clinical trials or switching or engaging additional CROs involves additional cost and requires our management's time and focus. In addition, there is a natural transition period when a clinical trial has to be repeated or when a new CRO commences work. As a result, delays could occur, which could materially impact our ability to meet our desired clinical development timelines.

Our failure to find third party collaborators to assist or share in the costs of product development could materially harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our strategy for the development and commercialization of our proprietary product candidates may include the execution of collaborative arrangements with third parties. Future collaborators will have significant discretion in determining the efforts and resources they apply and may not perform their obligations as expected. Potential third-party collaborators include biopharmaceutical, pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, academic institutions and other entities. Third-party collaborators may assist us in:

- funding research, pre-clinical development, clinical trials and manufacturing;
- seeking and obtaining regulatory approvals; and
- successfully commercializing any future product candidates.

If we are not able to establish collaboration agreements, we may be required to undertake product development and commercialization at our own expense. Such an undertaking may limit the number of product candidates that we will be able to develop, significantly increase our capital requirements and place additional strain on our internal resources. Our failure to enter into additional collaborations could materially harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, our dependence on licensing, collaboration and other agreements with third parties may subject us to a number of risks. These agreements may not be on terms that prove favorable to us and may require us to relinquish certain rights in our product candidates. To the extent we agree to work exclusively with one collaborator in a given area, our opportunities to collaborate with other entities could be curtailed. Lengthy negotiations with potential new collaborators may lead to delays in the research, development or commercialization of product candidates. The decision by our collaborators to pursue alternative technologies or the failure of our collaborators to develop or commercialize successfully any product candidate to which they have obtained rights from us could materially harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Related to Commercialization of Our Product Candidates

Even if we are successful in completing all pre-clinical studies and clinical trials, we may not be successful in commercializing one or more of our product candidates.

Even if we complete the necessary pre-clinical studies and clinical trials, the marketing approval process is expensive, time-consuming and uncertain and may prevent us from obtaining approvals for the commercialization of some or all of our product candidates. If we are not able to obtain, or if there are delays in obtaining, required regulatory approvals, we will not be able to commercialize our product candidates, and our ability to generate revenue will be materially impaired.

Our product candidates and the activities associated with their development and commercialization, including their design, testing, manufacture, safety, efficacy, recordkeeping, labeling, storage, approval, advertising, promotion, sale and distribution, export and import are subject to comprehensive regulation by the FDA and other regulatory agencies in the United States and by the EMA and similar regulatory authorities outside of the United States. Failure to obtain marketing approval for a product candidate will prevent us from commercializing the product candidate. We have not submitted an application for or received marketing approval for any of our product candidates in the United States or in any other jurisdiction.

We have only limited experience in filing and supporting the applications necessary to gain marketing approvals and expect to rely on third-party clinical research organizations or other third-party consultants or vendors to assist us in this process. Securing marketing approval requires the submission of extensive pre-clinical and clinical data and supporting information to regulatory authorities for each indication to establish the product candidate's safety and efficacy. Securing marketing approval also requires the submission of information about the drug manufacturing process to, and inspection of manufacturing facilities by, the regulatory authorities.

The process of obtaining marketing approvals, both in the United States and abroad, is expensive, may take many years, if approval is obtained at all, and can vary substantially based upon a variety of factors, including the type, complexity and novelty of the product candidates involved. Changes in marketing approval policies during the development period, changes in or the enactment of additional statutes or regulations, or changes in regulatory review for each submitted drug application, may cause delays in the approval or rejection of an application. Regulatory authorities have substantial discretion in the approval process and may refuse to accept any application or may decide that our data is insufficient for approval and require additional pre-clinical, clinical or other studies. In addition, varying interpretations of the data obtained from pre-clinical studies and clinical trials could delay, limit or prevent marketing approval of a product candidate. Any marketing approval we ultimately obtain may be limited or subject to restrictions or post-approval commitments that render the approved drug not commercially viable.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

Further issuances of Common Shares may be dilutive

We may decide to offer additional shares in the future for capital raising or other purposes. Shareholders who do not take up or who are not eligible to take such an offer will find their proportionate ownership and voting interests in us to be reduced. An additional offering could also have a material adverse effect on the market price of the Common Shares as a whole.

Economic conditions and current economic weakness

Any economic downturn either globally or locally in any area in which we operate may have an adverse effect on the demand for our services. A more prolonged economic downturn may restrict our ability to generate a profit.

In addition, although signs of economic recovery have been perceptible in certain countries, the sustainability of a global economic upturn is not yet assured. If economic conditions remain uncertain this might have an adverse impact on our operations and business results.

We presently do not intend to pay cash dividends on our common stock.

We expect that no cash dividends will be paid on the common stock in the foreseeable future. While our dividend policy will be based on the operating results and capital needs of the business, it is anticipated that all earnings, if any, will be retained to finance the future expansion of our business.

Our principal shareholder has a significant holding in the company which may give them influence in certain matters requiring approval by shareholders, including approval of significant corporate transactions in certain circumstances

As of December 31, 2024, Gabriele Cerrone and Planwise Group Limited, a company in which Mr. Cerrone is the sole beneficial owner, held a beneficial ownership interest in aggregate of approximately 39.21% of our outstanding common stock. Accordingly, Mr. Cerrone will, as a practical matter, be able to significantly influence certain matters requiring approval by stockholders, including approval of significant corporate transactions in certain circumstances. Such concentration of ownership may also have the effect of delaying or preventing any future proposed change in control of the Company. The trading price of the common stock could be adversely affected if potential new investors are disinclined to invest in us because they perceive disadvantages to a large shareholding being concentrated in the hands of a single shareholder.

We are an “emerging growth company”, and there are reduced disclosure requirements applicable to emerging growth companies

We are an “emerging growth company” as defined in the SEC’s rules and regulations and we will remain an emerging growth company until the earlier of (1) the last day of 2025, (2) the last day of the fiscal year in which we have total annual gross revenues of at least \$1.235 billion, (3) the last day of the fiscal year in which we are deemed to be a “large accelerated filer”, under the SEC’s rules, which means the market value of our equity securities that is held by non-affiliates exceeds \$700 million as of the prior June 30th, and (4) the date on which we have issued more than \$1.0 billion in non-convertible debt during the prior three-year period. For so long as we remain an emerging growth company, we are permitted and intend to rely on exemptions from certain disclosure requirements that are applicable to other public companies that are not emerging growth companies. These exemptions include:

- not being required to comply with the auditor attestation requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act;
- reduced disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation; and
- an exemption from the requirement to seek nonbinding advisory votes on executive compensation or golden parachute arrangements.

We may choose to take advantage of some, but not all, of the available exemptions. We have taken advantage of reduced reporting burdens in this registration statement. In particular, we have not included all of the executive compensation information that would be required if we were not an emerging growth company.

In addition, the JOBS Act provides that an emerging growth company may take advantage of an extended transition period for complying with new or revised accounting standards. This allows an emerging growth company to delay the adoption of certain accounting standards until those standards would otherwise apply to private companies. We are considering whether we will take advantage of the extended transition period for complying with new or revised accounting standards.

Our internal computer systems, or those of our collaborators or other contractors or consultants, may fail or suffer security breaches, which could result in a material disruption of our product development programs.

Our internal computer systems and those of our current and any future collaborators and other contractors or consultants are vulnerable to damage from computer viruses, unauthorized access, natural disasters, terrorism, war and telecommunication and electrical failures. While we have not experienced any such material system failure, accident or security breach to date, if such an event were to occur and cause interruptions in our operations, it could result in a disruption of our development programs and our business operations, whether due to a loss of our trade secrets or other proprietary information or other similar disruptions. For example, the loss of clinical trial data from future clinical trials could result in delays in our regulatory approval efforts and significantly increase our costs to recover or reproduce the data. To the extent that any disruption or security breach were to result in a loss of, or damage to, our data or applications, or inappropriate disclosure of confidential or proprietary information, we could incur liability, our competitive position could be harmed and the further development and commercialization of our product candidates could be delayed.

We could be subject to risks caused by misappropriation, misuse, leakage, falsification or intentional or accidental release or loss of information maintained in the information systems and networks of our company and our vendors, including personal information of our employees and study subjects, and company and vendor confidential data. In addition, outside parties may attempt to penetrate our systems or those of our vendors or fraudulently induce our personnel or the personnel of our vendors to disclose sensitive information in order to gain access to our data and/or systems. We may experience threats to our data and systems, including malicious codes and viruses, phishing and other cyberattack. The number and complexity of these threats continue to increase over time. If a material breach of, or accidental or intentional loss of data from, our information technology systems or those of our vendors occurs, the market perception of the effectiveness of our security measures could be harmed and our reputation and credibility could be damaged. We could be required to expend significant amounts of money and other resources to repair or replace information systems or networks. In addition, we could be subject to regulatory actions and/or claims made by individuals and groups in private litigation involving privacy issues related to data collection and use practices and other data privacy laws and regulations, including claims for misuse or inappropriate disclosure of data, as well as unfair or deceptive practices. Although we develop and maintain systems and controls designed to prevent these events from occurring, and we have a process to identify and mitigate threats, the development and maintenance of these systems, controls and processes is costly and requires ongoing monitoring and updating as technologies change and efforts to overcome security measures become increasingly sophisticated. Moreover, despite our efforts, the possibility of these events occurring cannot be eliminated entirely. As we outsource more of our information systems to vendors, engage in more electronic transactions with payors and patients, and rely more on cloud-based information systems, the related security risks will increase and we will need to expend additional resources to protect our technology and information systems. In addition, there can be no assurance that our internal information technology systems or those of our third-party contractors, or our consultants’ efforts to implement adequate security and control measures, will be sufficient to protect us against breakdowns, service disruption, data deterioration or loss in the event of a system malfunction, or prevent data from being stolen or corrupted in the event of a cyberattack, security breach, industrial espionage attacks or insider threat attacks which could result in financial, legal, business or reputational harm.

Significant disruptions of information technology systems or breaches of data security could adversely affect our business.

Our business is increasingly dependent on critical, complex, and interdependent information technology systems, including Internet-based systems, to support business processes as well as internal and external communications. These systems are also critical to enable remote working arrangements, which have been growing in importance. The size and complexity of our computer systems make us potentially vulnerable to IT system breakdowns, internal and external malicious intrusion, and computer viruses and ransomware, which may impact product production and key business processes. We also have outsourced significant elements of our information technology infrastructure and operations to third parties, which may allow them to access our confidential information and may also make our systems vulnerable to service interruptions or to security breaches from inadvertent or intentional actions by such third parties or others.

In addition, our systems are potentially vulnerable to data security breaches - whether by employees or others - which may expose sensitive data to unauthorized persons. Data security breaches could lead to the loss of trade secrets or other intellectual property, result in demands for ransom or other forms of blackmail, or lead to the public exposure of personal information (including sensitive personal information) of our employees, clinical trial patients, customers, and others. Such attacks are of ever-increasing levels of sophistication and are made by groups and individuals with a wide range of motives (including industrial espionage or extortion) and expertise, including by organized criminal groups, "hacktivists," nation states, and others. As a company with an increasingly global presence, our systems are subject to frequent attacks. There is the potential that our systems may be directly or indirectly affected as nation-states conduct global cyberwarfare, including in connection with the current Russia-Ukraine or Hamas-Israel armed conflict.

Due to the nature of some of these attacks, there is a risk that an attack may remain undetected for a period of time. While we continue to make investments to improve the protection of data and information technology, and to oversee and monitor the security measures of our suppliers and/or service providers, there can be no assurance that our efforts will prevent service interruptions or security breaches. In addition, we depend in part on third-party security measures over which we do not have full control to protect against data security breaches.

If we or our suppliers and/or service providers fail to maintain or protect our information technology systems and data security effectively and in compliance with U.S. and foreign laws, or fail to anticipate, plan for, or manage significant disruptions to these systems, we or our suppliers and/or service providers could have difficulty preventing, detecting, or controlling such disruptions or security breaches, which could result in legal proceedings, liability under U.S. and foreign laws that protect the privacy of personal information, disruptions to our operations, government investigations, breach of contract claims, and damage to our reputation (in each case in the U.S. or globally), which could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, operating results, and financial condition.

We will incur increased costs as a result of operating as a U.S. public company, and our management will be required to devote substantial time to new compliance initiatives

As a U.S. public company, and particularly after we are no longer an emerging growth company, we will incur significant legal, accounting and other expenses that we will not incur as a private company. In addition, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and rules subsequently implemented by the SEC have imposed various requirements on public companies, including establishment and maintenance of effective disclosure and financial controls and corporate governance practices. Our management and other personnel will need to devote a substantial amount of time to these compliance initiatives. Moreover, these rules and regulations will increase our legal and financial compliance costs and will make some activities more time-consuming and costly. For example, we expect that these rules and regulations may make it more difficult and more expensive for us to obtain director and officer liability insurance.

Pursuant to Section 404, we will be required to furnish a report by our management on our internal control over financial reporting, including an attestation report on internal control over financial reporting issued by our independent registered public accounting firm. However, while we remain an emerging growth company, we will not be required to include an attestation report on internal control over financial reporting issued by our independent registered public accounting firm. To achieve compliance with Section 404 within the prescribed period, we will be engaged in a process to document and evaluate our internal control over financial reporting, which is both costly and challenging. In this regard, we will need to continue to dedicate internal resources, potentially engage outside consultants and adopt a detailed work plan to assess and document the adequacy of internal control over financial reporting, continue steps to improve control processes as appropriate, validate through testing that controls are functioning as documented and implement a continuous reporting and improvement process for internal control over financial reporting. Despite our efforts, there is a risk we will not be able to conclude within the prescribed timeframe that our internal control over financial reporting is effective as required by Section 404. This could result in an adverse reaction in the financial markets due to a loss of confidence in the reliability of our financial statements.

If we are unable to maintain an effective system of internal control over financial reporting, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results or prevent fraud. As a result, shareholders could lose confidence in our financial and other public reporting, which would harm our business

Effective internal controls over financial reporting are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports and, together with adequate disclosure controls and procedures, are designed to prevent fraud. Any failure to implement required new or improved controls, or difficulties encountered in their implementation could cause us to fail to meet our reporting obligations. In addition, any testing by us conducted in connection with Section 404, or any subsequent testing by our independent registered public accounting firm, may reveal deficiencies in our internal controls over financial reporting that are deemed to be material weaknesses or that may require prospective or retroactive changes to our financial statements or identify other areas for further attention or improvement. Inferior internal controls could also cause you to lose confidence in our reported financial information.

Our management will be required to assess the effectiveness of these controls annually. However, for as long as we are an emerging growth company, our independent registered public accounting firm will not be required to attest to the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting pursuant to Section 404. We could be an emerging growth company for up to five years. An independent assessment of the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting could detect problems that our management's assessment might not. Undetected material weaknesses in our internal controls over financial reporting could lead to financial statement restatements and require us to incur the expense of remediation.

We have been a private company with limited accounting personnel to adequately execute our accounting processes and limited supervisory resources with which to address our internal control over financial reporting. As a newly public company, we have designed a control environment as required of public companies under the rules and regulations of the SEC. The Company identified a material weakness as of December 31, 2024 over internal controls over financial reporting due to a lack of accounting resources. If we fail to remediate a material weakness, or if we experience material weaknesses in the future or otherwise fail to maintain an effective system of internal controls in the future, we may not be able to accurately or timely report our financial condition or results of operations, which may adversely affect investor confidence in us and, as a result, the value of our common stock.

Our disclosure controls and procedures may not prevent or detect all errors or acts of fraud

Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to reasonably assure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC. We believe that any disclosure controls and procedures or internal controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people or by an unauthorized override of the controls. Accordingly, because of the inherent limitations in our control system, misstatements or insufficient disclosures due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Future changes to tax laws could materially adversely affect our company and reduce net returns to our shareholders

Recently enacted U.S. tax legislation has significantly changed the U.S. federal income taxation of U.S. corporations, including by reducing the U.S. corporate income tax rate, limiting interest deductions, modifying or repealing many business deductions and credits (including reducing the business tax credit for certain clinical testing expenses incurred in the testing of certain drugs for rare diseases or conditions generally referred to as "orphan drugs"), adopting elements of a territorial tax system, imposing a one-time transition tax, or repatriation tax, on all undistributed earnings and profits of certain U.S.- owned foreign corporations, revising the rules governing net operating losses and the rules governing foreign tax credits, and introducing new anti-base erosion provisions. Many of these changes are effective immediately, without any transition periods or grandfathering for existing transactions.

While some of the changes made by the tax legislation may adversely affect us in one or more reporting periods and prospectively, other changes may be beneficial on a going forward basis.

Trading on the OTC Markets may be volatile and sporadic, which could depress the market price of our common stock and make it difficult for our stockholders to resell their shares.

Our common stock is quoted on the OTC Markets. Trading in stock quoted on the OTC Markets is often thin and characterized by wide fluctuations in trading prices, due to many factors that may have little to do with our operations or business prospects. This volatility could depress the market price of our common stock for reasons unrelated to operating performance. Moreover, the OTC Markets is not a stock exchange, and trading of securities on the OTC Markets is often more sporadic than the trading of securities listed on a quotation system like NASDAQ or a stock exchange like the NYSE MKT. Accordingly, shareholders may have difficulty reselling any of their shares and the lack of liquidity may negatively impact our ability to pursue strategic alternatives.

Climate change initiatives could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Both domestic and international legislation to address climate change by reducing greenhouse gas emissions and establishing a price on carbon could create increases in energy costs and price volatility. Considerable international attention is now focused on development of an international policy framework to address climate change. Consumers and businesses also may change their behavior on their own as a result of these concerns. We will need to respond to new laws and regulations as well as consumer and business preferences resulting from climate change concerns. We may face cost increases, asset value reductions and operating process changes. The impact on our business will likely vary depending on specific attributes, including reliance on or role in carbon intensive activities.

Item 1b. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable.

1c. Cybersecurity

We believe cybersecurity is critical to advancing our technological advancements. As a biopharmaceutical company, we face a multitude of cybersecurity threats that range from attacks common to most industries, such as ransomware and denial-of service. Our customers, suppliers, subcontractors, and business partners face similar cybersecurity threats, and a cybersecurity incident impacting us or any of these entities could materially adversely affect our operations, performance, and results of operations. These cybersecurity threats and related risks make it imperative that we expend resources on cybersecurity.

Our Board of Directors oversees management's processes for identifying and mitigating risks, including cybersecurity risks, to help align our risk exposure with our strategic objectives. Senior leadership, including our cybersecurity consultant, regularly briefs the Board of Directors on our cybersecurity and information security posture and the Board of Directors is apprised of cybersecurity incidents deemed to have a moderate or higher business impact, even if immaterial to us. The full Board retains oversight of cybersecurity because of its importance. In the event of an incident, we intend to follow our detailed incident response playbook, which outlines the steps to be followed from incident detection to mitigation, recovery, and notification, including notifying functional areas (e.g., legal), as well as senior leadership and the Board, as appropriate. Our Cybersecurity consultant has extensive information technology and program management experience. We have implemented a governance structure and processes to assess, identify, manage, and report cybersecurity risks.

As a medical diagnostic company, we must comply with extensive regulations, including requirements imposed by the Federal Drug Administration related to adequately safeguarding patient information and reporting cybersecurity incidents to the SEC. We work with our cybersecurity consultant on assessing cybersecurity risk and on policies and practices aimed at mitigating these risks. We believe we are positioned to meet the requirements of the SEC. In addition to following SEC guidance and implementing pre-existing third party frameworks, we have developed our own practices and frameworks, which we believe enhance our ability to identify and manage cybersecurity risks. Third parties also play a role in our cybersecurity. We engage third-party services to conduct evaluations of our security controls, whether through penetration testing, independent audits, or consulting on best practices to address new challenges. Assessing, identifying, and managing cybersecurity related risks are factored into our overall business approach.

We rely heavily on our supply chain to deliver our products and services, and a cybersecurity incident at a supplier, subcontractor or business partner could materially adversely impact us. We require that our subcontractors report cybersecurity incidents to us so that we can assess the impact of the incident on us. Notwithstanding the extensive approach we take to cybersecurity, we may not be successful in preventing or mitigating a cybersecurity incident that could have a material adverse effect on us. While we maintain cybersecurity insurance, the costs related to cybersecurity threats or disruptions may not be fully insured. See "Risk Factors" for a discussion of cybersecurity risks.

Item 2. Properties

We do not own any property but utilize approximately 75 square feet of office space in London from Tiziana for which we reimburse Tiziana at cost through a shared services agreement. We believe that our existing facilities are adequate to meet our current needs for the foreseeable future, and that suitable additional alternative spaces will be available in the future on commercially reasonable terms if needed.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are not party to any material legal matters or claims. In the future, we may become party to legal matters and claims arising in the ordinary course of business, the resolution of which we do not anticipate would have a material adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market information

Our common stock has traded on the OTC QB under the symbol “ACUT” since March 23, 2022.

Number of Stockholders

As of March 31, 2025, we had approximately 510 holders of record of our common stock.

Dividend Policy

Historically, we have not paid any dividends to the holders of shares of our common stock, and we do not expect to pay any such dividends in the foreseeable future as we expect to retain our future earnings for use in the operation and expansion of our business.

Transfer Agent

Our transfer agent for our common stock is Pacific Stock Transfer Company.

Item 6: [Reserved]

Not applicable.

Item 7: Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Overview

We are a clinical stage diagnostics company dedicated to improving quality of life and outcomes for the more than 18 million people worldwide who are diagnosed with cancer each year. Our plan is to develop and commercialize a suite of novel genomic tests that support decision making along the entire continuum of oncology care. Our focus will be the launch of our proprietary genomic tests, MSC (MicroRNA Signature Classifier) for patients with lung nodules and StemPrintER for patients with early stage breast cancer. We estimate this market opportunity represents more than \$6.3 billion in annual revenue in the US, where we will focus our initial commercialization efforts.

The MSC test, a 24-micro RNA (miRNA) assay designed to help determine whether lung nodules identified by LDCT (low dose computer tomography) screening are benign or malignant. The test was designed to minimize overtreatment and undertreatment of the 1.6 million patients diagnosed with lung nodules each year in the US, while simultaneously reducing costs to the healthcare system by improving the accuracy of LDCT alone. MSC has been validated in multiple prospective, randomized cohorts representing over 5,000 patients and published in top tier journals including the *Journal of Clinical Oncology*.

StemPrintER, a 20-gene prognostic assay intended to predict the risk of distant recurrence (“DR”) in luminal (ER+/HER2-negative) breast cancer patients. The assay was developed to measure the “stemness” of tumors, or how much a tumor behaves like stem cells which could indicate how likely a cancer is to recur or be resistant to standard treatments, ultimately impacting how patients are managed by their multi-disciplinary care team. StemPrintER has been validated in more than 3,000 patients across multiple clinical cohorts including the TransATAC study. Together, these data confirm that StemPrintER is highly prognostic for outcomes in patients with breast cancer and indicate the potential utility of the test in the oncology clinic.

Beyond our initial plans for MSC and StemPrintER, we believe there is significant opportunity to expand our product portfolio. First, given the broad applicability of tumor “stemness”, which has been evaluated in a multitude of different cancers, we believe the StemPrint platform will have meaningful clinical utility beyond breast cancer. As such, we will seek to validate and commercialize StemPrint for a variety of different tumor types. In addition, we plan to offer ancillary commodity testing (e.g., hereditary genetic testing, somatic mutation testing) that augments our proprietary assays and provides additional information and value to patients and physicians throughout the patient care continuum.

We plan to launch MSC and StemPrintER once we have achieved several key milestones. The first, identifying or building a laboratory that will be responsible for processing, testing and reporting MSC and StemPrintER results for all commercial samples, has been completed with the execution of our agreement with EmeritusDx. Further, we plan to transfer the MSC and StemPrintER assays from the laboratories in which they were developed to our laboratory partner, EmeritusDx. Finally, upon establishing testing capabilities in our commercial laboratory, we will seek to obtain U.S. Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (“CLIA”) certification so that we are able to report results for clinical use and to seek reimbursement from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. We anticipate that it will take at least 12 months to complete these milestones. Once those tasks are complete, we plan to initially launch MSC and StemPrintER in the US and then expand to other markets as we evaluate clinical need and revenue opportunity.

Since our inception, we have devoted substantially all of our resources to conducting research and development of our product candidate. Our revenue is expected to be derived from different sources including standard private third-party and government medical insurance coverage and reimbursement models.

Financial Operations Overview

We have no products approved for commercial sale and have not generated revenue to date. We have never been profitable and have incurred net losses in each year since inception. We incurred net losses of \$1,505,102 and \$2,050,664 for the year ended December 31, 2024 and 2023, respectively. As of December 31, 2024, we had an accumulated deficit of \$8,027,047. Substantially all of our net losses resulted from expenses incurred in connection with our research and development programs and from general and administrative costs associated with our operations.

Segment Information

As of December 31, 2024, we viewed our operations and managed our business as one operating segment consistent with how our chief operating decision maker, our Chief Executive Officer, makes decisions regarding resource allocation and assessing performance. As of December 31, 2024, substantially all of our assets were located in the United States. Our headquarters and operations are located in New York, NY and London, UK.

Research and development expense

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred. These costs consist of internal and external expenses, as well as depreciation expense on assets used within our research and development activities. Internal expenses include the cost of salaries, benefits, and other related costs, including share-based compensation, for personnel serving in our research and development functions. External expenses include development, clinical trials, patent costs, and regulatory compliance costs incurred with research organizations, contract manufacturers, and other third-party vendors. License fees paid to acquire access to proprietary technology are expensed to research and development, unless it is determined that the technology is expected to have an alternative future use. All patent-related costs incurred in connection with filing and prosecuting patent applications are expensed as incurred to research and development expense due to the uncertainty about the recovery of the expenditure. We record costs for certain development activities, such as preclinical studies and clinical trials, based on our evaluation of the progress to completion of specific tasks. Payments for these activities are based on the terms of the individual arrangements, which may differ from the pattern of costs incurred, and are reflected in the consolidated financial statements as prepaid or accrued research and development expense, as applicable. Our recording of costs for certain development activities requires us to use estimates. We believe our estimates and assumptions are reasonable under the current conditions; however, actual results may differ from these estimates.

Research and development expenses account for a significant portion of our operating expenses. We plan to incur research and development expenses for the foreseeable future as we expect to continue the development of our product candidates. We anticipate that our research and development expenses will be higher in fiscal year 2024 and subsequent periods as compared to the prior periods presented herein as we prepare to establish a CLIA certified lab.

Our research and development expenses are not currently tracked on a program-by-program basis for indirect and overhead costs. We use our personnel and infrastructure resources across multiple research and development programs directed toward identifying, developing, and commercializing product candidates.

At this time, due to the inherently unpredictable nature of preclinical and clinical developments as well as regulatory approval (or authorization) and commercialization, we are unable to estimate with any certainty the costs we will incur and the timelines we will require in our continued development and commercialization efforts. As a result of these uncertainties, successful development and completion of clinical trials as well as regulatory authorization or approval and commercialization are uncertain and may not result in authorized or approved and commercialized products. Completion dates and completion costs can vary significantly for each product candidate and are difficult to predict. We will continue to make determinations as to which product candidates to pursue and how much funding to direct to each product candidate on an ongoing basis in response to our ability to enter into collaborations with respect to each product candidate, the scientific and clinical success of each product candidate as well as ongoing assessments as to the commercial potential of each product candidate.

General and administrative expense

General and administrative expense consists primarily of personnel expenses, including salaries, benefits, insurance, and share-based compensation expense, for employees in executive, accounting, commercialization, human resources, and other administrative functions. General and administrative expense also includes expenses related to pre-commercial activities, corporate facility costs, insurance premiums, legal fees related to corporate matters, and fees for auditing, accounting, and other consulting services.

We anticipate that our general and administrative expenses will increase in fiscal year 2025 as compared to the prior periods presented herein as a result of higher corporate infrastructure costs including, but not limited to accounting, legal, human resources, consulting, investor relations, and public company insurance fees.

Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of our results of operations includes a comparison of the years ended December 31, 2024 and 2023:

	December 31, 2024	December 31, 2023	\$ Change	% Change
Revenue	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	—%
Research and development expenses	138,324	139,663	(1,339)	-1%
General and administrative expenses	1,366,778	1,911,001	(544,223)	-28%
Loss from operations	1,505,102	2,050,664	(545,562)	-27%
Loss, before income tax	(1,505,102)	(2,050,664)	545,562	-27%
Income tax benefit (expense)	—	—	—	—%
Net loss	\$ (1,505,102)	\$ (2,050,664)	\$ 545,562	-27%

Research and development

Research and development expenses decreased \$1,339 in 2024 as compared to 2023 from \$139,663 to \$138,324, primarily due to decreases in patent related expenses, and laboratory work and consulting.

General and administrative

General and administrative expenses decreased \$544,223 in 2024 as compared to 2023 from \$1,911,001 to \$1,366,778, primarily due to increase a decrease of payroll related costs as a result of a change in the management team structure, as well as costs related to legal fees and other compliance expenses.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Sources of Liquidity

Since our inception, we have not generated any revenue and have incurred significant operating losses. Our potential products are at various phases of development. We do not expect to generate significant revenue from product sales for several years, if at all. Pursuant to the demerger, Tiziana transferred \$1,353,373 (£1,000,000) in cash in January 2022 to us. In addition, subject to the terms of the supplemental demerger agreement, Tiziana invested \$2,675,940 (£2,000,000) in cash in March 2022 for additional shares of the Company. Our cash flows may fluctuate and are difficult to forecast and will depend on many factors. As of December 31, 2024, our cash balance is \$5,046 which is not adequate for our current planned level of operations, through at least April 2025.

Our cash flows may fluctuate and are difficult to forecast and will depend on many factors.

Cash Flows

The following table summarizes our cash flows:

	December 31, 2024	December 31, 2023
Cash flows used in operating activities	\$ (664,066)	\$ (1,615,643)
Cash flows used in investing activities	—	—
Cash flows from financing activities	647,632	903,146
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(16,434)	(712,497)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	21,481	733,978
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 5,047	\$ 21,481

Operating Activities

There was a decrease in cash flows from operating activities during the year ended December 31, 2024. The net loss of \$1,505,102 was offset by depreciation expense of \$3,630, expenses settled in stock of \$233,740, stock-based compensation expense of \$69,718, and changes in operating assets and liabilities of \$533,948.

Investing Activities

There were no cash flows from investing activities during the year ended December 31, 2024.

Financing Activities

We generated cash flows from financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2024 due to advances from related party of \$781,077, offset by payments on a note payable of \$133,445. We generated cash flows from financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2023 due to advances from related party of \$1,246,757, offset by payments on a note payable of \$343,611.

Market Capital Expenditure Commitments

We have no material commitment for capital expenditures.

Funding Requirements

We expect that our expenses will increase and operating losses will be generated for several years. We have an accumulated deficit of \$8,027,047 as of December 31, 2024. Based on our current plans, we believe our existing cash and cash equivalents will not be sufficient to fund our operations and capital expenditure requirements beyond April 2025. We expect to incur substantial additional expenditures in the near term to support our acceleration of activities. We expect to incur net losses for the foreseeable future. Our ability to fund our product development and clinical operations as well as commercialization of our product candidates, will depend on the amount and timing of cash received from planned financings. Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including:

- the costs, timing and outcomes of clinical trials and regulatory reviews associated with our product candidates;
- the costs of commercialization activities, including product marketing, sales and distribution;
- the costs of preparing, filing and prosecuting patent applications and maintaining, enforcing and defending intellectual property-related claims;
- the emergence of competing technologies and products and other adverse marketing developments;
- the effect on our product development activities of actions taken by the FDA, EMA or other regulatory authorities;
- our degree of success in commercializing our product candidates, if and when approved; and
- the number and types of future products we develop and commercialize.

A change in the outcome of any of these or other variables with respect to the development of any of our product candidates could significantly change the costs and timing associated with the development of that product candidate. Further, our operating plans may change in the future, and we may need additional funds to meet operational needs and capital requirements associated with such operating plans.

Until such time, if ever, as we can generate substantial product revenue, we expect to finance our operations through a combination of equity financings, debt financings, collaborations with other companies or other strategic transactions. We do not currently have any committed external source of funds. To the extent that we raise additional capital through the sale of equity or convertible debt securities, your ownership interest will be diluted, and the terms of these securities may include liquidation or other preferences that adversely affect your rights as a common stockholder. Debt financing and preferred equity financing, if available, may involve agreements that include covenants limiting or restricting our ability to take specific actions, such as incurring additional debt, making acquisitions or capital expenditures or declaring dividends. If we raise additional funds through collaborations, strategic alliances or marketing, distribution or licensing arrangements with third parties, we may have to relinquish valuable rights to our technologies, future revenue streams, research programs or product candidates or grant licenses on terms that may not be favorable to us. If we are unable to raise additional funds through equity or debt financings or other arrangements when needed, we may be required to delay, limit, reduce or terminate our research, product development or future commercialization efforts or grant rights to develop and market product candidates that we would otherwise prefer to develop and market ourselves.

Further, our operating plans may change, and we may need additional funds to meet operational needs and capital requirements for clinical trials and other research and development activities. We currently have no credit facility or committed sources of capital. Because of the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with the development and commercialization of our product candidates, we are unable to estimate the amounts of increased capital outlays and operating expenditures associated with our current and anticipated product development programs.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with US GAAP. The preparation of our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, costs and expenses, and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities in our consolidated financial statements. We base our estimates on historical experience, known trends and events and various other factors that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. We evaluate our estimates and assumptions on an ongoing basis. Our actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

While our significant accounting policies are described in more detail in our consolidated financial statements, we believe that the following accounting policies are those most critical to the judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Share-based Compensation

We account for share-based payment awards issued to employees and members of our Board by measuring the fair value of the award on the date of grant and recognizing this fair value as share-based compensation using a straight-line basis over the requisite service period, generally the vesting period.

Related parties

Parties are related to us if the parties, directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, control, are controlled by, or are under common control with us. Related parties also include our principal owners, our management, members of the immediate families of our principal owners and our management and other parties with which we may deal with if one party controls or can significantly influence the management or operating policies of the other to an extent that one of the transacting parties might be prevented from fully pursuing its own separate interests.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements that have had, or are reasonably likely to have, a material current or future effect on our consolidated financial statements or changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

For information on recent accounting pronouncements, see our consolidated financial statements - Note 2 and the related notes found elsewhere in this annual report.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

As of December 31, 2024, we are not subject to any material market risk, including interest rate risk and foreign currency exchange rate risk. We additionally do not have material commodity price or equity price risks.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Index to the Consolidated Financial Statements
ACCUSTEM SCIENCES INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
December 31, 2024

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (Mercurius & Associates LLP, PCAOB ID 3223)	F-2
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (Mazars USA LLP, New York, New York, PCAOB ID 339)	F-3
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2024 and 2023	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2024 and 2023	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2024 and 2023	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2024 and 2023	F-7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-8 - F-17

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of AccuStem Sciences Inc.

Opinion on the Consolidated Financial Statement

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of AccuStem Sciences Inc. and its subsidiary (collectively, the “Company”) as of December 31, 2024, the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders’ equity and cash flows, for the year ended December 31, 2024, and the related notes (collectively referred to as the “consolidated financial statements”). In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2024, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We also have audited the disclosure/adjustment to the 2023 financial statements to retrospectively apply the change in accounting related to the Company’s adoption of ASU 2023-07, Segment Reporting (Topic 280) - Improvements to Reportable Segment Disclosures as described in Note 2. In our opinion, such disclosure/adjustment is appropriate and has been properly applied. We were not engaged to audit, review, or apply any procedures to the 2023 financial statements of the Company other than with respect to the disclosure/adjustment and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance on the 2023 financial statements taken as a whole.

Substantial doubt about the Company’s ability to continue as a Going Concern

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, for the year ended December 31, 2024, the Company has incurred a net loss of \$1,505,102. The Company has an accumulated deficit as of December 31, 2024 of \$8,027,047. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the uncertainty in the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern. Management’s plans regarding this uncertainty are also described in the Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Basis for Opinion

These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s consolidated financial statements based on our audit. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (“PCAOB”) and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. As part of our audit, we are required to obtain an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion.

Our audit included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. Our audit also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Critical Audit Matters

The Critical Audit matters are matters arising from the current period audit of the consolidated financial statements that were communicated or required to be communicated to the audit committee and that: (1) relate to accounts or disclosures that are material to the consolidated financial statements and (2) involved our especially challenging, subjective or complex judgments. We determined that there are no critical audit matters communicated or required to be communicated to the audit committee.

/s/ Mercurius & Associates LLP

We have served as the Company’s auditor since 2024.

New Delhi, India

April 03, 2025

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of AccuStem Sciences Inc.

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited before the effects to retrospectively apply the change in accounting for ASU 2023-07 Segment Reporting (Topic 280): Improvements to Reportable Segment Disclosures described in Note 2, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of AccuStem Sciences Inc. (the Company) as of December 31, 2023, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2023, and the related notes (collectively referred to as the consolidated financial statements), the 2023 financial statements before the effects of the adjustments discussed in Note 2 are not presented herein. In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements before the effects to retrospectively apply the change in accounting for ASU 2023-07 Segment Reporting (Topic 280): Improvements to Reportable Segment Disclosures described in Note 2 present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2023, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2023, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We were not engaged to audit, review, or apply any procedures to the adjustments to retrospectively apply the change in accounting for ASU 2023-07 Segment Reporting (Topic 280): Improvements to Reportable Segment Disclosures described in Note 2 and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance about whether such adjustments are appropriate and have been properly applied. Those adjustments were audited by Mercurius & Associates LLP.

Explanatory Paragraph Regarding Going Concern

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has incurred operating losses since inception. The Company also had an accumulated deficit of \$6,521,945 at December 31, 2023. The Company is dependent on obtaining necessary funding from institutional investors or others, in order to continue their operations. These conditions raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans regarding those matters also are described in Note 1. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Basis for Opinion

These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB) and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. As part of our audits, we are required to obtain an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion.

Our audit included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ Mazars USA LLP

We have served as the Company's auditor from 2022 to 2024.

New York, NY

March 22, 2024

**ACCUSTEM SCIENCES INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	December 31, 2024	December 31, 2023
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash	\$ 5,046	\$ 21,481
Prepaid expenses	45,387	108,368
Other Current Assets	-	-
Total Current Assets	\$ 50,433	\$ 129,849
Equipment, net	418	4,048
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 50,851	\$ 133,897
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 804,615	\$ 578,378
Related party payable	2,370,259	1,454,986
Accrued expenses	164,053	156,434
Note Payable	25,148	55,679
Total Current Liabilities	3,364,075	2,245,477
TOTAL LIABILITIES	3,364,075	2,245,477
Stockholders' Equity		
Preferred stock \$.001 par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding	\$ -	\$ -
Common stock \$.001 par value; 150,000,000 shares authorized; 12,100,535 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2024 and 11,346,535 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2023	12,100	11,346
Additional paid-in capital	4,701,723	4,399,019
Accumulated deficit	(8,027,047)	(6,521,945)
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	(3,313,224)	(2,111,580)
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 50,851	\$ 133,897

**ACCUSTEM SCIENCES INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

	Year Ended December 31, 2024	Year Ended December 31, 2023
OPERATING EXPENSES		
Research and development expenses	\$ 138,324	\$ 139,663
General and administrative expenses	1,366,778	1,911,001
Total operating expenses	<u>1,505,102</u>	<u>2,050,664</u>
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS	(1,505,102)	(2,050,664)
LOSS, BEFORE INCOME TAX	(1,505,102)	(2,050,664)
Income tax benefit (expense)	—	—
NET LOSS	<u>\$ (1,505,102)</u>	<u>\$ (2,050,664)</u>
Net loss per share attributable to common stockholders, basic and diluted	<u>\$ (0.13)</u>	<u>\$ (0.18)</u>
Weighted average common shares outstanding used in computing net loss per share attributable to common stockholders, basic and diluted	<u>11,435,362</u>	<u>11,346,535</u>

ACCUSTEM SCIENCES INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Related Party Subscription Receivable	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Accumulated Deficit	Stockholders' Equity
	Number of Shares	Amount					
Balance at December 31, 2022	11,346,535	\$ 11,346	\$ 4,320,385	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (4,471,281)	\$ (139,550)
Share-based compensation	—	—	78,634	—	—	—	78,634
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	(2,050,664)	(2,050,664)
Balance at December 31, 2023	<u>11,346,535</u>	<u>11,346</u>	<u>4,399,019</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(6,521,945)</u>	<u>(2,111,580)</u>
Share-based compensation	—	—	69,718	—	—	—	69,718
Issuance of common stock	754,000	1,338	232,986	—	—	—	233,740
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	(1,505,102)	(1,505,102)
Balance at December 31, 2024	<u>12,100,535</u>	<u>12,100</u>	<u>4,701,723</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(8,027,047)</u>	<u>(3,313,224)</u>

**ACCUSTEM SCIENCES INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	For the Year Ended December 31	
	2024	2023
Operating Activities		
Net loss	(1,505,102)	(2,050,664)
<i>Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities</i>		
Depreciation	3,630	3,630
Share-based compensation	69,718	78,634
Expenses settled in stock	233,740	-
<i>Change in operating assets and liabilities:</i>		
Related party receivable	-	-
Prepaid expenses	165,895	343,122
Other current assets	-	29,603
Accounts payable	226,237	266,544
Related party payable	134,196	66,000
Accrued expenses	7,620	(352,512)
Net cash used in operating activities	(664,066)	(1,615,643)
Investing Activities		
Purchases of equipment	-	-
Net cash used in investing activities	-	-
Financing Activities		
Advances from related party	781,077	1,246,757
Proceeds from receipt of subscription receivable	-	-
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	-	-
Proceeds from exercise of options	-	-
Payments on note payable	(133,445)	(343,611)
Net cash provided by financing activities	647,632	903,146
Increase (decrease) in cash	(16,434)	(712,497)
Cash, beginning of year	21,481	733,978
Cash, end of year	5,047	21,481
Supplemental disclosure of noncash investing and financing activities		
Issuance of Note Payable for payment of prepaid expense	102,914	372,879
Amendment of Issuance of Note Payable for payment of prepaid expense	-	(89,818)
Supplemental cash flow information		
Cash paid for interest	3,392	7,192

ACCUSTEM SCIENCES INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

AccuStem Sciences Inc. and its subsidiary (the “Company”) is a clinical stage diagnostics company dedicated to improving quality of life and outcomes for the more than 18 million people worldwide who are diagnosed with cancer each year.

Liquidity and Going Concern

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and discharge of liabilities in the normal course of business.

The Company has financed its activities principally from support from a related party. The Company has incurred a net loss in every fiscal period since inception. For the year ended December 31, 2024, the Company incurred a net loss of \$1,505,102. The Company has an accumulated deficit as of December 31, 2024 of \$8,027,047 . The Company anticipates operating losses to continue for the foreseeable future due to, among other things, costs related to research funding, further development of its technology and products, and expenses related to the commercialization of its products.

Management believes that the Company does not have sufficient cash and current assets to support its operations through at least 12 months from the issuance date of these consolidated financial statements, and will require significant additional cash resources to continue its planned research and development activities.

The Company will need additional funds for promoting new products and working capital required to support research and development activities and generate sales from its products. There can be no assurance, however, that such financing will be available when needed, if at all, or on favorable terms and conditions. The precise amount and timing of the funding needs cannot be determined accurately at this time, and will depend on a number of factors, including the quality of product development efforts, management of working capital, and the continuation of normal payment terms and conditions for purchase of services.

In order to address its capital needs, including its planned research and development activities and other expenditures, the Company is actively pursuing additional equity financing. The Company has been in ongoing discussions with institutional investors and other parties with respect to such possible offerings. Adequate financing opportunities might not be available to the Company, when and if needed, on acceptable terms or at all. If the Company is unable to obtain additional financing in sufficient amounts or on acceptable terms or if the Company fails to consummate the private placement or a public offering, the Company will be forced to delay, reduce or eliminate some or all of its research and development programs and product portfolio expansion, which could adversely affect its operating results or business prospects. Although management continues to pursue these plans, there is no assurance that the Company will be successful in obtaining sufficient funding in terms acceptable to the Company to fund continuing operations, if at all. After considering the uncertainties, management determined it is appropriate to continue to adopt the going concern basis in preparing the consolidated financial statements.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The principal accounting policies applied in the preparation of these consolidated financial statements are set out below.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (“U.S. GAAP”) and applicable rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). Unless otherwise indicated, all references to “\$” are to U.S. dollars, and all references to “£” or “GBP” are to Great Britain Pounds. The Company’s reporting currency is U.S. dollars.

Basis of Consolidation

The accompanying audited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of AccuStem Sciences Inc. as well as its wholly-owned subsidiary after elimination of intercompany transactions and balances.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S GAAP requires management of the Company to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Risk and Uncertainties

The Company is subject to a number of risks similar to those of other companies of similar size in its industry, including but not limited to, the success of its exploration to research and development activities, need for additional capital (or financing) to fund operating losses, competition from substitute products and services from larger companies, protection of proprietary technology, patent litigation, dependence on key individuals, and risks associated with changes in information technology.

Cash

The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity date of three months or less at the date of purchase and money market accounts to be cash equivalents. At December 31, 2024, the Company had no cash equivalents and all cash amounts consisted of cash in bank.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to significant contribution of credit risk consist of cash. Periodically, the Company maintains deposits in financial institutions in excess of government insured limits. Management believes that the Company is not exposed to significant credit risk as the Company's deposits are held at financial institutions that management believes to be of high credit quality and the Company has not experienced any losses in these deposits.

Equipment, net

Equipment is stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation. The Company depreciates its equipment for financial reporting purposes using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The Equipment consists of computer equipment, which has a useful life of 3 years. Maintenance and repairs are expensed when incurred. Additions and improvements that extend the economic useful life of the asset are capitalized and depreciated over the remaining useful lives of the assets. The cost and accumulated depreciation of assets sold or retired are removed from the respective accounts, and any resulting gain or loss is reflected in current earnings.

Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under ASC 740 - *Income Taxes*. For federal and state income taxes, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized based upon the differences between the financial statement and the tax basis of assets and liabilities. In addition, deferred tax assets are also recorded with respect to net operating losses and other tax attribute carryforwards. Deferred income taxes are based upon prescribed rates and enacted laws applicable to periods in which differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is recorded when it is not more likely than not that the tax benefit from the deferred tax assets will be realized.

The Company intends to continue maintaining a full valuation allowance on its deferred tax assets until there is sufficient evidence to support reversal of all or a portion of the allowances. In establishing the full valuation allowance position, the Company considered all available evidence, including all potential sources of taxable income, future reversals of taxable temporary differences, projections of taxable income, and income from tax planning strategies, as well as any other available and relevant information. Existing valuation allowances are re-examined each period. If it were determined that it is more likely than not that a deferred tax asset will be realized, the appropriate amount of the valuation allowance, if any, would be released in the period this determination is made.

Tax positions not deemed to meet a more-likely-than-not threshold would be recorded as a tax expense in the current year. There were no uncertain tax positions that require accrual or disclosure to the financial statements as of December 31, 2024 and 2023. Tax positions taken or expected to be taken in the course of preparing the Company's tax returns are required to be evaluated to determine whether the tax positions are "more-likely-than-not" of being sustained by the applicable tax authority. As of December 31, 2024, open years related to federal and state jurisdictions are 2021, 2022, 2023 and 2024.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and product development costs are expensed as incurred under ASC 730 - *Research and Development*. Research and development expenses primarily consist of costs associated with the preclinical and clinical development of the Company's product candidate portfolio, including but not limited to payments to Clinical Research Organizations ("CROs"), the manufacturing of clinical trial material, preclinical research activities, consultants and personnel needed to perform research and development activities, intellectual property, as well as costs to license intellectual property that is an in-process research and development asset with no alternative future use.

Segment Information

The Company applies ASC 280, *Segment Reporting*, in determining reportable segments for its financial statement disclosure. Operating segments are defined as components of an entity for which separate financial information is available and that is regularly reviewed by the Chief Operating Decision Maker ("CODM") in deciding how to allocate resources to an individual segment and in assessing performance. The Company's CODM is its Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"). The Company has determined that it operates as a single operating segment and has one reportable segment.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company classifies a financial instrument, or its component parts, as a financial liability, a financial asset or an equity instrument in accordance with the substance of the contractual arrangement and the definitions of a financial liability, a financial asset and an equity instrument.

The Company evaluates the terms of the financial instrument to determine whether it contains an asset, a liability or an equity component. Such components shall be classified separately as financial assets, financial liabilities or equity instruments.

The Company's financial liabilities include trade and other payables. The carrying value of such amounts approximate fair value based on the short-term nature of the items. The Company does not hold any financial assets or liabilities at fair value through profit or loss or fair value through other comprehensive income as of December 31, 2024.

Share-based Compensation

The Company may award stock options, performance-based options and other equity-based instruments to its employees, directors and consultants. Compensation cost related to equity-based instruments is based on the fair value of the instrument on the grant date, and is recognized over the requisite service period on a straight-line basis over the vesting period except for performance-based options. Performance-based stock options vest based on the achievement of performance targets. Compensation costs associated with performance-based option awards are recognized over the requisite service period based on probability of achievement. Performance-based stock options require management to make assumptions regarding the likelihood of achieving performance targets.

The Company estimates the fair value of service based and performance-based stock option awards, including modifications of stock option awards, using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. This model derives the fair value of stock options based on certain assumptions related to expected stock price volatility, expected option life, risk-free interest rate and dividend yield.

Loss per Share

The Company computes loss per share in accordance with ASC 260 - *Earnings per Share*. Basic net loss per common share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of shares of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net loss per share of common stock is computed by giving effect to all potential dilutive shares of common stock, including options and performance awards. Basic and diluted net loss per share of common stock were the same for all periods presented as the impact of all potentially dilutive securities outstanding was anti-dilutive.

Foreign Currencies

The consolidated financial statements are presented in United States dollars which is the Company's reporting and functional currency as the Company's operating and capital costs are transacted in U.S. dollars. The Company's fully consolidated subsidiary functional currency continued to be GBP, which is the currency of the primary economic environment in which the entities operated. There was no activity in the subsidiary for the periods ended December 31, 2024 and 2023.

The financial results and position of foreign operations whose functional currency was different from the Company's reporting currency were translated as follows:

- assets and liabilities were translated at year-end exchange rates prevailing at that reporting date;
- income and expenses were translated at average exchange rates for the period; and
- equity transactions including retained earnings/accumulated deficit were translated at the exchange rates prevailing at the date of the transaction.

Gains and losses arising from translations or settlements of foreign currency denominated transactions or balances were included in the determination of income.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

FASB ASU 2023-07, Segment Reporting (Topic 280): Improvements to Reportable Segment Disclosures

The FASB issued ASU 2023-07 on November 27, 2023, which is intended to improve reportable segment disclosure requirements. Under previous guidance, while entities were required to disclose segment revenue and measure of profit or loss, there has been limited disclosure around the reporting of segment expenses. In addition to enhanced disclosures about significant segment expenses, the amendments enhance interim disclosure requirements, clarify circumstances in which an entity can disclose multiple segment measures of profit or loss, provide new segment disclosure requirements for entities with a single reportable segment, and contain other disclosure requirements. The purpose of the amendments is to enable investors to better understand an entity's overall performance and assess potential future cash flows. ASU 2023-07 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2023, and interim periods within fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2024. The Company has adopted the requirements of the expanded segment disclosures as of December 31, 2024 retrospectively.

Issued Accounting Standards Not Yet Adopted

There are no recently issued accounting standards that have not been adopted that would affect the financial statements of the Company.

3. EQUIPMENT

Equipment consists of the following:

	December 31, 2024	December 31, 2023
Computer equipment	\$ 10,999	10,999
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(10,581)	(6,951)
Equipment, net	\$ 418	4,048

Depreciation expense was approximately \$3,630 and \$3,630, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2024 and 2023, respectively.

Depreciation expense is included within General and Administrative expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations.

4. ACCRUED EXPENSES

Accrued expenses consist of the following:

	December 31, 2024	December 31, 2023
Legal expense	\$ 146,594	\$ 146,594
Other	17,459	9,840
Total accrued expenses	\$ 164,053	\$ 156,434

5. NOTE PAYABLE

On May 20, 2024, the Company renewed its Directors and Officers Liability Insurance agreement for \$102,915. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company made a down payment of \$21,000, with the remaining balance financed over the remaining term at an annual percentage rate of 7.99%. Beginning June 2024, the Company will make 10 monthly payments of \$8,494, with the last payment expected to be made in March 2025. At December 31, 2024, the outstanding balance on the note payable was \$25,148.

On May 20, 2023, the Company renewed its Directors and Officers Liability Insurance agreement for \$372,880. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company made a down payment of \$75,000, with the remaining balance financed over the remaining term at an annual percentage rate of 7.50%. Beginning June 2023, the Company will make 10 monthly payments of \$30,822, with the last payment expected to be made in March 2024. In August 2023, the Company amended the agreement, which reduced the monthly payment from \$30,822 to \$18,792. As of December 31, 2023, balance on the note payable was \$55,679.

6. LICENSE

On November 9, 2022, AccuStem and the IEO/University of Milan amended the License to clarify the regulatory path and timeline for the commercialization of StemPrintER. Specifically, the regulatory requirement language has been modified to (i) extend the timeline for regulatory approval or clearance of a licensed product to 36 months from the date of the amendment, (ii) clarify that contractual regulatory requirements can be satisfied by the approval or clearance of the test as a Laboratory Developed Test (i.e., approval or clearance can be achieved via the CLIA regulatory path rather than the FDA) and (iii) the timeline for commercial launch has been extended for an additional 60 months from the date of the amendment. The amendment provides for a separate licensing payment of \$175,000 to the IEO.

In addition, for the term of the license, the following milestone payments are required to be made (converted from EUROS to USD using exchange rate of €1:\$1.0675)

- €50,000 (\$53,375) within 30 days of completion of development of a commercial test;
- €100,000 (\$106,750) within 30 days of the first commercial sale of a licensed product; and
- €150,000 (\$160,125) within 30 days of first regulatory approval in the U.S. or any other major market.

The License may be terminated by either party in the event of a material breach and in addition, we may terminate the License at any time upon 30 days' notice.

For the years ended December 31, 2024 and 2023, the Company did not recognize any expense related to this license agreement as no milestones were reached.

7. LOSS PER SHARE

Basic and diluted net loss per common share were the same since the inclusion of common shares issuable pursuant to the exercise of options in the calculation of diluted net loss per common shares would have been antidilutive.

For the years ended December 31, 2024 and 2023, loss per share of the Company are as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2024	For the Year Ended December 31, 2023
Numerator:		
Net Loss	\$ (1,505,102)	\$ (2,050,664)
Net loss attributable to common shareholders	<u>\$ (1,505,102)</u>	<u>\$ (2,050,664)</u>
Denominator:		
Weighted-average common shares outstanding, basic and diluted	<u>11,435,362</u>	<u>11,346,535</u>
Net loss per common share, basic and diluted	<u>\$ (0.13)</u>	<u>\$ (0.18)</u>

The Company's potentially dilutive securities, which include stock options and warrants, have been excluded from the computation of diluted net loss per common share as the effect would be to reduce the net loss per share. Therefore, the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding used to calculate both basic and diluted net loss per share attributable to common shareholders is the same.

The Company excluded the following from the computation of diluted net loss per share attributable to common stockholders for the years ended December 31, 2024 and 2023 because including them would have had an anti-dilutive effect:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2024	For the Year Ended December 31, 2023
Stock options to purchase common stock outstanding	<u>1,445,211</u>	<u>1,261,115</u>
Warrants to purchase common stock outstanding	<u>350,000</u>	<u>350,000</u>
Total	<u>1,795,211</u>	<u>1,611,115</u>

8. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

In August 2021, Limited adopted the 2021 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (the "Incentive Plan"). The Incentive Plan provides that the Company may grant Options, Stock Appreciation Rights, Restricted Stock, Restricted Stock Units, and Other Share-Based Awards to selected employees, directors, and independent contractors of the Company.

Each Award shall be exercisable at such time or times and subject to such terms and conditions set forth in the Incentive Plan, as shall be determined by the administrator in the applicable award agreement. Total shares authorized by the plan was 2,500,000. Awards under the Incentive Plan are exercisable for up to 10 years from the date of issuance. There are 877,781 remaining available shares to be issued under the Incentive Plan at December 31, 2024. The number of shares of Common Stock that are reserved and available for issuance under the Incentive Plan shall be subject to an annual increase on the first day of each calendar year beginning with the first January 1 following the effective date and ending with the last January 1 during the initial ten-year term of the Plan as defined in Section 4(a) of the Incentive Plan.

Options

The Company issued 201,000 options during the year ended December 31, 2024 for employees, directors and non-employees under the Incentive Plan. The options granted have an exercise price of \$0.49 and expire on the ten-year anniversary of the grant date. The Company did not issue any options during the year ended December 31, 2023.

For the year ended December 31, 2024, stock option activity for time-based options of the Company are as follows:

	Number of Time-Based Share Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2024	366,615	\$ 1.82	8.14	\$ 15,686
Issued	201,000	0.49	9.20	—
Exercised	—	—	—	—
Expired/Forfeited	(16,904)	0.92	7.23	174
Outstanding at December 31, 2024	<u>550,711</u>	<u>\$ 1.36</u>	<u>7.87</u>	<u>\$ 7,390</u>
Vested and exercisable December 31, 2024	<u>212,461</u>	<u>\$ 1.62</u>	<u>7.16</u>	<u>\$ 7,390</u>

For the year ended December 31, 2023, stock option activity for time-based options of the Company are as follows:

	Number of Time-Based Share Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2023	416,115	\$ 1.86	9.13	\$ 57,207
Issued	—	—	—	—
Exercised	—	—	—	—
Expired/Forfeited	(49,500)	2.13	—	—
Outstanding at December 31, 2023	<u>366,615</u>	<u>\$ 1.82</u>	<u>8.14</u>	<u>\$ 15,686</u>
Vested and exercisable December 31, 2023	<u>119,765</u>	<u>\$ 1.29</u>	<u>7.97</u>	<u>\$ 15,686</u>

For the year December 31, 2024, stock option activity for performance-based options of the Company are as follows:

	Number of Performance-Based Share Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2024	894,500	\$ 1.41	8.11	\$ —
Issued	—	—	—	—
Exercised	—	—	—	—
Expired/Forfeited	—	—	—	—
Outstanding at December 31, 2024	<u>894,500</u>	<u>\$ 1.41</u>	<u>7.11</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
Vested and exercisable December 31, 2024	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>

For the year December 31, 2023, stock option activity for performance-based options of the Company are as follows:

	Number of Performance-Based Share Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2023	944,000	\$ 1.45	9.11	\$ 174,000
Issued	—	—	—	—
Exercised	—	—	—	—
Expired/Forfeited	(49,500)	—	—	—
Outstanding at December 31, 2023	<u>894,500</u>	<u>\$ 1.41</u>	<u>8.11</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
Vested and exercisable December 31, 2023	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>

The aggregate intrinsic value is calculated as the difference between the estimated fair value of the underlying common stock as of December 31, 2024 and the option exercise price.

Total share-based compensation was approximately \$69,718 and \$76,634, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2024 and 2023, respectively.

Total share-based compensation expense is included in General and Administrative expenses on the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations.

The weighted average grant date fair value for stock options granted was \$0.49 during the year ended December 31, 2024. The performance-based and time-based stock options are equity-classified. There were no stock options granted during the year ended December 31, 2023.

The Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair value of the option awards. The table below summarizes the resulting weighted average inputs used to calculate the estimated fair value of options awarded for the year ended December 31, 2024 and 2023.

	2024	2023
Risk-free interest rate	1.80 to 1.82%	—%
Expected dividend yield	—	—
Expected term	5.02 – 5.28 years	—
Expected volatility	53.43%	—

The risk-free interest rate assumption is determined using the yield currently available on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues with a remaining term commensurate with the expected term of the award. The Company has historically been a private company and lacks company-specific historical and implied volatility information. Management has estimated expected volatility based on similar public companies. Expected life of the option represents the period of time options are expected to be outstanding. The estimate for dividend yield is 0% because the Company has not historically paid, and does not intend to pay, a dividend on common stock in the foreseeable future.

As of December 31, 2024, there was \$723,611 unrecognized compensation expense related to options. \$53,243 of this cost is subject to time-based conditions, and is to be recognized over a period of approximately 2.2 years. The remaining \$670,368 of unrecognized compensation expense relates to performance-based conditions for unvested options.

As of December 31, 2023, there was \$772,580 unrecognized compensation expense related to options. \$102,213 of this cost is subject to time-based conditions, and is to be recognized over a period of approximately 2.3 years. The remaining \$670,368 of unrecognized compensation expense relates to performance-based conditions for unvested options.

These costs are expected to be recognized over the required service period once the performance condition has occurred or becomes probable. Compensation costs related to the performance stock options are evaluated at each reporting period and subsequently adjusted for changes in the expected outcomes of the performance conditions. There was no unrecognized compensation expense related to options at December 31, 2024.

Warrants

In March 2022, the Company issued 350,000 common stock warrants to a non-employee under the Incentive Plan. The common stock warrants are subject to vesting and, grantees become fully vested and exercisable when certain performance requirements are met.

The common stock warrants granted have an exercise price of \$1.06. The common stock warrants expire on the ten-year anniversary of the grant date. There were no warrants issued during the year ended December 31, 2024 and December 31, 2023.

A summary of the Company's warrants to purchase common stock activity is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2023	350,000	\$ 1.06	9.07	\$ —
Issued	—	—	—	—
Exercised	—	—	—	—
Expired/Forfeited	—	—	—	—
Outstanding at December 31, 2023	350,000	\$ 1.06	8.07	\$ —
Vested and exercisable December 31, 2023	—	—	—	—

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2024	350,000	\$ 1.06	8.07	\$ —
Issued	—	—	—	—
Exercised	—	—	—	—
Expired/Forfeited	—	—	—	—
Outstanding at December 31, 2024	<u>350,000</u>	<u>\$ 1.06</u>	<u>7.07</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
Vested and exercisable December 31, 2024	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>

The grant date fair value for these warrants of \$0.66 per warrant for a total fair value of \$232,490. The table below summarizes the resulting weighted average inputs used to calculate the estimated fair value of the common stock warrants options awarded.

	December 31, 2024	December 31, 2023
Risk-free interest rate	—	—
Expected dividend yield	—	—
Expected term	—	—
Expected volatility	—	—

There was no share-based compensation expense recognized during the year ended December 31, 2024 and 2023 for warrants.

As of December 31, 2024, there was \$232,490 of total performance-based unrecognized compensation costs related to unvested common stock warrants. These costs are expected to be recognized once the performance condition has occurred or becomes probable.

9. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Tiziana is a related party as the entity is controlled by a person that has a significant influence over the group. The Company and Tiziana share some directors, an officer and significant shareholders. The Company has also been formed due to an acquisition of a subsidiary company from Tiziana. As of December 31, 2024, Tiziana owns approximately 11.1% of the Company.

Effective with the demerger agreement, the Company entered into a shared services agreement, where the Company outsources certain limited management and administrative services. The Company notes that the fees consist of payroll costs associated with time spent providing services for the Company and are based with actual time spent and the allocated payroll costs. In addition, the Company is charged at cost, for utilization of certain office space. There was no mark-up associated with fees charged for these services. Total cost for the year ended December 31, 2024 and 2023 were \$13,486 and \$17,971, respectively. The balance due to Tiziana in respect of the shared services agreement at December 31, 2024 was \$79,442.

As of December 31, 2024 and 2023, \$170,816 and \$176,501 respectively, was also due to Tiziana, as Tiziana had paid for expenses on behalf of the Company. In addition to this, as of December 31, 2024 and 2023, \$2,109,500 and \$1,124,000 respectively, was also due to Tiziana as Tiziana had provided funding support.

In January 2022, the Company and Gabriele Cerrone, who is the Chairman of the Board of Directors and the largest shareholder, entered into an agreement in which he will provide consulting services to the Company for a monthly fee of \$5,500. As of December 31, 2024 and 2023, \$10,500 and \$132,000, respectively, was due to Gabriele Cerrone.

During the year to December 2024, Mr Cerrone agreed to settle unpaid fees in shares. In November 2024, 754,000 shares were issued in respect of \$187,500 of unpaid fees.

10. INCOME TAXES

A reconciliation of the provision for income taxes to the amount computed by applying the statutory income tax rate of 21% to the net loss before income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2024 and 2023 are as follows:

Rate reconciliation	2024	2023
Pre-tax Book Income	21.00%	21.00%
Permanent differences	(0.97)%	(0.81)%
Foreign net operating loss write-off	(0.00)%	(0.00)%
Increase in valuation allowance	(25.29)%	(23.58)%
State taxes	5.27%	3.39%
Total tax expense	<u>0.00%</u>	<u>0.00%</u>

Income Tax Expenses attributable to income for continuing operations consists of the following:

Income tax expense	Current	Deferred	Total
Federal	-	-	-
State	-	-	-
Foreign	-	-	-
Total taxes	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying value of the asset and liabilities for financial reporting purposes, and amounts used for income tax purposes.

The temporary differences resulted in the deferred tax assets and liabilities as follows:

	2024	2023
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 1,592,349	\$ 1,230,980
Compensation accruals	-	-
Fixed assets	650	293
Patents	24,468	26,588
R&D expenses	122,114	101,024
Total deferred tax assets	1,739,581	1,358,885
Less: valuation allowance	\$ (1,739,581)	\$ (1,358,885)
Net deferred tax asset	-	-

At December 31, 2024 and 2023, the Company had net operating losses of approximately \$6,709,264 and \$5,350,379 for federal income tax purposes, and approximately \$4,784,463 and \$3,865,989 for state income tax purposes.

In assessing the realizability of the deferred tax assets, management determined whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, net operating loss carryback potential and tax planning strategies in making these assessments.

Based on the above criteria, the Company believes that it is more likely than not that the full amount of the remaining net deferred tax assets will not be realized. Accordingly, the Company has recorded a full valuation allowance of approximately \$1,739,581 against net deferred tax assets that is not expected to be realized for the period ended December 31, 2024 and approximately \$1,358,885 for the period ended December 31, 2023.

The Company recognizes interest accrued to unrecognized tax benefits and penalties as income tax expense. The Company accrued no penalties or interest during the years ended December 31, 2024 and 2023.

The Company files tax returns as prescribed by the laws of the jurisdictions in which they operate. In the normal course of business, the Company is subject to examination by federal and state jurisdictions where applicable based on the statute of limitations that apply in each jurisdiction. As of December 31, 2024, open years related to federal and state jurisdictions are 2021, 2022, 2023 and 2024.

The Company has no open tax audits with any tax authority of December 31, 2024.

The federal net operating loss carryforward will be carried forward indefinitely, and the state net operating loss carryforward will expire beginning in 2041.

In accordance with Section 382 of the Internal Revenue code, the usage of the Company's net operating loss carryforwards may be limited in the event of a change in ownership. A full Section 382 analysis has not been prepared and NOLs could be subject to limitation under Section 382.

11. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company has evaluated subsequent events up to the date on which the financial statements are issued and noted no subsequent events that require adjustment to, or disclosure in, these financial statements.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Not applicable.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal controls over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("US GAAP").

Because of their inherent limitations, internal controls over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Under the supervision and with the participation of management, our Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework described in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Commission of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, as revised in 2013. Based on that evaluation, management has concluded that we did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of the period ended December 31, 2024 due to the existence of the material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting described below.

Material Weaknesses in Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal controls over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of our annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

Management has determined that we did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of the period ended December 31, 2024 due to a lack of accounting resources resulting in inadequate monitoring controls and other oversight procedures. Our management has determined that our disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls were ineffective due to weaknesses in our financial closing process, inadequate segregation of duties over authorization, review and recording of transactions, lack of accounting resources, as well as the financial reporting of such transactions.

Management's Plan to Remediate the Material Weakness

Management intends to remediate this item in the following manner:

- i. Recruit appropriately skilled accounting resources (the "Remediation Plan")

Accordingly, management has determined that these control deficiencies constitute a material weakness. Management has begun implementing the Remediation Plan described herein and intends to continue working on it through the year ended December 31, 2025 when appropriate funding is received.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the year ended December 31, 2024 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None

Item 9C. Disclosure Regarding Foreign Jurisdictions that Prevent Inspections

None

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The following table sets forth the names and ages of all of our current directors and executive officers. Our officers are appointed by, and serve at the pleasure of, the Company's Board of Directors (referred to herein as the "Board") and/or our Chief Executive Officer.

Name	Age	Position
Wendy Blosser	59	Chief Executive Officer and Director
Joe Flanagan	51	Chief Business Officer
Keeren Shah	49	Chief Financial Officer
Gabriele Cerrone	53	Director
Willy Simon	73	Director
John Brancaccio	77	Director
Sean McDonald	65	Director

Wendy Blosser

Wendy E. Blosser has served as our Chief Executive Officer since March 2022. Prior to joining our company, Ms. Blosser held various roles launching, relaunching and building organizations in the diagnostic, surgical and capital spaces, with a primary focus in oncology and women's health. Prior to joining us, Ms. Blosser took a one year sabbatical for personal reasons. From May of 2019 through March 2021, Ms. Blosser served as Chief Commercial Officer at Agendia N.V. From March 2018 to May 2019, Ms. Blosser held multiple executive roles for the Caravel Ventures portfolio (Animated Dynamics, Strand Diagnostics). From February 2015 to March 2018, Ms. Blosser was Vice President of Sales (February 2015 to March 2017) and then Chief Commercial Officer (March 2017 to March 2018) for Biodesix. Prior to that, Ms. Blosser served as VP of Sales with Integrated Oncology (LabCorp subsidiary). Ms. Blosser began her career in diagnostics at Cytoc Corporation holding several leadership positions in her eight years with the company. We believe Ms. Blosser's background launching and relaunching products in the diagnostics space, experience providing advisory support to various companies and track record in corporate leadership roles qualifies her to be a member of the Board of Directors.

Joe Flanagan

Joe Flanagan has been our Chief Business Officer since January 2022. Mr. Flanagan has more than 25 years of sales excellence experience and is a strategic expert, playing a leading role in the commercial development and successful launch of several product offerings from early-stage diagnostic startups to large pharmaceutical companies. Prior to joining us, from April 2021 to January 2022, Mr. Flanagan held a commercial leadership role with Ambray Genetics in their oncology franchise. From July 2019 to March 2021, Mr. Flanagan served as the Vice President of Market Development for Agendia N.V. where he led strategic sales initiatives. From July 2018 to July 2019, he was Vice President of Sales for Circulogene where he led the company's efforts to sell blood-based genomic and genetic testing for patients with cancer. From March 2015 through July 2018, Mr. Flanagan was the Area Vice President of Sales, East for Biodesix where he was responsible for sales and revenue for the Eastern United States.

Keeren Shah

Keeren Shah has been our Chief Financial Officer since August 2021. Ms. Shah has also served as the Chief Financial Officer of OKYO Pharma Limited since March 2021 and the Finance Director of Tiziana Life Sciences Limited and Rasna Therapeutics, Inc. since August 2020, having previously served as the Financial Controller for Tiziana and its related companies from June 2016 to July 2020. Previously, Ms. Shah spent ten years at Visa, Inc. as a senior leader in its finance team where she was responsible for key financial controller activities, financial planning and analysis, and core processes as well as leading and participating in key transformation programmes and Visa Inc.'s initial public offering. Before joining Visa, Ms. Shah also held a variety of finance positions at other leading companies including Arthur Andersen and BBC Worldwide. She holds a Bachelor of arts with honours in Economics and is a member of the Chartered Institute of Management Accountants.

Gabriele Cerrone

Mr. Gabriele Marco Antonio Cerrone has served as a director of our company since March 2021. Mr. Cerrone founded ten biotechnology companies in oncology, infectious diseases and molecular diagnostics, and has listed seven of these companies on Nasdaq, two on the London Stock Exchange Main Market and AIM Market in London. Mr. Cerrone founded Tiziana Life Sciences Ltd. and has been its Executive Chairman since April 2014. Mr. Cerrone co-founded Cardiff Oncology, Inc., an oncology company and served as its Co-Chairman; he was a co-founder and served as Chairman of both Synergy Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Callisto Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and was a Director of and led the restructuring of Siga Technologies, Inc. Mr. Cerrone also co-founded FermaVir Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and served as Chairman of the Board until its merger in September 2007 with Inhibitex, Inc. Mr. Cerrone served as a director of Inhibitex, Inc. until its US\$2.5bn sale to Bristol Myers Squibb Co in 2012. Mr. Cerrone is the Co-Founder of Rasna Therapeutics Inc., a company focused on the development of therapeutics for leukemias; Co-Founder of Hepion Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Executive Chairman and Co-Founder of Gensignia Life Sciences, Inc., a molecular diagnostics company focused on oncology using microRNA technology; and founder of BioVitas Capital Ltd. Mr. Cerrone graduated from New York University's Stern School of Business with a master's degree in business administration (MBA). We believe Mr. Cerrone's business and financial expertise qualifies him to be a member of the Board.

Willy Simon

Mr. Simon has served as a director of the company since March 2021. He is a banker and worked at Kredietbank N.V. and Citibank London before serving as an executive member of the Board of Generale Bank NL from 1997 to 1999 and as the chief executive of Fortis Investment Management from 1999 to 2002. He acted as chairman of Bank Oyens & van Eeghen from 2002 to 2004. He was chairman of AIM-traded Velox3 plc (formerly 24/7 Gaming Group Holdings plc) until 2014 and had been a director of Playlogic Entertainment Inc., a Nasdaq OTC listed company. Willy Simon has been the chairman of Bever Holdings, a company listed in Amsterdam, since 2006 and Chairman of Ducat Maritime since 2015. He is also a non-executive director of OKYO Pharma Ltd. and Tiziana Life Sciences Ltd. We believe Mr. Simon's business expertise qualifies him to be a member of the Board.

John Brancaccio

Mr. Brancaccio, a retired CPA, has served as a director of our company since March 2021. From April 2004 until May 2017, Mr. Brancaccio was the Chief Financial Officer of Accelerated Technologies, Inc., an incubator for medical device companies. Mr. Brancaccio served as a director of Callisto Pharmaceuticals, Inc. from April 2004 until its merger with Synergy Pharmaceuticals, Inc. in January 2013 and has been a director of Tamir Biotechnology, Inc. (formerly Alfacell Corporation) since April 2004, as well as a director of Hepion Pharmaceuticals, Inc. since December 2013, Rasna Therapeutics, Inc. since September 2016, Okyo Pharma Ltd. since June 2020 and Tiziana Life Sciences Ltd. since July 2020. Mr. Brancaccio served as a director of Synergy from July 2008 until April 2019. We believe Mr. Brancaccio's financial experience qualifies him to be a member of the Board.

Sean McDonald

Mr. McDonald has served as a director of our company since November 2022. Since January 2015, Mr. McDonald has been President and CEO of Ocugenix, Inc., a biotech company focused on ocular diseases. From 2015 to 2016 Mr. McDonald was a venture partner with Adams Capital Management, a venture capital firm specializing in early-stage applied technology investments. Prior to that, from 2001 to 2014, Mr. McDonald served as the CEO of Precision Therapeutics, one of the first biotechnology companies to marry breakthroughs in understanding of cancer biology and the use of machine learning with the goal of developing products that would help cancer patients get the most effective cancer treatment. We believe Mr. McDonald's business and management experience qualifies him to be a member of the Board.

Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors currently consists of five members consisting of the CEO and four Non-Executive Directors.

Board of Directors Meetings and Committees

Our Board of Directors has established an audit committee, a nomination committee and a compensation committee. Each of these committees operates under formally delegated duties and responsibilities.

Audit Committee

The audit committee of the Board comprises John Brancaccio and Willy Simon. It is chaired by John Brancaccio, and is responsible for:

- monitoring the quality of internal controls and ensuring our financial performance is properly measured and reported on;
- consideration of the Directors' risk assessment and suggesting items for discussion at the full Board;
- receipt and review of reports from our management and auditors relating to the interim and annual accounts, including a review of accounting policies, accounting treatment and disclosures in the financial reports;

- consideration of the accounting and internal control systems in use throughout the Company and its subsidiaries; and
- overseeing our relationship with external auditors, including making recommendations to the Board as to the appointment or re-appointment of the external auditors, reviewing their terms of engagement, and monitoring the external auditors' independence, objectivity and effectiveness.

The audit committee meets not less than twice in each financial year and has unrestricted access to our auditors.

Our Board of Directors has determined that John Brancaccio is an "audit committee financial expert" as defined under section 5605(a)(2) of the Nasdaq Listing Rules.

In order to satisfy the independence criteria for audit committee members set forth in Rule 10A-3 under the Exchange Act, each member of an audit committee of a listed company may not, other than in his or her capacity as a member of the audit committee, the Board of Directors, or any other board committee, accept, directly or indirectly, any consulting, advisory, or other compensatory fee from the listed company or any of its subsidiaries or otherwise be an affiliated person of the listed company or any of its subsidiaries. We believe that the composition of our audit committee will meet the requirements for independence under current SEC rules and regulations.

Compensation Committee

The compensation committee of the Board comprises Willy Simon and John Brancaccio. It is chaired by Willy Simon, and is responsible for:

- the review of the performance of the Executive Directors;
- recommendations to the Board on matters relating to the remuneration and terms of service of the Executive Directors; and
- recommendations to the Board on proposals for the granting of share options and other equity incentives pursuant to any share option scheme or equity incentive scheme in operation from time to time.

In making their recommendations, the compensation committee will have due regard to our stockholders' interests and our performance.

In order to satisfy the independence criteria for compensation committee members set forth in Rule 10C-1 under the Exchange Act, all factors specifically relevant to determining whether a director has a relationship to such company which is material to that director's ability to be independent from management in connection with the duties of a compensation committee member must be considered, including, but not limited to: (1) the source of compensation of the director, including any consulting advisory or other compensatory fee paid by such company to the director; and (2) whether the director is affiliated with the company or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates. We believe the composition of our compensation committee will meet the requirements for independence under current SEC rules and regulations.

None of the members of our compensation committee is, or has ever been, an officer or employee of our company.

Nomination Committee

The nomination committee of the Board comprises John Brancaccio and Willy Simon. It is chaired by John Brancaccio, and is responsible for:

- drawing up selection criteria and appointment procedures for Directors;
- recommending nominees for election to our Board of Directors and its corresponding committees;
- assessing the functioning of individual members of our Board of Directors and executive officers and reporting the results of such assessment to our Board of Directors; and
- developing corporate governance guidelines.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a formal Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applicable to all Board members, officers and employees. Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics can be found on our website at www.AccuStem.com. A copy of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics may be obtained without charge upon written request to Secretary, AccuStem Sciences, Inc., 5 Penn Plaza, 19th Floor, #1954 New York, NY 10001. If we make any substantive amendments to our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics or grant any waiver from a provision of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics to any executive officer or director, we will promptly disclose the nature of the amendment or waiver on our website, www.AccuStem.com, and/or in our public filings with the SEC.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Summary Compensation Table

The following table provides certain summary information concerning compensation awarded to, earned by or paid to our Principal Executive Officer and our other highest paid executive officers whose total annual salary and bonus exceeded \$100,000 (collectively, the “named executive officers”) for fiscal year ended December 31, 2024 and 2023.

<u>Name and Principal Position</u>	<u>Year</u>	<u>Salary (\$)</u>	<u>All Other Compensation (\$)</u>	<u>Total (\$)</u>
Wendy Blosser (Chief Executive Officer)	2024	425,000	-	425,000
	2023	425,000	-	425,000
Jeff Fensterer (Chief Operating Officer) ³	2024	-	81,813-2	81,813
	2023	138,654	26,5892	165,243
Joe Flanagan (Chief Business Officer)	2024	300,000	-	300,000
	2023	300,000	-	300,000

- 1) On June 5, 2023, Jeff Fensterer, Chief Operating Officer, resigned his position with the Company for personal reasons, effective as of June 16, 2023. Jeff Fensterer moved into a consulting position with the Company.
- 2) Amount relates to consulting fees

Offer Letters

Wendy Blosser

We entered into an offer letter with Ms. Blosser on February 18, 2022 which was effective on March 4, 2022. This offer letter entitles Ms. Blosser to receive an initial annual base salary of \$425,000 per year. Ms. Blosser is eligible to receive an annual bonus of up to 45% of her base salary, such bonus amount to be determined by our board of directors in its sole discretion, provided that \$47,813 of such bonus was guaranteed as paid in the first quarter of 2022. Ms. Blosser received a stock option to purchase 81,667 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$15.96 per share which options vest 10% of the shares on each of the first, second, and third anniversaries of March 4, 2022, 20% of the shares upon the fourth anniversary of March 4, 2022, 25% of the shares upon our completion of a single equity financing which results in net proceeds to us of not less than \$30,000,000 and 25% of the shares upon us launching a CLIA certified lab in the US by the end of 2023. The agreement provides that Ms. Blosser’s employment with us is at-will. Additionally, Ms. Blosser is subject to a one year non-competition and non-solicitation covenant.

Joe Flanagan

We entered into an offer letter with Mr. Flanagan on December 6, 2021 which was effective on January 17, 2022. This offer letter entitles Mr. Flanagan to receive an initial annual base salary of \$300,000 per year. Mr. Flanagan is eligible to receive an annual bonus of up to 40% of his base salary, such bonus amount to be determined by our board of directors in its sole discretion. Mr. Flanagan received a stock option to purchase 16,500 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$15.96 per share which options vest 10% of the shares on each of the first, second, and third anniversaries of March 4, 2022, 20% of the shares upon the fourth anniversary of March 4, 2022, 25% of the shares upon our completion of a single equity financing which results in net proceeds to us of not less than \$30,000,000 and 25% of the shares upon us launching a CLIA certified lab in the US by the end of 2023. The agreement provides that Mr. Flanagan employment with us is at-will. Additionally, Mr. Flanagan is subject to a one year non-competition and non-solicitation covenant.

Consulting Agreements

Keeren Shah

We entered into a consultancy agreement with Ms. Shah on March 1, 2021 to provide finance director services. This agreement entitles Ms. Shah to receive a base fee of £15,000 (\$18,780, based on an exchange ratio of £1.00 to \$1.252 of as of December 31, 2024) per annum. Ms. Shah may also be eligible to receive a bonus in an amount to be determined in our sole discretion.

Ms. Shah is not entitled to any fringe benefits. If Ms. Shah's consultancy with the Company is terminated without cause, Ms. Shah will be entitled to a payment in lieu of the fee in an amount equal to her base fee for all or any remaining part of the relevant period of notice.

Ms. Shah is also subject to a 6-month non-solicitation covenant.

Gabriele Cerrone

We entered into a consultancy agreement with Mr. Cerrone on January 1, 2022 to provide business development, strategic planning and corporate finance advice, as well as to fulfill the role of Executive Chairman of the board. This agreement entitles Mr. Cerrone to receive a base fee of \$66,000 per annum. Mr. Cerrone may also be eligible to receive a bonus in an amount to be determined in our sole discretion.

Mr. Cerrone is not entitled to any fringe benefits. If Mr. Cerrone's consultancy with the Company is terminated without cause, Mr. Cerrone will be entitled to a payment in lieu of the base fee in an amount equal to his base fee for all or any remaining part of the relevant period of notice.

Mr. Cerrone is also subject to a six-month non-solicitation covenant.

Annual Bonus

Currently, our senior leadership team has annual bonus opportunities of 40-45% of his or her respective salaries. The bonus plan is based upon achievement of key corporate milestones and is contingent upon board approval. We plan to expand the bonus program as the company hires additional employees.

Outstanding equity awards at fiscal year end

The following table sets forth information for the named executive officers regarding the number of shares subject to both exercisable and unexercisable stock options as well as the exercise prices and expiration dates thereof, as of December 31, 2024. Except for the options set forth in the table below, no other equity awards were held by any of our named executive officers as of December 31, 2024.

Name and Principal Position	Option Awards ¹		Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable		
Wendy Blosser Chief Executive Officer	122,500(2) 85,000	367,500(4) 85,000	\$ 2.13 0.49	3/23/2032 3/13/2034
Joe Flanagan Chief Business Officer	24,750(3) 50,000	74,250(5) 50,000	\$ 2.13 0.49	1/25/2032 3/13/2034

- 1) For each executive officer, the shares listed in this table are subject to a single stock option award carrying the varying exercise prices as set forth herein. The option awards remain exercisable until they expire ten years from the date of grant, subject to earlier expiration following termination of employment.
- 2) 61,250 stock options vested on January 25, 2023 and January 25, 2024
- 3) 12,375 stock options vested on January 25, 2023 and January 25, 2024
- 4) 122,500 of the options are time-based and 245,000 are performance based.
- 5) 24,750 of the options are time-based and 49,500 are performance based.

Director and Non-Employee Compensation

The following table presents the total compensation for each person who served as a non-employee member of our Board and received compensation for such service during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2024. Other than as set forth in the table and described more fully below, we did not pay any compensation, make any equity awards or non-equity awards to, or pay any other compensation to any of the non-employee members of our Board in 2024. Directors who are also employees do not receive cash or equity compensation for service on our Board of Directors in addition to compensation payable for their service as employees of the Company.

Name	2024 Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)
Gabriele Cerrone	66,000
Willy Simon	50,000
John Brancaccio	50,000
Sean McDonald	50,000

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The following table sets forth information with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of December 31, 2024 by:

- each of our Directors;
- each of our executive officers; and
- each person, or group of affiliated persons, who is known by us to beneficially own more than 5 per cent. of our outstanding Common Stock.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Shares	Number of Ordinary Shares Beneficially Owned Percentage ⁽¹⁾
Named Executive Officers and Directors		
Gabriele Cerrone ⁽²⁾ ⁽³⁾ ⁽⁴⁾	4,760,998	39.21%
Willy Simon	9,789	*
Keeren Shah ⁽⁵⁾	5,001	*
John Brancaccio ⁽⁶⁾	8,964	*
Wendy Blosser ⁽⁷⁾	185,750	1.51%
Joe Flanagan ⁽⁸⁾	37,125	*
All executive officers and directors as a group (six persons)	5,007,877	40.46%
5% Stockholders		
Planwise Group Limited ⁽¹⁾ ⁽²⁾	3,294,338	27.22%
Panetta Partners Ltd ⁽³⁾	1,425,618	11.78%
Tiziana Lifesciences Ltd	1,337,970	11.06%

* Represents beneficial ownership of less than one percent.

(1) "Percentage of Shares Beneficially Owned" is based on 12,100,535 common stock issued and outstanding as at December 31, 2024.

(2) Gabriele Cerrone, a director of our company, is the beneficial owner of the entire issued share capital of Planwise Group Limited. Planwise Group Limited is incorporated in the British Virgin Islands with a registered address at Offshore Incorporations Centre, P.O. Box 957, Road Town, Tortola, British Virgin Islands.

(3) This includes shares held by Mr. Cerrone personally and shares held by Planwise Group Limited and Panetta Partners Limited (being entities in which Mr. Cerrone is considered to have a beneficial interest).

(4) Consists of 41,041 stock options which are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of December 31, 2024

(5) Consists of 5,001 stock options which are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of December 31, 2024

(6) Consists of 8,964 stock options which are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of December 31, 2024

(7) Consists of 183,750 stock options which are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of December 31, 2024

(8) Consists of 37,125 stock options which are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of December 31, 2024

Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules and regulations of the SEC and includes voting or investment power with respect to our common stock. Common stock subject to options that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days after December 31, 2024 are considered outstanding and beneficially owned by the person holding the options for the purpose of calculating the percentage ownership of that person but not for the purpose of calculating the percentage ownership of any other person. Except as otherwise noted, the persons and entities in this table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all of the common stock beneficially owned by them, subject to community property laws, where applicable. The information in the table above is based on information known to us or ascertained by us from public filings made by the shareholders.

We have also set forth below information known to us regarding any significant change in the percentage ownership of our common stock by any major shareholders during the past three years. The major shareholders listed below do not have voting rights with respect to their common stock that are different from the voting rights of other holders of our common stock.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Summary Description of the 2021 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan

Effective August 1, 2021 (“Adoption Date”), the Company adopted the 2021 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (the “Incentive Plan”) to provide an additional incentive, strengthen the commitment, motivate to faithful and diligently perform responsibilities, attract and retain competent and dedicated individuals whose efforts will result in the long-term growth and profitability of the company, to selected employees, directors, and independent contractors of the company or its affiliates whose contributions are essential to the growth and success of the company. The Plan provides that the company may grant Options, Stock Appreciation Rights, Restricted Stock, Restricted Stock Units, and Other Stock-Based Awards. Any employee, director or consultant of the Company or any of its subsidiaries will be eligible to participate in the 2021 Plan. The number of shares of the Company Common Stock that reserved for issuance under the 2021 Plan is 2,500,000.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The following includes a summary of transactions since January 1, 2022 to which we have been a party in which the amount involved exceeded or will exceed the lesser of \$120,000 or 1% of the average of our total assets as of December 31, 2024 and 2023, and in which any of our directors, executive officers or, to our knowledge, beneficial owners of more than 5% of our capital stock or any member of the immediate family of any of the foregoing persons had or will have a direct or indirect material interest, other than equity and other compensation, termination, change in control and other arrangements, which are described elsewhere in this prospectus. We also describe below certain other transactions with our directors, executive officers, and stockholder.

Agreements with Our Executive Officers and Directors

We entered into a consulting agreement with Ms. Shah on March 1, 2021 to provide finance director services. This agreement entitles Ms. Shah to receive a base fee of £15,000 (\$18,847, based on an exchange ratio of £1.00 to \$1.25651 of as of December 31, 2024) per annum. Ms. Shah may also be eligible to receive a bonus in an amount to be determined in our sole discretion.

Ms. Shah is not entitled to any fringe benefits. If Ms. Shah’s consulting agreement is terminated without cause, Ms. Shah will be entitled to a payment in lieu of notice to the equal to her base fee for all or any remaining part of the relevant period of notice.

Ms. Shah is also subject to a six-month non-solicitation covenant.

Gabriele Cerrone

We entered into a consultancy agreement with Mr. Cerrone on January 1, 2022 to provide business development, strategic planning and corporate finance advice, as well as to fulfill the role of Executive Chairman of the board. This agreement entitles Mr. Cerrone to receive a base fee of \$66,000 per annum. Mr. Cerrone may also be eligible to receive a bonus in an amount to be determined in our sole discretion.

Mr. Cerrone is not entitled to any fringe benefits. If Mr. Cerrone’s consultancy with the Company is terminated without cause, Mr. Cerrone will be entitled to a payment in lieu of the base fee in an amount equal to his basic fee for all or any remaining part of the relevant period of notice.

Mr. Cerrone is also subject to a 6-month non-competition and non-solicitation covenant.

GenSignia IP Ltd. License Agreement

On June 23, 2022, we entered into an Assignment and Assumption Agreement with GenSignia pursuant to which GenSignia assigned all of its rights under a license agreement between Biomirna Holdings Ltd. and GenSignia Inc. effective as of December 21, 2011, as amended (the “MSC License”), to us. Gabriele Cerrone, our Chairman is the Chairman of GenSignia. The MSC License provides for the payment of up to \$400,000 in milestone payments and low single digit percentage in royalties based on net sales. The MSC License remains in effect until the royalty term has expired with respect to all licensed products in all countries. The MSC License may be terminated by either party in the event of a material breach and in addition, we may terminate the MSC License at any time upon 90 days’ notice.

Family Relationships

There are no family relationships among any of our directors or executive officers.

Director Independence

Our board of directors has undertaken a review of the independence of each director. Based on information provided by each director concerning his background, employment and affiliations, our board of directors has determined that Messrs. Simon, Brancaccio and McDonald do not have a relationship that would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director and that each of these directors is “independent” as that term is defined under the listing standards of the Nasdaq Capital Market. In making these determinations, our board of directors considered the current and prior relationships that each non-employee director has with our company and all other facts and circumstances our board of directors deemed relevant in determining their independence, including the beneficial ownership of our capital stock by each non-employee director, and the transactions involving them described in this section.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The Audit Committee works with our management in order to negotiate appropriate fees with its independent registered public accounting firm and is ultimately responsible for approving those fees. The following is a summary and description of fees for services provided by the independent registered public accounting firms in fiscal years 2024 and 2023. Other than as set forth below, no professional services were rendered or fees billed by Mercurius & Associates LLP or Mazars USA LLP during fiscal years 2024 and 2023.

The following table sets forth, for each of the years indicated, the aggregate fees billed to us for services rendered by Mercurius & Associates LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm and Mazars USA LLP, our previous independent registered public accounting firm:

	2024	2023
Mercurius & Associates LLP		
Audit Fees	\$ 48,925	\$ -
All Other Fees	-	-
Total	\$ 48,925	\$ -
Mazars USA LLP		
Audit Fees	\$ 67,642	\$ 130,740
All Other Fees	-	-
Total	\$ 67,642	\$ 130,740

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

- 3.1 [Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of AccuStem Sciences Inc. \(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K filed December 3, 2021\)](#)
- 3.2 [Bylaws of AccuStem Sciences Inc. \(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Form 8-K filed December 2, 2021\)](#)
- 4.1 [Form of common stock certificate \(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form S-1 filed November 17, 2022\)](#)
- 4.2 [Demerger Agreement between Tiziana Life Sciences PLC and AccuStem Sciences Limited dated October 5, 2020 \(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Form 20-F filed March 12, 2021\)](#)
- 4.3 [Supplemental Demerger Agreement between Tiziana Life Sciences PLC and AccuStem Sciences Limited dated October 30, 2020 \(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Form 20-F filed March 12, 2021\)](#)
- 4.4 [Description of the Registrant's Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 \(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Form 10-K filed on March 22, 2024\)](#)
- 10.1 [License Agreement dated June 24, 2014 by and between TTFactor Srl and Fondazione Firc per l'Oncologia Molecolare and Universita degli Studi di Milano and Tiziana Life Sciences plc \(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Form 20-F filed May 7, 2021\)](#)
- 10.2 [Form of Indemnification Agreement \(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed December 3, 2021\)](#)
- 10.3 [AccuStem Sciences Inc. 2021 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan \(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K filed December 3, 2021\)](#)
- 10.4 [Shared Services Agreement by and between Accustem Sciences Ltd. and Tiziana Life Sciences plc dated as of January 1, 2021 \(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Form S-1 filed November 17, 2022\).](#)
- 10.5 [Offer Letter dated February 18, 2022 between Accustem Sciences, Inc. and Wendy Blosser \(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Form S-1 filed November 17, 2022\).](#)
- 10.6 [Offer Letter dated November 25, 2021 between Accustem Sciences, Inc. and Jeff Fensterer \(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Form S-1 filed November 17, 2022\)](#)
- 10.7 [Offer Letter dated December 6, 2021 between Accustem Sciences, Inc. and Joe Flanagan \(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Form S-1 filed November 17, 2022\)](#)
- 10.8 [Consulting Agreement dated March 21, 2021 between Keeren Shah and Accustem Sciences, Inc. \(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to Form S-1 filed November 17, 2022\)](#)
- 10.9 [Consulting Agreement dated January 1, 2022 between Gabriele Cerrone and Accustem Sciences, Inc. \(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to Form S-1 filed November 17, 2022\).](#)
- 10.10 [First Amendment to License Agreement by and between AccuStem Sciences, Inc., Istituto Europeo di Oncologia Srl and Universita degli Studi di Milano, dated November 9, 2022 \(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to Form S-1 filed November 17, 2022\).](#)
- 10.11 [Amendment to Consulting Agreement dated July 22, 2021 between Keeren Shah and Accustem Sciences, Inc. \(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to Form S-1 filed November 17, 2022\)](#)
- 19.1 [Insider Trading Policy](#)
- 21.1 [List of Subsidiaries \(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 8.1 to Form 20-F filed May 7, 2021\)](#)
- 24.1 [Power of Attorney \(included on signature page\)](#)
- 31.1 [Certification of Principal Executive Officer required under Rule 13a-14\(a\)/15d-14\(a\) under the Exchange Act.](#)
- 31.2 [Certification of Principal Financial Officer required under Rule 13a-14\(a\)/15d-14\(a\) under the Exchange Act.](#)
- 32.1 [Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.](#)
- 32.2 [Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.](#)
- 97.1 [Accustem Sciences, Inc. Clawback Policy \(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 97.1 to Form 10-K filed on Marh 22, 2024\)](#)
- 101.INS* Inline XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH* Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL* Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF* Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
- 101.LAB* Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE* Inline XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
- 104 Cover Page Interactive Data File (embedded within the Inline XBRL document)

Item 16. Form 10-K Summary

Not applicable.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on April 3, 2025.

ACCUSTEM SCIENCES, INC.
(Registrant)

/s/ Wendy Blosser

Wendy Blosser
Chief Executive Officer

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below hereby constitutes and appoints Wendy Blosser and Keeren Shah as his or her attorney-in-fact, with full power of substitution and resubstitution, for him or her in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and to file the same, with exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorney-in-fact full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in connection therewith as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorney-in-fact, or his substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

In accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed below on April 3, 2025 by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities indicated.

/s/ Wendy Blosser

Wendy Blosser
Chief Executive Officer and Director

/s/ Keeren Shah

Keeren Shah
Chief Financial Officer

/s/ Gabriele Cerrone

Gabriele Cerrone
Director

/s/ Sean McDonald

Sean McDonald
Director

/s/ Willy Simon

Willy Simon
Director

/s/ John Brancaccio

John Brancaccio
Director

Exhibit 19.1

**ACCUSTEM SCIENCES INC.
INSIDER TRADING POLICY**

1. PURPOSE

This Insider Trading Policy (this “**Policy**”) states the policy with respect to transactions in the securities of Accustem Sciences Inc. (the “**Company**”), and the handling of confidential information about the Company and other companies with which the Company does business. The Company’s Board of Directors has adopted this Policy to promote compliance with federal and state securities laws that prohibit certain persons who are aware of material nonpublic information about a company from (i) trading in securities of that company, or (ii) providing material nonpublic information to other persons who may trade on the basis of that information.

2. PERSONS SUBJECT TO THE POLICY

This Policy applies to all members of the Company’s Board of Directors (collectively, “**directors**” and each, a “**director**”), officers and employees of the Company. The Company may also determine that other persons should be subject to this Policy, such as contractors or consultants who have access to material nonpublic information about the Company. With respect to any person covered by this Policy, this Policy also applies to that person’s family members, other members of that person’s household, and entities controlled by that person, as described below under “Transactions by Family Members and Others” and “Transactions by Entities That You Influence or Control.”

3. TRANSACTIONS SUBJECT TO THE POLICY

This Policy applies to transactions in the Company’s securities (collectively, “**Company Securities**”), including the Company’s common stock, restricted stock, options to purchase common stock, or any other type of security the Company may issue, including (but not limited to) preferred stock, convertible debentures and warrants. In addition, this Policy applies to derivative securities that are not issued by the Company but which relate to Company Securities, such as exchange-traded put or call options or swaps. This Policy similarly applies to transactions in or relating to the securities of certain other companies with which the Company does business.

4. INDIVIDUAL RESPONSIBILITY

Persons subject to this Policy have ethical and legal obligations to maintain the confidentiality of information about the Company and to not engage in transactions in Company Securities while in possession of material nonpublic information. Each individual is responsible for making sure that he or she complies with this Policy, and that any family member, household member or related entity whose transactions are subject to this Policy, as discussed below, also comply with this Policy. In all cases, the responsibility for determining whether an individual is in possession of material nonpublic information rests with that individual, and any action on the part of the Company, the Administrator (as defined below) or any other employee or director pursuant to this Policy (or otherwise) does not in any way constitute legal advice or insulate an individual from liability under applicable securities laws. You could be subject to severe legal penalties and disciplinary action by the Company for any conduct prohibited by this Policy or applicable securities laws, as described below under “Consequences of Violations.”

5. ADMINISTRATION OF THE POLICY

The “Administrator” of this Policy is the Company’s Chief Financial Officer, the Chief Executive Officer if a Chief Financial Officer has not been designated, or such other individual designated by the Company’s Board of Directors from time to time. All determinations and interpretations by the Administrator are final and not subject to further review.

6. PRINCIPAL STATEMENT OF POLICY

(a) **Trading in Company Securities and Disclosure of Nonpublic Information.** No director, officer or other employee of the Company (or any other person designated by this Policy or by the Administrator as subject to this Policy) who is aware of material nonpublic information relating to the Company may, directly or indirectly through family members or other persons or entities:

(i) engage in transactions in Company Securities, except as otherwise specified in this Policy under the heading “Limited Exceptions;”

(ii) recommend the purchase or sale of any Company Securities;

(iii) disclose material nonpublic information to persons within the Company whose jobs do not require them to have that information, or to persons outside of the Company, including, but not limited to, family, friends, business associates, investors and consultants, except as required in the performance of regular corporate duties and only to the extent appropriate confidentiality protections are effective and the disclosure conforms to Company policies; or

(iv) assist anyone engaged in the above activities.

(b) **Trading in Securities of Other Companies.** No director, officer or other employee of the Company (or any other person designated by this Policy or by the Administrator as subject to this Policy) who, in the course of working for the Company, learns of **material nonpublic information** about a company with which the Company does or intends to do business, including a customer, supplier or service provider of the Company, may trade in that company’s securities until the information becomes public or is no longer material.

(c) **No Exceptions.** There are no exceptions to this Policy, except as specifically noted herein. Transactions that may be necessary or justifiable for independent reasons (such as the need to raise money for an emergency expenditure), or small transactions, are not excluded from this Policy. The securities laws do not recognize any mitigating circumstances, and, in any event, even the appearance of an improper transaction must be avoided to preserve the Company’s reputation for adhering to the highest standards of conduct.

7. DEFINITION OF MATERIAL NONPUBLIC INFORMATION

(a) Material Information. Information is considered “material” if a reasonable investor would consider that information important in making a decision to buy, hold or sell securities. Any information that could be expected to impact the Company’s stock price, whether it is positive or negative, is considered material. There is no bright-line standard for assessing materiality; rather, materiality is based on an assessment of all of the facts and circumstances, and is often evaluated by enforcement authorities with the benefit of hindsight. While it is not possible to define all categories of material information, some examples of information that ordinarily would be regarded as material are:

- operating or financial results or projections, including earnings guidance;
- analyst upgrades or downgrades of the Company or one of its securities;
- corporate transactions, such as mergers, acquisitions or restructurings;
- dividend, share repurchase or recapitalization matters;
- debt or equity financing matters;
- regulatory matters, such as the status of applications with, and related feedback from, the U.S. Government and applicable foreign regulatory bodies;
- a change in the Board of Directors or senior management;
- a change in auditors or disagreements with auditors;
- impending bankruptcy or the existence of severe liquidity problems;
- litigation or regulatory proceedings and investigations;
- the imposition of a ban on trading in Company Securities or other securities;
- intellectual property and other proprietary information;
- research milestones; and
- significant corporate developments, including with respect to material contracts, and research and development activities.

(b) Nonpublic Information. Information is considered “nonpublic” if that information has not been broadly disclosed to the marketplace, such as by press release or a filing with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”), and/or the investing public has not had time to fully absorb that information. Nonpublic information may include:

- information available to a select group of persons subject to confidentiality obligations to the Company;
- undisclosed facts that are the subject of rumors, even if the rumors are widely circulated; and
- information that has been entrusted to the Company on a confidential basis.

As a general rule, information should not be considered fully absorbed by the investing public until the second business day after the day on which the information is released. If, for example, the Company makes an announcement at 9 am ET on Monday, a person subject to this Policy should not trade in Company Securities until the market opens on Wednesday. If such an announcement were made at 6 pm ET on Monday, the person subject to this Policy should not trade in Company Securities until the market opens on Thursday. Depending on the particular circumstances, the Company may determine that a longer or shorter period should apply.

8. TRANSACTIONS BY FAMILY MEMBERS AND OTHERS

This Policy applies to your family members who reside with you, anyone else who lives in your household and any family members who do not live in your household but whose transactions in Company Securities are directed by you or are subject to your influence or control, such as parents or children who consult with you before they trade in Company Securities (collectively, "**Family Members**"). You are responsible for the transactions of your Family Members and therefore should make them aware of the need to confer with you before they trade in Company Securities, and you should treat all such transactions for the purposes of this Policy and applicable securities laws as if the transactions were for your own account. This Policy does not, however, apply to personal securities transactions of Family Members where the purchase or sale decision is made by a third party not controlled by, influenced by or related to you or your Family Members.

9. TRANSACTIONS BY ENTITIES THAT YOU INFLUENCE OR CONTROL

This Policy applies to any entities that you influence or control, including any corporations, partnerships or trusts (collectively, "**Controlled Entities**"), and transactions by these Controlled Entities should be treated for the purposes of this Policy and applicable securities laws as if they were for your own account.

10. LIMITED EXCEPTIONS

This Policy does not apply in the case of the following transactions (although these transactions may nevertheless be subject to the requirements of Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "**Exchange Act**"), applicable to directors and executive officers):

(a) Option Exercises. This Policy does not apply generally to the exercise of an option, including a cashless exercise solely through the Company or the exercise of a tax withholding right through the Company to satisfy tax withholding requirements. However, this Policy does apply to any sale of stock received upon exercise of an option, including any deemed sale caused by an election to make a cashless exercise through a broker, or any other market sale for the purpose of generating the cash necessary to pay the option exercise price.

(b) Rule 10b5-1 Plans. Rule 10b5-1 under the Exchange Act provides a defense from insider trading liability under Rule 10b-5. In order to be eligible to rely on this defense, a person subject to this Policy must enter into a Rule 10b5-1 plan for transactions in Company Securities that meets certain conditions specified in the Rule (a “**Rule 10b5-1 Plan**”). If the plan meets the requirements of Rule 10b5-1, Company Securities may be purchased or sold without regard to certain insider trading restrictions. To comply with this Policy, a Rule 10b5-1 Plan must be approved by the Administrator and meet the requirements of Rule 10b5-1 and the Company’s “Guidelines for Rule 10b5-1 Plans,” which are set forth in Appendix 10(b) to this Policy. In general, to ensure that a Rule 10b5-1 Plan is entered into at a time when the person entering into the plan is not aware of material nonpublic information, it must be entered into during an Open Trading Window. Once the plan is adopted, the person must not exercise any influence over the amount of securities to be traded, the price at which they are to be traded or the date of the trade. The plan must either specify the amount, pricing and timing of transactions in advance or delegate discretion on these matters to an independent third party.

Any Rule 10b5-1 Plan must be submitted for approval at least five business days prior to the entry into the Rule 10b5-1 Plan. No further pre-approval of transactions conducted pursuant to the Rule 10b5-1 Plan will be required.

(c) 401(k) Plan. This Policy does not apply to purchases of Company Securities in the Company’s 401(k) plan resulting from your periodic contribution of money to the plan pursuant to your payroll deduction election. This Policy does apply, however, to certain elections you may make under the 401(k) plan, including: (i) an election to increase or decrease the percentage of your periodic contributions that will be allocated to any Company stock fund; (ii) an election to make an intra-plan transfer of an existing account balance into or out of any Company stock fund; (iii) an election to borrow money against your 401(k) plan account if the loan will result in a liquidation of some or all of any Company stock fund balance; and (iv) an election to pre-pay a plan loan if the pre-payment will result in allocation of loan proceeds to any Company stock fund.

(d) Transactions Not Involving a Purchase or Sale. Bona fide gifts are not transactions subject to this Policy, unless the person making the gift has reason to believe that the recipient intends to sell the Company Securities while the director, officer or employee is aware of material nonpublic information, or the person making the gift is subject to the trading restrictions specified below under “Additional Procedures” and the sales by the recipient of the Company Securities occur outside an Open Trading Window (as defined below). Further, transactions in mutual funds that are invested in Company Securities are not transactions subject to this Policy.

11. SPECIAL AND PROHIBITED TRANSACTIONS

The Company has determined that there is a heightened legal risk and/or the appearance of improper or inappropriate conduct if the persons subject to this Policy engage in certain types of transactions. Therefore, it is the Company’s policy that any persons covered by this Policy may not engage in any of the following transactions, or should otherwise consider the Company’s preferences as described below:

(a) Short-Term Trading. Short-term trading of Company Securities may be distracting to the person and may unduly focus the person on the Company’s short-term stock market performance instead of the Company’s long-term business objectives. For these reasons, all persons subject to this Policy who purchase Company Securities in the open market are discouraged from selling any Company Securities of the same class during the six months following the purchase (or vice versa). Furthermore, such short-term trading by directors or executive officers (as defined by Rule 16a-1) may result in short-swing profit liability under Section 16(b) of the Exchange Act.

(b) Short Sales. Short sales of Company Securities (i.e., the sale of a security that the seller does not own) may evidence an expectation on the part of the seller that the securities will decline in value, and therefore have the potential to signal to the market that the seller lacks confidence in the Company's prospects. In addition, short sales may reduce a seller's incentive to seek to improve the Company's performance. For these reasons, short sales of Company Securities are prohibited. Furthermore, Section 16(c) of the Exchange Act prohibits directors and executive officers (as defined by Rule 16a-1) from engaging in short sales. Short sales arising from certain types of hedging transactions are subject to the paragraph below captioned "Hedging Transactions."

(c) Publicly-Traded Options. Given the relatively short term of publicly-traded options, transactions in options may imply that a director, officer or employee is trading based on material nonpublic information and focus that director's, officer's or other employee's attention on short-term performance at the expense of the Company's long-term objectives. Accordingly, transactions in put options, call options or other derivative securities, on an exchange or in any other organized market, are prohibited by this Policy. Option positions arising from certain types of hedging transactions are subject to the paragraph below captioned "Hedging Transactions."

(d) Hedging Transactions. Hedging or monetization transactions can be accomplished through a number of possible mechanisms, including through the use of financial instruments such as prepaid variable forwards, equity swaps, collars and exchange funds. Such hedging transactions may permit a director, officer or employee to continue to own Company Securities, but without the full risks and rewards of ownership. When that occurs, the director, officer or employee may no longer have the same objectives as the Company's other stockholders. Therefore, directors, officers and employees are prohibited from engaging in any such transactions.

(e) Margin Accounts and Pledged Securities. Securities held in a margin account as collateral for a margin loan may be sold by the broker without the customer's consent if the customer fails to meet a margin call. Similarly, securities pledged (or hypothecated) as collateral for a loan may be sold in foreclosure if the borrower defaults on the loan. Because a margin sale or foreclosure sale may occur at a time when the pledgor is aware of material nonpublic information or otherwise is not permitted to trade in Company Securities, directors, officers and other employees are prohibited from holding Company Securities in a margin account or otherwise pledging Company Securities as collateral for a loan unless the arrangement is specifically approved in advance by the Administrator. Pledges of Company Securities arising from certain types of hedging transactions are subject to the paragraph above captioned "Hedging Transactions."

(f) Standing and Limit Orders. Standing and limit orders (except standing and limit orders under approved Rule 10b5-1 Plans, as described above) create heightened risks for insider trading violations similar to the use of margin accounts. There is no control over the timing of purchases or sales that result from standing instructions to a broker, and as a result the broker could execute a transaction when a director, officer or other employee is in possession of material nonpublic information. The Company therefore discourages placing standing or limit orders on Company Securities. If a person subject to this Policy determines that they must use a standing order or limit order, the order should be limited to short duration and should otherwise comply with the restrictions and procedures outlined below under the heading "Additional Procedures."

12. ADDITIONAL PROCEDURES

The Company has established additional procedures in order to assist the Company in the administration of this Policy, to facilitate compliance with laws prohibiting insider trading while in possession of material nonpublic information, and to avoid the appearance of any impropriety. These additional procedures are applicable only to those individuals described below.

(a) Pre-Clearance Procedures. All directors, officers and employees of the Company, as well as the Family Members and Controlled Entities of such persons, may not engage in **any transaction** in Company Securities without first obtaining pre-clearance of the transaction from the Administrator. **A request for pre-clearance should be submitted to the Administrator at least two business days in advance of the proposed transaction.** The Administrator is under no obligation to approve a transaction submitted for pre-clearance, and may determine not to permit the transaction. If a person seeks pre-clearance and permission to engage in the transaction is denied, then he or she should refrain from initiating any transaction in Company Securities and should not inform any other person of the restriction.

When a request for pre-clearance is made, the requestor should carefully consider whether he or she may be aware of any material nonpublic information about the Company and should describe fully those circumstances to the Administrator. The requestor should also indicate whether he or she has effected any non-exempt “opposite-way” transactions (e.g., an open market sale would be “opposite” any open market purchase, and vice versa) within the past six months, and should be prepared to report the proposed transaction on an appropriate Form 4 or Form 5. The requestor should also be prepared to comply with SEC Rule 144 and file Form 144, if necessary, at the time of any sale.

A request for pre-clearance must be made in writing, preferably by submission of a completed Request for Pre-Clearance in the form of EXHIBIT A to this Policy. Pre-cleared transactions should be effected promptly. Requestors are required to refresh the request for pre-clearance if a pre-cleared transaction is not effected within five business days after pre-clearance is received.

Furthermore, requestors must immediately notify the Administrator following the execution of any transaction.

(b) Quarterly Trading Restrictions. Directors, officers and employees, as well as their Family Members and Controlled Entities, may not conduct any transactions involving the Company’s Securities (other than as specified by this Policy) except during an Open Trading Window. An “**Open Trading Window**” generally begins on the second business day following the day of public release of the Company’s quarterly earnings and ends 15 calendar days prior to the end of the then current quarter. The Administrator will notify directors, officers and employees of the opening and closing of the trading window.

(c) Event-Specific Trading Restriction Periods. From time to time, an event may occur that is material to the Company and is known by only a few directors, officers and/or employees. So long as the event remains material and nonpublic, the persons designated by the Administrator may not trade Company Securities. In addition, material developments impacting the Company may occur in a particular fiscal quarter that, in the judgment of the Administrator, make it advisable that designated persons should refrain from trading in Company Securities even during the ordinary Open Trading Window described above. In that situation, the Administrator may notify these persons that they should not trade in the Company's Securities, without disclosing the reason for the restriction. The existence of an event-specific trading restriction period or the closing of the Open Trading Window will be announced by the Administrator to persons designated by the Administrator. **Even if the Administrator has not designated you a person who should not trade due to an event-specific restriction, you may not trade while aware of material nonpublic information.** Exceptions will not be granted during an event-specific trading restriction period.

(d) Exceptions.

(i) The quarterly trading restrictions and event-driven trading restrictions do not apply to those transactions to which this Policy does not apply, as described above under the heading "Limited Exceptions," nor do they apply to an election to participate in an employer plan during an open enrollment period.

(ii) The Administrator in his or her discretion may approve other or further exceptions to these requirements on a case-by-case basis in extraordinary circumstances. Any request for an exception pursuant to this paragraph must be submitted in advance and in writing, and any approval must be in writing.

13. POST-TERMINATION TRANSACTIONS

This Policy continues to apply to transactions in Company Securities even after termination of service to the Company. If an individual is in possession of material nonpublic information when his or her service terminates, that individual may not trade in Company Securities until that information has become public or is no longer material. The pre-clearance procedures specified under the heading "Additional Procedures" above and applicable to directors and certain executives will continue to apply for a period of six months after a termination of service, in order to facilitate compliance with Section 16 of the Exchange Act.

14. CONSEQUENCES OF VIOLATIONS

The purchase or sale of securities while aware of material nonpublic information, or the disclosure of material nonpublic information to others who then trade in the Company's Securities, is prohibited by federal and state laws. Insider trading violations are pursued vigorously by the SEC, the U.S. Department of Justice and state enforcement authorities. Punishment for insider trading violations is severe and could include significant fines and imprisonment. While the regulatory authorities concentrate their efforts on the individuals who trade, or who tip inside information to others who trade, the federal securities laws also impose potential liability on companies and other "controlling persons" if they fail to take reasonable steps to prevent insider trading by company personnel.

In addition, an individual's failure to comply with this Policy may subject the individual to Company-imposed sanctions, up to and including termination of employment, whether or not the employee's failure to comply results in a violation of law. Needless to say, a violation of law, or even an SEC investigation that does not result in prosecution, can tarnish a person's reputation and irreparably damage a career.

15. REPORTING OF VIOLATIONS

Any person who violates this Policy or any federal or state law governing insider trading or tipping, or who knows of or reasonably suspects any such violation by another person, should report the matter immediately by following the procedures set forth in the Company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, as it may be amended from time to time. Employees are obligated to report suspected and actual violations of Company policy or the law. Doing so brings the concern into the open so that it can be resolved quickly and more serious harm can be prevented. Failure to do so could result in disciplinary action up to and including termination of employment.

If you encounter a situation or are considering a course of action and its appropriateness is unclear, do not hesitate to reach out the Administrator with any questions; even the appearance of impropriety can be very damaging and should be avoided, and the Administrator may be in the best position to provide helpful information or other resources.

16. CERTIFICATION

All persons subject to this Policy may be required to certify and re-certify, from time to time, their understanding of, and intent to comply with, this Policy.

17. AMENDMENT

This Policy may be amended by the Board of Directors or any committee or designee to which the Board of Directors delegates this authority.

The Administrator has the authority to make determinations under, and interpretations of, this Policy, as specified in this Policy under the heading "Administration of the Policy." In addition, the Administrator is authorized to approve amendments to this Policy that: (i) correct obvious errors (e.g., typographical or grammatical errors); (ii) are necessitated by changes in legal requirements; (iii) are necessary to clarify the meaning of this Policy; or (iv) are administrative in nature, such as the provisions of this Policy under the heading "Additional Procedures."

Guidelines for Rule 10b5-1 Plans*

Rule 10b5-1 under the Exchange Act provides a defense from insider trading liability under Rule 10b-5. In order to be eligible to rely on this defense, a person subject to our Insider Trading Policy must enter into a Rule 10b5-1 Plan for transactions in Company Securities (as defined in the Insider Trading Policy) that meets certain conditions specified in the Rule. If the plan meets the requirements of Rule 10b5-1, Company Securities may be purchased or sold without regard to certain insider trading restrictions. In general, a Rule 10b5-1 Plan must be entered into at a time when the person entering into the plan is not aware of material nonpublic information. Once the plan is adopted, the person must not exercise any influence over the amount of securities to be traded, the price at which they are to be traded or the date of the trade. The plan must either specify the amount, pricing and timing of transactions in advance or delegate discretion on these matters to an independent third party.

As specified in the Company's Insider Trading Policy, a Rule 10b5-1 Plan must be approved by the Administrator and meet the requirements of Rule 10b5-1 and these guidelines. Any Rule 10b5-1 Plan must be submitted for approval at least five business days prior to the entry into the Rule 10b5-1 Plan. Once a 10b5-1 Plan is approved, no further pre-approval of transactions conducted pursuant to the plan will be required.

The following guidelines apply to all Rule 10b5-1 Plans:

- You may not enter into, modify or terminate a trading program outside of an Open Trading Window or while in possession of material nonpublic information.
- All Rule 10b5-1 Plans must have a duration of at least six months and no more than two years.
- If a Rule 10b5-1 Plan is terminated, you must wait at least 30 days before trading outside of the Rule 10b5-1 Plan.
- If a trading program is terminated, you must wait until the commencement of the next Open Trading Window before a new Rule 10b5-1 Plan may be adopted.
- You may not commence sales under a trading program until at least 30 days following the date of establishment of a trading program. Any modification of a trading program must not take effect for at least 30 days from the date of modification.
- You may not enter into any transaction in Company Securities while the Rule 10b5-1 Plan is in effect.

The approval or adoption of a Rule 10b5-1 Plan in no way reduces or eliminates a person's obligations under Section 16 of the Exchange Act, including disclosure obligations and liability for short-swing profits. Persons subject to Section 16 of the Exchange Act should consult with their own counsel in implementing a Rule 10b5-1 Plan.

* Capitalized terms used but not defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the *Accustem Sciences, Inc. Insider Trading Policy*.

Request for Pre-Clearance*

For pre-clearance to transact in Company Securities.

Upon executing a transaction, directors, officers and employees must immediately notify the Company.

Transaction Vehicle (check one)

- Open Market Transaction
- Equity Compensation Plan
- Other (specify):

Transaction Initiated By (check one)

- Employee or immediate family member directly
- Court or government decree (e.g., divorce decree)
- Broker (provide name, firm, telephone and e-mail):

Type of Transaction (check one)

- Purchase or acquire common stock
- Sell or dispose of common stock
- Move Company Securities from one account to another (e.g., in or out of a trust)
- Dispose of fractional shares
- Pledge Company Securities for margin account, or otherwise
- Exercise options without subsequent sale
- Exercise options with subsequent sale (e.g., a "cashless exercise")
- Other (describe):

Transaction Detail (provide the following information)

Number of securities:
 Estimated share price:
 Contemplated execution date:
 Date of your last "opposite way" transaction**:

Certification

I certify that I have fully disclosed the information requested in this form, I have read the Accustem Sciences, Inc. Insider Trading Policy, I am not in possession of material nonpublic information, and to the best of my knowledge and belief the proposed transaction will not violate the Accustem Sciences Inc. Insider Trading Policy.

Signature: _____
 Print Name: _____
 Date: _____

* Capitalized terms used but not defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Accustem Sciences, Inc. Insider Trading Policy.

** If a Section 16 insider buys and sells (or sells and buys) Company Securities within a six-month time frame and such transactions are not exempt under SEC rules, the two transactions can be "matched" for purposes of Section 16. The insider may be sued and will be strictly liable for any profits made, **regardless of whether the insider was in possession of material nonpublic information.**

Exhibit 31.1

CERTIFICATION BY PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER, PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL AND ACCOUNTING OFFICER

I, Wendy Blosser, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of AccuStem Sciences Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: April 3, 2025

/s/ Wendy Blosser

Name: Wendy Blosser
Title: Chief Executive Officer

Exhibit 31.2

CERTIFICATION BY PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER, PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL AND ACCOUNTING OFFICER

I, Keeren Shah, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of AccuStem Sciences Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: April 3, 2025

/s/ Keeren Shah

Name: Keeren Shah
Title: Chief Financial Officer

Exhibit 32.1

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report of AccuStem Sciences Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2024 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Wendy Blosser, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

Dated: April 3, 2025

/s/ Wendy Blosser

Name: Wendy Blosser
Title: Chief Executive Officer

Exhibit 32.2

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report of AccuStem Sciences Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2024 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Keeren Shah, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

Dated: April 3, 2025

/s/ Keeren Shah

Name: Keeren Shah
Title: Chief Financial Officer
