

**CENTENERA MINING CORPORATION**  
(An Exploration Stage Company)  
**Form 51-102F1**  
**MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS**  
**For the period ended July 31, 2017**

---

## **INTRODUCTION**

This Management Discussion and Analysis (“MD&A”) for Centenera Mining Corporation (“Centenera” or the “Company”) for the period ended July 31, 2017 has been prepared by management, in accordance with the requirements of National Instrument 51-102, as of September 8, 2017, and compares its financial results for the nine months ended July 31, 2017 to the nine months ended July 31, 2016. This MD&A provides a detailed analysis of the business of Centenera and should be read in conjunction with the Company’s audited consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes for the years ended October 31, 2016 and 2015. The Company’s reporting currency is the Canadian dollar and all amounts in this MD&A are expressed in Canadian dollars unless otherwise noted. The Company reports its financial position, results of operations and cash-flows in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board.

### **Forward-Looking Statements**

This MD&A contains forward-looking statements and forward-looking information (collectively, “forward-looking statements”) within the meaning of applicable Canadian and U.S. securities legislation. These statements relate to future events or the future activities or performance of the Company. All statements, other than statements of historical fact, are forward-looking statements. Information concerning mineral resource/reserve estimates and the economic analysis thereof contained in preliminary economic analyses or prefeasibility studies also may be deemed to be forward-looking statements in that they reflect a prediction of the mineralization that would be encountered, and the results of mining that mineralization, if a mineral deposit were developed and mined. Forward-looking statements are typically identified by words such as: believe, expect, anticipate, intend, estimate, postulate, plans and similar expressions, or which by their nature refer to future events. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements concerning:

- the Company’s strategies and objectives, both generally and in respect of its specific mineral properties or exploration and evaluation assets;
- the timing of decisions regarding the timing and costs of exploration programs with respect to, and the issuance of the necessary permits and authorizations required for, the Company’s exploration programs;
- the Company’s estimates of the quality and quantity of the resources and reserves at its mineral properties;
- the timing and cost of planned exploration programs of the Company and the timing of the receipt of result thereof;
- general business and economic conditions;
- the Company’s ability to meet its financial obligations as they come due, and to be able to raise the necessary funds to continue operations; and
- the Company’s expectation that it will be able to add additional mineral projects of merit to its existing property portfolio.

Although the Company believes that such statements are reasonable, it can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct. Inherent in forward looking statements are risks and uncertainties beyond the Company's ability to predict or control, including, but not limited to, risks related to the Company's inability to raise the necessary capital to be able to continue in business and to implement its business strategies, to identify one or more economic deposits on its properties, variations in the nature, quality and quantity of any mineral deposits that may be located, variations in the market price of any mineral products the Company may produce or plan to produce, the Company's inability to obtain any necessary permits, consents or authorizations required for its activities, to produce minerals from its properties successfully or profitably, to continue its projected growth, and other risks identified herein under "Risk Factors".

The Company cautions investors that any forward-looking statements by the Company are not guarantees of future performance, and that actual results are likely to differ, and may differ materially, from those expressed or implied by forward looking statements contained in this MD&A. Such statements are based on several assumptions which may prove incorrect, including, but not limited to, assumptions about:

- the level and volatility of the price of commodities;
- general business and economic conditions;
- the timing of the receipt of regulatory and governmental approvals, permits and authorizations necessary to implement and carry on the Company's planned exploration;
- conditions in the financial markets generally;
- the Company's ability to attract and retain key staff;
- the accuracy of the Company's resource/reserve estimates (including with respect to size and grade) and the geological, operational and price assumptions on which these are based;
- the nature and location of the Company's mineral exploration projects, and the timing of the ability to commence and complete the planned exploration programs; and
- the ongoing relations of the Company with its regulators.

These forward-looking statements are made as of the date hereof and the Company does not intend and does not assume any obligation, to update these forward-looking statements, except as required by applicable law. For the reasons set forth above, investors should not attribute undue certainty to or place undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

Historical results of operations and trends that may be inferred from the following discussion and analysis may not necessarily indicate future results from operations. In particular, the current state of the global securities markets may cause significant reductions in the price of the Company's securities and render it difficult or impossible for the Company to raise the funds necessary to continue operations. See "Risk Factors – Insufficient Financial Resources/Share Price Volatility".

All the Company's public disclosure filings, including its most recent management information circular, material change reports, press releases and other information, may be accessed via [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com) and readers are urged to review these materials.

## **DATE**

This MD&A reflects information available as at September 8, 2017.

## **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

### **Nature of Business**

Centenera is a publicly traded mineral exploration company, whose common shares are listed on the TSX Venture Exchange under the symbol "CT". The Company's principal business activity is the exploration and evaluation of mineral properties located in Argentina.

The head office and principal address of the Company is Suite 2300 – 1177 West Hastings Street, Vancouver, BC, V6E 2K3, Canada. The registered and records offices of the Company are located at Suite 415 – 1040 West Georgia Street, Vancouver, BC, V6E 4H1, Canada.

### **Business Highlights**

#### **Investment Climate in Argentina**

Since being elected in November 2015, President Mauricio Macri has removed almost all restrictions imposed by the previous administration on the purchase of foreign currency, expatriation and repatriation of funds and compulsory deposit of foreign investments. He has also unified the exchange market and floated the peso, allowing foreign companies to send money to Argentina at the unified exchange rate, and abrogated restrictions on the repayment of foreign debt (principal or interest) in a bid to reach a deal with international creditors and regain access to international credit markets. In late February the national leadership and a group of holdout creditors agreed in principle to bring an end to their 15-year legal dispute over defaulted debt. Specifically related to mining, President Macri has removed export taxes on all metals, and taken steps to eliminate restrictions on imports. Two new posts have been created to promote mining and exploration in Argentina; the Undersecretary of Mining Development and Undersecretary of Mining Policy. Argentina's Mining Investment Law is viewed by most people in the industry as quite competitive and Argentina doesn't have any water problems, unlike Chile.

#### **Exploration Properties**

##### **Huachi Property, Argentina**

The Huachi Cu-Au porphyry – epithermal gold property is in San Juan Province in northwestern Argentina. It is approximately 135km north of the city of San Juan and consists of 32 Minas and 10 demacias totaling 516 hectares. Elevations at the project range from 2800 to 3250 meters above sea level. The property is accessible by road and exploration can be conducted year-round.

**CENTENERA MINING CORPORATION**  
 (An Exploration Stage Company)  
**Form 51-102F1**  
**MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS**  
**For the period ended July 31, 2017**

4

Centenera has recently filed a National Instrument 43-101 Technical Report “Technical report on the Huachi Property, San Juan Province, Argentina” dated March 6<sup>th</sup>, 2017 authored by Discovery consultants of Vernon BC. The report can be view on SEDAR and the company’s website.

The Huachi copper-gold mineralization is associated with a porphyry-epithermal system with extensive multiphase quartz-stockwork development. Porphyry style alteration is within probable late Miocene intermediate to felsic stocks and dykes of the Huachi intrusive complex. Alteration consists of a central potassium silicate alteration zone characterized by pervasive groundmass replacement with local secondary biotite. Additionally, the pervasive secondary biotite is extensively developed within the alteration halo.

Historical exploration work on the property identified porphyry style copper mineralization is best developed around two intrusive stocks: The Canyon stock and the Oro Rico stock. Both stocks have mineralization at surface consisting of chalcopyrite, accompanied by disseminated magnetite, minor pyrite, and local sparse bornite and molybdenum. Additionally, a large 1,400m by 850m elongate >3% pyrite halo overlies the prospect suggesting significant porphyry mineralization may remain untested under cover.

Historical exploration on the property includes detailed geological and alteration mapping, surface geochemistry, and an induced polarization geophysical survey to the west of known mineralization. Additionally, there are two separate phases of exploration drilling on the property consisting of 20 diamond drillholes totaling 6,640 meters. The first drill campaign was completed in 2007 and consisted of 11 drillholes (two abandoned) for 2,552 meters. This program focused exclusively on defining and exploring the copper-gold-porphyry system at Huachi. The second drill campaign in in 2011 consisted of 9 drillholes for 4,088 meters and was focused exclusively on exploration within the peripheral epithermal gold system.

All drill hole targeting porphyry-style mineralization intersected Cu-Au mineralization and many of the holes were terminated in mineralization. Furthermore, several drill holes demonstrate increasing grade with depth. Porphyry style mineralization is open in all directions, in particular to the west and north where porphyry style alteration is mapped at surface and untested by drilling.

Drilling highlights include:

Drillhole	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Copper (%)	Gold (g/t)	Copper Equivalent*
<b>06-HU-01</b>	1	200	<b>199.0</b>	0.25	0.12	<b>0.35%</b>
incl.	15	123	<b>108.0</b>	0.32	0.15	<b>0.44%</b>
<b>06-HU-02</b>	2	355.1	<b>353.1</b>	0.35	0.185	<b>0.49%</b>
incl.	112	355.1	<b>243.1</b>	0.40	0.21	<b>0.57%</b>
<b>07-HU-05</b>	6	254.5	<b>248.5</b>	0.26	0.17	<b>0.40%</b>
incl.	126	244	<b>118.0</b>	0.34	0.22	<b>0.51%</b>
incl.	192	244	<b>52.0</b>	0.39	0.32	<b>0.64%</b>

\* Copper equivalent = Copper grade % x (0.795 x gold grade g/t), where the conversion factor of 0.795 is calculated by comparing the value of 1 tonne of copper ore (at copper prices of \$2.20/lb (\$4,850.16/t)) to the value of 1 tonne of gold ore (at \$1,200/oz (\$38.58g/t)) and assuming 100% recovery.

Centenera’s Exploration management has recommended a 2000m drill program (Phase I) focusing on the Canyon and Oro Rico stock testing the extensions of the know mineralization intersected in previous drilling. Drilling is expected to initiate in Q4 2017 and completed in Q1 2018. Results will be continuously reviewed during the program and if warranted second phase (Phase II) of drilling will be planned and executed.

*Readers are cautioned that the timing for the completion of the Phase I drill program is dependent on various risk factors, which may cause the completion of Phase I of the program to be delayed. These risk factors include the ability of Centenera and its consultants to obtain all licenses and permits necessary to conduct the planned drill program, unforeseen delays in the assessment and prioritizing of the drill targets, the lead time needed to schedule the consultants and the contractors and equipment needed to complete the drill program, as well as those risks factors set out elsewhere in this annual management's discussion and analysis and other periodic reports filed by Centenera with the Canadian Securities Authorities on the SEDAR website at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com).*

On March 1, 2017, the Company received TSX-V approval on the Huachi option agreement to acquire a 100% interest in the Huachi copper-gold porphyry deposit. The project is in the San Juan Province and consists of 32 mining claims (minas) totaling 462 hectares.

Under the option the Company has the right to earn a 100-per-cent interest in the project through the payment of USD\$2,306,000 and the issuance of common shares in the company valued at USD\$500,000 (at the time of issuance) to the vendor, as follows.

<b>Date</b>	<b>USD Cash</b>	<b>Value of Shares USD</b>
Effective Date	\$80,000	-
15 December 2017	\$83,000	-
15 June 2018	\$90,000	-
15 December 2018	\$105,000	-
15 June 2019	\$106,000	-
15 December 2019	\$118,000	-
15 June 2020	\$120,000	-
15 December 2020	\$142,000	-
15 June 2021	\$142,000	-
15 December 2021	\$420,000	\$250,000
15 December 2022	\$900,000	\$250,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$2,306,000</b>	<b>\$500,000</b>

Upon completion of the option payments and share issuances the Company will be deemed to have exercised the option and will have earned an undivided 100-per-cent legal and beneficial interest in and to the project, subject to a 2-per-cent net smelter royalty (NSR) to be granted to the vendor. The Company will have a right to buy back 0.5 per cent of the NSR for USD\$1million, at which time the NSR payable to the vendor shall be 1.5 per cent. A finder's fee in the amount of USD\$172,800, payable in shares, is payable by the Company about the acquisition of the project

The Company is required to keep the exploration concession and permits comprising the Project in good standing throughout the term of the Option. There are no minimum exploration commitments.

*El Quemado Property, Argentina*

The Project area is in Salta Province, approximately 80km west of the city of Salta and consists of 7,959 hectares in 19 claims. The El Quemado pegmatite is part of the El Quemado pegmatite field, at the northern end of the Pampean pegmatite province. Several known pegmatite occurrences are located within the property, some of which have seen historical exploitation for niobium, tantalum, and bismuth.

**CENTENERA MINING CORPORATION**  
(An Exploration Stage Company)  
**Form 51-102F1**  
**MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS**  
**For the period ended July 31, 2017**

**6**

During Q2 of 2017 the Company completed a 3-week exploration program within the El Quemado tenements. This program was designed to evaluate the extent of lithium mineralization that was identified within the El Quemado Pegmatites in Q4 of 2016. The program consisted of detailed channel sampling, geological mapping, and an orientation soil survey. Centenera expects final assay results in Q4 of 2017.

The initial reconnaissance, prospecting, and sampling in Q4 2016 concentrated on the historical El Quemado mine sites. A total of 13 channel samples ranging in length from 0.3 - 10.0m confirmed the presence of Lithium mineralization in the outcropping pegmatites. In total, three samples returned lithium values grading between 0.56% and 0.94% lithium, with an additional 5 samples returning values grading between 0.24% and 0.42% lithium. A summary of all samples is tabulated below.

<b>Sample ID</b>	<b>Channel Length (m)</b>	<b>Lithium (%)</b>
403409	1.5	<b>0.94</b>
403411	1.6	<b>0.72</b>
403413	1.5	<b>0.56</b>
403516	1.4	<b>0.42</b>
403514	1.1	<b>0.40</b>
403506	1.1	<b>0.38</b>
403515	0.3	<b>0.32</b>
403507	1.6	<b>0.24</b>
403414	2.0	0.16
403412	0.3	0.09
403416	10.0	0.03
403517	1.7	0.03
403401	0.4	0.00

The Project includes the historic El Quemado small-scale mine, a former Tantalum-producing operation where Minera Anzotana Co. produced Niobium-Tantalum concentrates and Bismuth concentrates. Historical records indicate that tantalum-niobium oxide concentrate grades ranging from 7.16% to 53.85% (average 39.65%) tantalum and from 3.7% to 69.14% (average 20.98%) niobium in 11 concentrate shipments. Bismuth concentrate from 2 shipments graded 52.85% and 70.07% (average 61.46%).

Historical production was achieved mostly through open-cuts with some underground adits, hand selection of mineralized material, and upgrading through rudimentary grinding and jigs. Historical concentrate grades indicate that historically, mineralized material of unknown in-situ grade was successfully upgraded to a saleable concentrate product. Despite historical production, no systematic modern exploration has been undertaken and the occurrences have never been drilled or been the subject of formal resource estimation, although historical estimates do exist in the literature. Most historical information dates from 1943, when the deposits were first exploited through to 1981.

*The Company cautions that the grade of concentrates is derived from private mining company records that are historical in nature. Investors are further cautioned that a qualified person has not yet completed sufficient work to be able to verify the historical information, and therefore the information should not be relied upon. In addition, the Company is quoting historical concentrate grades, being the grade of mined*

*material after processing and upgrading. The Company is not disclosing any historical resource or reserve estimate and further exploration will be required to assess the in-situ grade of the mineralized material and to assess the potential for the existence of a mineral resource.*

The Company entered into an option agreement with an arm's length individual in June 2016. The Company will earn as 100% interest (subject to a 2% NSR) through issuance of 2,500,000 common shares of the Company over a 24-month period. Centenera will have a right to buy one half of the NSR for USD \$750,000. If Centenera abandons the project after exercising the option, the project shall revert to the Vendor, subject to a 1% NSR to be granted to Centenera. There is no work commitment associated with the Option.

#### *Mina Angela Project, Argentina*

The Mina Angela property is in Chubut province in southern Argentina. The property was explored by several companies between 1951 and 1978 and production commenced in 1978. The underground mine was operated by Cerro Castillo SA until 1992 producing more than 150,000 ounces of gold. The mineralized system remains open at depth. Government records from 1983 until the mine closed in 1992 show mining production was 1.04 million tonnes for this period with average grades of: 4.0 g/t gold; 48.4 g/t silver; 2.0% lead; 0.4% copper; 4.6% zinc.

Despite past production, the property remains largely under-explored. There is high potential for extension of ore reserves along the down-dip extensions of the vein systems at Mina Angela, Mina Camila and the Sahuel Prospects. There are several additional identified targets, with the opportunity for new discoveries. The property is ideally located, with proximity to national transportation infrastructure.

In 1996 Lonrho Mining acquired equity in Cerro Castillo and conducted a detailed exploration program in 1997 and 1998 including 3,500 meters of drilling which targeted anomalies identified using geophysics and soil geochemistry. Exploration by Lonrho in 1998 discovered a new vein system, the Sahuel Prospect. Geophysics indicates the vein has a potential strike length of 1.6 kilometers. Significant drill intercepts include 1.36 meters at 40.65g/t gold and 2 meters at 6.69g/t gold. Lonrho estimated the down-dip extension of ore at Mina Angela to extend for another 150 meters; at Mina Camila, for about 250 meters; and, at Sahuel, for 400 meters. In 1998 Lonrho withdrew from South American mineral activities.

*A qualified person (within the meaning of National Instrument 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects ("NI 43-101")), has not verified the aforesaid technical information. Furthermore, a qualified person has not done sufficient work to classify the historical estimate as current mineral resources or mineral reserves and the Company is not treating the historical estimate as current mineral resources or mineral reserves.*

The Company entered into an acquisition agreement in April 2004, pursuant to which, the Company acquired a 100% interest in 44 mineral concessions in Chubut Province, Argentina, subject to a 1% NSR to the vendor, in consideration of aggregate cash payments to the vendor of US\$ 400,000 (paid). The Company owns the property 100%.

#### *Organullo Project, Argentina*

The Organullo property is in Salta province, which is a mining-friendly jurisdiction in northern Argentina. The property has an exploration history of almost a century. It was initially explored and mined on a small

scale at the Julio Verne Mine in the 1930's, producing copper, bismuth and gold. Mining activity involved excavation of more than 450 meters of workings on 3 levels. Few details of production are available, but concentrates were reported to average 12.5% bismuth and 8.2% copper with gold ranging between 10-20 g/t and sampling on several subsequent exploration programs confirmed the high gold grades found underground. Previous explorers include Fabricaciones Militares (in Partnership with the United Nations), Triton Mining Corporation, Northern Orion Exploration, Newmont Overseas Exploration (Chile), Newmont Peru, Centenera and Cardero. Cardero, through its wholly owned subsidiary Cardero Argentina, acquired Organullo in 2004.

Organullo is a large property with widespread and intense alteration indicative of a productive high sulphidation epithermal gold deposit. This property lies along a regional northerly-trending fault linear that controls the localization of mineralization and volcanoes in the region. The Julio Verne Mine is characterized by structure-controlled Cu-Bi mineralization. Associated argillic to advanced argillic alteration extends from the mine eastwards to the Organullo Ridge. Mineralization on the ridge is associated with faulting, quartz veins and silicified rock. The geological relationships suggest that this is near the top of the system with large volumes of underlying rock yet to be explored. The system appears to be large with more than 7 kilometers exposed in a north-south direction. Width is unknown and while some previous workers assumed a long, narrow system, it could extend to the east and west where recent cover hides all the potential. Historical drilling results support the interpretation of a potential bulk-mineable epithermal gold deposit. Reverse circulation drilling returned 200 meters grading 0.47 g/t gold and 189 meters grading 0.66 g/t gold, both from surface. Diamond drilling supports this with an intersection grading 0.66 g/t gold from 19 to 110 meters.

In 2012, a report completed by GeoRes (an Australian consulting firm) entitled "Organullo Exploration Targets & Pit Optimization" dated June 6, 2012, is authored by Robin Rankin. The work resulted in potential exploration target tonnages and grades of gold at Organullo which were reported at lower and upper ranges in southern and northern areas and in total. GeoRes determined two common strike and dip directions, each characteristic of a specific exploration target area. Block models were built for the two target areas (north area and south area). Block sizes were defined to emphasise the narrow vein orientation and were consequently much narrower in the X (east-west) directions than in the Y (north-south) and Z (vertical) directions. Gold grades were estimated into each model's blocks using parameters adapted to the common vein direction in each area. Raw drill hole data was composited to exactly 2.0 meters downhole. No limits were applied to either input data or output estimates and the estimation was unconstrained by geology. Block estimation was done using an inverse distance squared algorithm. Grade estimation search distances of up to 100 meters in the plane of the veins adequately fills the blocks between drill holes and extended beyond them. Following this evidence, increasing the scan distances by simple multiples produced reasonable figures for ranges of exploration targets. Scan distances of 200 meters and 300 meters were used for lower and upper ranges of exploration targets.

Targets were reported for a variety of gold grade lower cut-off values. Tonnages assumed a constant density of 2.6 t/m<sup>3</sup>. At a lower gold cut-off of 0.5 g/t the total exploration targets ranged from a lower 19.8 Mt at 0.94 g/t gold (600,000 ounces gold) to an upper 31.6 Mt at 0.92 g/t gold (940,000 ounces gold).

*It should be noted that these potential exploration target quantities and grades are conceptual in nature, that insufficient exploration and geological modelling has been done to define mineral resources, and that it is uncertain if further exploration will result in the determination of a mineral resource. A qualified person (within the meaning of NI 43-101, has not verified the aforesaid technical information. Furthermore, a qualified person has not done sufficient work to classify the historical estimate as current*

*mineral resources or mineral reserves and the Company is not treating the historical estimate as current mineral resources or mineral reserves.*

Despite a long history of exploration, the extent of gold-mineralization at Organullo is not known. Many of the historic drill holes are thought to have been drilled in the wrong orientation due to misinterpretation of the structural setting. Generally insufficient drill testing, low drill recoveries and poorly defined alteration geometries leave significant questions as to the nature, distribution and volume of gold bearing rocks on the property. Much of the property outside the central zone near Julio Verne Mine is underexplored. Further work on outlining property-scale alteration and background geochemistry will assist in determining whether the currently outlined mineralization is the main potential resource on the property or may be peripheral to a larger porphyry style system. The historical Julio Verne Mine is small and is of less immediate interest to modern operators. The drilled area likely represents less than 20% of the defined area of alteration and in itself could be of interest to a serious explorer or mid-tier producer. With alteration in the remainder of the property extending at least 7 kilometers along strike and otherwise obscured under recent cover, the exploration target could be much larger.

Pursuant to an agreement dated October 1, 2004 between the Company and an Argentinean individual, the Company purchased a 100% interest in eight minas in Salta Province, Argentina, in consideration of the issuance of 70,000 common shares. The Organullo property is in good standing.

Work began in Q3 2015. The work done by Centenera to the end of Q4 2015 included taking possession in July 2015 of Cardero's extensive Organullo dataset and beginning the complex process of detailed data review and project planning. Review of Aster satellite imagery and interpretations from consultants and Newmont Mining Corporation in the Cardero dataset revealed 4 clear target areas where anomalous alteration signatures were observed in conjunction with surface geochemistry, geological mapping and historical drill data.

In Q4 2015 Geological Resources (GeoRes) of Bowral, Australia was contracted to complete a drill targeting exercise in 3 of the 4 target areas, where drill data and surface sampling were deemed sufficient to provide meaningful targets. The drill targets defined are split between resource definition and exploration. Target area 1, having only one historical drill hole and very limited surface sampling was ignored in the drill targeting process. Targets 2, 3 and 4 were examined in detail by GeoRes and a total of 30 drill targets were generated. Of these, 9 targets are classified as very high priority and 8 high priority, with the remainder medium and low priority.

In addition to these target areas, four additional target areas (Targets 5 to 8) have been identified where there has been no historical drill testing, but where Aster-interpreted alteration and/or surface rock sample anomalies highlight the need for additional surface sampling. The drill targeting work completed by GeoRes demonstrates that the generation of drill targets in this geological setting requires historical drill data if available and substantial surface geochemistry and mapping at a minimum. Additional surface sampling was undertaken during Q1 2016 and included surface rock sampling within Targets 1, 5, 6, 7, and 8. Completion of prospecting and sampling in 2016 led to the establishment of 4 new targets, one of which (Target 8) is located close to the southeast boundary of the Organullo project area. As a result, the Company has staked an additional claim to the east. This expands the 100% owned Organullo ground-holding by 15% to 7,600 hectares.

**CENTENERA MINING CORPORATION**  
(An Exploration Stage Company)  
**Form 51-102F1**  
**MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS**  
**For the period ended July 31, 2017**

10

*El Peñón Property, Argentina*

The El Peñón Project is located within the Argentinian Precordillera in San Juan Province approximately 110km NW of San Juan City. The exploration target is based on a Nevada (Carlin-type) exploration analog. The prospective Precordillera is in a typical basin-and-range setting similar to Nevada and is underlain by a sequence of predominantly "dirty limestone" or calcareous argillite, arenite and debris flows which are thrust over more massive, micritic limestone.

Results from 110 stream sediment samples and 26 rock chip samples were received in March of 2017. Two stream sediment samples assayed with grades of 2.3 g/t (2,300ppb) gold and 2.21 g/t (2,219ppb) gold, which is exceptionally high for stream sediment samples and suggests a proximal gold source, whether at surface or below surface. In addition to the gold anomalies there are coincident multi-element gold pathfinder anomalies, which consist of silver, arsenic, antimony and thallium. These elements typically enter residual stream sediments upon oxidation and weathering of gold mineralization and related hydrothermal alteration. Given that the dirty limestone of the San Juan Formation is a potential host rock and that the structural setting is favorable, the multi-element gold pathfinder anomalies identified through the current work supports the interpretation of a potential Carlin-type exploration target. Rock sampling returned no anomalous results, supporting the interpretation that the primary source of gold mineralization may be below surface and that anomalies are coincident with the important structural features that control mineralization.

This initial work has highlighted anomalous surface geochemistry over approximately 10km strike length and identified 4 target areas for follow-up exploration. The Marble Canyon and K-Zone targets are strongest in terms of multi-element support and are likely associated with the most intense hydrothermal alteration. The Company anticipates that the next step in exploring El Peñón will be to undertake detailed mapping, aiming to define drill targets. Detailed mapping will focus on understanding the structural setting and defining potential drill targets.

The Company entered into a property option agreement to acquire a 100% interest in the El Penon gold project. The option can be exercised by the Company by paying US\$15,000 upon the execution and delivery of a letter of intent (paid) and the issue of 2,050,000 common shares of the Company. The common shares will be issued as follows:

Date issued	Centenera Shares
November 4, 2017	100,000
November 4, 2018	200,000
November 4, 2019	300,000
November 4, 2020	500,000
November 4, 2021	950,000
Total	2,050,000

Upon exercise of the option the Company shall be deemed to have granted a 1% NSR royalty, which shall run with the property and constitute a direct interest in the property. The Company has the right to purchase 50% of the royalty by paying US\$500,000, in which case the NSR will be reduced to 0.5%. A finder's fee of 102,500 shares, issuable over a five-year period, will be payable in connection with the property option agreement.

Centenera is required to keep the exploration concession and permits comprising the Project in good standing throughout the term of the Option. There are no minimum exploration commitments.

*Crosby Property, Argentina*

The Crosby property is in northern Jujuy province, Argentina. Pursuant to a property option agreement made September 18, 2009 with Davcha Resources International Limited, the Company acquired an option to acquire the exploration permits, licenses and applications comprising the Crosby property.

The Crosby property is transected by the same northwest trending anticlinal structure that hosts Silver Standard's producing Pirquitas Mine, which is expected to produce 9 -10 million ounces of silver and 10-12 million pounds of zinc in 2015. The Crosby property is positioned contiguous with the Pirquitas Mine.

*Regional Exploration Data, Argentina*

Centenera owns an extensive northwest Argentina exploration dataset, including results of a proprietary Bulk Leach Extractable Gold ("BLEG") survey conducted in 2007 and 2008 by Cardero Resource Corp. ("Cardero") in partnership with Newmont Mining Corporation ("Newmont"). The BLEG data covers prospective areas of Jujuy and Salta Provinces, covering an area of 300 km by 90km and comprising nearly 1,200 sample locations.

Newmont's proprietary BLEG technology is a cornerstone of regional exploration programs, and a continuous program of laboratory comparisons has consistently demonstrated that no commercial alternative can provide its precision and accuracy. BLEG techniques provide a competitive advantage in reconnaissance gold exploration leading to property acquisitions. Newmont credits BLEG with the discovery of its large Batu Hijau porphyry copper-gold deposit in Indonesia and many projects in its exploration pipeline are the direct result of BLEG anomalies.

*Qualified Person and Quality Control/Quality Assurance*

Tyler Caswell, PGeo., the Company's Exploration Manager and a qualified person as defined by NI 43-101, has supervised the preparation of the scientific and technical information that forms the basis for the mineral property disclosure in this MD&A and has approved the disclosure herein. Mr. Caswell is not independent of the Company, as he is an employee.

Additional details can be reviewed in the news releases published on SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com).

**RISK FACTORS**

The Company is in the business of acquiring, exploring and, if warranted, developing and exploiting natural resource properties. Due to the nature of the Company's proposed business and the present stage of exploration of its mineral properties the following risk factors, among others, will apply:

*Resource Industry is Intensely Competitive:* The Company's business is the acquisition, exploration and development of resource properties. The resource industry is intensely competitive and the Company will compete with other companies that have far greater resources.

*Resource Exploration and Development is Generally a Speculative Business:* Resource exploration and development is a speculative business and involves a high degree of risk, including, among other things, unprofitable efforts resulting both from the failure to discover mineral deposits and from finding mineral deposits which, though present, are insufficient in size and grade at the then prevailing market conditions

to return a profit from production. The marketability of natural resources which may be acquired or discovered by the Company will be affected by numerous factors beyond the control of the Company. These factors include market fluctuations, the proximity and capacity of natural resource markets, government regulations, including regulations relating to prices, taxes, royalties, land use, importing and exporting of minerals and environmental protection. The exact effect of these factors cannot be accurately predicted, but the combination of these factors may result in the Company not receiving an adequate return on invested capital.

*Fluctuation of Prices:* Even if commercial quantities of resource deposits are discovered by the Company, there is no guarantee that a profitable market will exist for the sale of the product produced. Factors beyond the control of the Company may affect the marketability of any substances discovered. Commodity prices have experienced significant movement over short periods of time, and are affected by numerous factors beyond the control of the Company, including international economic and political trends, expectations of inflation, currency exchange fluctuations, interest rates and global or regional consumption patterns, speculative activities and increased production due to improved exploration and production methods. The supply of commodities is affected by various factors, including political events, economic conditions and production costs in major producing regions. There can be no assurance that the price of any commodities will be such that any of the properties in which the Company has, or has the right to acquire, an interest may be mined at a profit.

*Permits and Licenses:* The operations of the Company will require consents, approvals, licenses and/or permits from various governmental authorities. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain all necessary consents, approvals, licenses and permits that may be required to carry out exploration, development and production operations at its projects.

*Acquisition of Mineral Claims under Agreements:* The agreements pursuant to which the Company has the right to acquire or maintain interests in a number of its properties provide that the Company must make a series of cash payments and/or share issuances over certain time periods, expend certain minimum amounts on the exploration of the properties or contribute its share of ongoing expenditures. Failure by the Company to make such payments, issue such shares or make such expenditures in a timely fashion may result in the Company losing its interest in such properties. There can be no assurance that the Company will have, or be able to obtain, the necessary financial resources to be able to maintain all of its property agreements in good standing, or to be able to comply with all of its obligations thereunder, with the result that the Company could forfeit its interest in one or more of its mineral properties.

*No Assurance of Profitability:* The Company has no history of earnings and due to the nature of its business there can be no assurance that the Company will ever be profitable. The Company has not paid dividends on its shares since incorporation and does not anticipate doing so in the foreseeable future. The only present source of funds available to the Company is from the sale of its common shares or, possibly, from the sale or optioning of a portion of its interest in its resource properties. Even if the results of exploration are encouraging, the Company may not have sufficient funds to conduct the further exploration that may be necessary to determine whether a commercial deposit exists. While the Company may generate additional working capital through further equity offerings or through the sale or possible syndication of its properties, there can be no assurance that any such funds will be available on favorable terms, or at all. At present, it is impossible to determine what amounts of additional funds, if any, may be required. Failure to raise such additional capital could put the continued viability of the Company at risk.

*Uninsured or Uninsurable Risks:* The Company may become subject to liability for pollution or hazards against which it cannot insure or against which it may elect not to insure where premium costs are

disproportionate to the Company's perception of the relevant risks. The payment of such insurance premiums and of such liabilities would reduce the funds available for exploration and production activities.

*Government Regulation:* Any exploration, development or production operations carried on by the Company will be subject to government legislation, policies and controls relating to prospecting, development, production, environmental protection, resource taxes and labour standards. In addition, the profitability of any commodity is affected by the market for those commodities which are influenced by many factors including changing production costs, the supply and demand, and the rate of inflation, the inventory of commodity producing corporations, the political environment and changes in international investment patterns.

*Recent Market Events and Conditions:* From 2007 and into 2016, the U.S. credit markets have experienced serious disruption due to a deterioration in residential property values, defaults and delinquencies in the residential mortgage market (particularly, sub-prime and non-prime mortgages) and a decline in the credit quality of mortgage backed securities. These problems have led to a slow-down in residential housing market transactions, declining housing prices, delinquencies in non-mortgage consumer credit and a general decline in consumer confidence. These conditions caused a loss of confidence in the broader U.S. and global credit and financial markets and resulting in the collapse of, and government intervention in, major banks, financial institutions and insurers and creating a climate of greater volatility, less liquidity, widening of credit spreads, a lack of price transparency, increased credit losses and tighter credit conditions. Notwithstanding various actions by the U.S. and foreign governments, concerns about the general condition of the capital markets, financial instruments, banks, investment banks, insurers and other financial institutions caused the broader credit markets to further deteriorate and stock markets to decline substantially. In addition, general economic indicators have deteriorated, including declining consumer sentiment, increased unemployment and declining economic growth and uncertainty about corporate earnings.

While these conditions appear to have improved slightly over the last two years, unprecedented disruptions in the credit and financial markets have had a significant material adverse impact on a number of financial institutions and have limited access to capital and credit for many companies. These disruptions could, among other things, make it more difficult for the Company to obtain, or increase its cost of obtaining, capital and financing for its operations. The Company's access to additional capital may not be available on terms acceptable to it or at all.

*General Economic Conditions:* The recent unprecedented events in global financial markets have had a profound impact on the global economy. Many industries, including the gold and base metal mining industry, are impacted by these market conditions. Some of the key impacts of the current financial market turmoil include contraction in credit markets resulting in a widening of credit risk, devaluations and high volatility in global equity, commodity, foreign exchange and precious metal markets, and a lack of market liquidity. A continued or worsened slowdown in the financial markets or other economic conditions, including but not limited to, consumer spending, employment rates, business conditions, inflation, fuel and energy costs, consumer debt levels, lack of available credit, the state of the financial markets, interest rates, and tax rates may adversely affect the Company's growth and profitability. Specifically:

- the global credit/liquidity crisis could impact the cost and availability of financing and the Company's overall liquidity;

- the volatility of commodity prices may impact the Company's future revenues, profits and cash flow;
- volatile energy prices, commodity and consumables prices and currency exchange rates impact potential production costs; and
- the devaluation and volatility of global stock markets impacts the valuation of the Common Shares, which may impact the Company's ability to raise funds through the issuance of Common Shares.

These factors could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

*Exploration and Mining Risks:* Fires, power outages, labor disruptions, flooding, explosions, cave-ins, landslides and the inability to obtain suitable or adequate machinery, equipment or labor are other risks involved in the operation of mines and the conduct of exploration programs. Substantial expenditures are required to establish reserves through drilling, to develop metallurgical processes, to develop the mining and processing facilities and infrastructure at any site chosen for mining. Although substantial benefits may be derived from the discovery of a major mineralized deposit, no assurance can be given that minerals will be discovered in sufficient quantities to justify commercial operations or that funds required for development can be obtained on a timely basis. The economics of developing mineral properties is affected by many factors including the cost of operations, variations of the grade of ore mined, fluctuations in the price of gold or other minerals produced, costs of processing equipment and such other factors as government regulations, including regulations relating to royalties, allowable production, importing and exporting of minerals and environmental protection. In addition, the grade of mineralization ultimately mined may differ from that indicated by drilling results and such differences could be material. Short term factors, such as the need for orderly development of ore bodies or the processing of new or different grades, may have an adverse effect on mining operations and on the results of operations. There can be no assurance that minerals recovered in small scale laboratory tests will be duplicated in large scale tests under on-site conditions or in production scale operations. Material changes in geological resources, grades, stripping ratios or recovery rates may affect the economic viability of projects.

*Environmental Matters:* Existing and possible future environmental legislation, regulations and actions could cause significant expense, capital expenditures, restrictions and delays in the activities of the Company, the extent of which cannot be predicted and which may well be beyond the capacity of the Company to fund. The Company's right to exploit any resource property is and will continue to be subject to various reporting requirements and to obtaining certain government approvals and there can be no assurance that such approvals, including environment approvals, will be obtained without inordinate delay or at all.

*Insufficient Financial Resources:* The Company does not presently have sufficient financial resources to undertake by itself the exploration and development of all of its significant exploration and development programs. The development of the Company's properties will therefore depend upon the Company's ability to obtain financing through the joint venturing of projects, private placement financing, public financing or other means. There can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in obtaining the required financing. Failure to raise the required funds could result in the Company losing, or being required to dispose of, its interest in its properties. In particular, failure by the Company to raise the funding necessary to maintain in good standing the various option agreements it has entered into could result in the loss of the rights of the Company to such properties.

*Financing Risks:* The Company has limited financial resources, has no source of operating cash flow and has no assurance that additional funding will be available to it for further exploration and development of its projects or to fulfill its obligations under any applicable agreements. There can be no assurance that it will be able to obtain adequate financing in the future or that the terms of such financing will be favorable. Failure to obtain such additional financing could result in delay or indefinite postponement of further exploration and development of its projects with the possible loss of such properties.

*Dilution to the Company's Existing Shareholders:* The Company will require additional equity financing be raised in the future. The Company may issue securities on less than favorable terms to raise sufficient capital to fund its business plan. Any transaction involving the issuance of equity securities or securities convertible into common shares would result in dilution, possibly substantial, to present and prospective holders of common shares.

*Increased Costs:* Management anticipates that costs at the Company's projects will frequently be subject to variation from one year to the next due to a number of factors, such as the results of ongoing exploration activities (positive or negative), changes in the nature of mineralization encountered, and revisions to exploration programs, if any, in response to the foregoing. In addition, exploration program costs are affected by the price of commodities such as fuel, rubber and electricity and the availability (or otherwise) of consultants and drilling contractors. Increases in the prices of such commodities or a scarcity of consultants or drilling contractors could render the costs of exploration programs to increase significantly over those budgeted. A material increase in costs for any significant exploration programs could have a significant effect on the Company's operating funds and ability to continue its planned exploration programs.

*Dependence Upon Others and Key Personnel:* The success of the Company's operations will depend upon numerous factors, many of which are beyond the Company's control, including (i) the ability to design and carry out appropriate exploration programs on its resource properties; (ii) the ability to produce resources from any resource deposits that may be located; (iii) the ability to attract and retain additional key personnel in exploration, marketing, mine development and finance; and (iv) the ability and the operating resources to develop and maintain the properties held by the Company. These and other factors will require the use of outside suppliers as well as the talents and efforts of the Company and its consultants and employees. There can be no assurance of success with any or all of these factors on which the Company's operations will depend, or that the Company will be successful in finding and retaining the necessary employees, personnel and/or consultants in order to be able to successfully carry out such activities. This is especially true as the competition for qualified geological, technical personnel and consultants is particularly intense in the current marketplace.

*Price Fluctuations and Share Price Volatility:* In recent years, the securities markets in the United States and Canada have experienced a high level of price and volume volatility, and the market price of securities of many companies, particularly those considered exploration stage companies, have experienced wide fluctuations in price which have not necessarily been related to the operating performance, underlying asset values or prospects of such companies. There can be no assurance that continual and extreme fluctuations in price will not occur.

*Surface Rights and Access:* Although the Company acquires the rights to some or all of the resources in the ground subject to the tenures that it acquires, or has a right to acquire, in most cases it does not thereby acquire any rights to, or ownership of, the surface to the areas covered by its resource tenures. In such

cases, applicable laws usually provide for rights of access to the surface for the purpose of carrying on exploration activities, however, the enforcement of such rights can be costly and time consuming. In areas where there are no existing surface rights holders, this does not usually cause a problem, as there are no impediments to surface access. However, in areas where there are local populations or land owners, it is necessary, as a practical matter, to negotiate surface access. There can be no guarantee that, despite having the right at law to access the surface and carry on exploration activities, the Company will be able to negotiate a satisfactory agreement with any such existing landowners/occupiers for such access, and therefore it may be unable to carry out exploration activities. In addition, in circumstances where such access is denied, or no agreement can be reached, the Company may need to rely on the assistance of local officials or the courts in such jurisdiction.

*Title:* Although the Company has taken steps to verify the title to the resource properties in which it has or has a right to acquire an interest in accordance with industry standards for the current stage of exploration of such properties, these procedures do not guarantee title (whether of the Company or of any underlying vendor(s) from whom the Company may be acquiring its interest). Title to resource properties may be subject to unregistered prior agreements or transfers, and may also be affected by undetected defects or the rights of indigenous peoples.

*U.S. PFIC Status:* The Company may be a “passive foreign investment company” under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code, which may result in material adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences to investors in Common Shares that are U.S. taxpayers: Investors in the Company’s common shares that are U.S. taxpayers should be aware that the Company expects it will be in the current year, a “passive foreign investment company” under Section 1297(a) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code (a “PFIC”). If the Company is or becomes a PFIC, generally any gain recognized on the sale of its common shares and any “excess distributions” (as specifically defined) paid on its common shares must be ratably allocated to each day in a U.S. taxpayer’s holding period for the common shares. The amount of any such gain or excess distribution allocated to prior years of such U.S. taxpayer’s holding period for the common shares generally will be subject to U.S. federal income tax at the highest tax applicable to ordinary income in each such prior year, and the U.S. taxpayer may be required to pay interest on the resulting tax liability for each such prior year, calculated as if such tax liability had been due in each such prior year.

Alternatively, a U.S. taxpayer that makes a “qualified electing fund” (a “QEF”) election with respect to the Company generally will be subject to U.S. federal income tax on such U.S. taxpayer’s pro rata share of the Company’s “net capital gain” and “ordinary earnings” (as specifically defined and calculated under U.S. federal income tax rules), regardless of whether such amounts are actually distributed by the Company. U.S. taxpayers should be aware, however, that there can be no assurance that the Company will satisfy record keeping requirements under the QEF rules or that the Company will supply U.S. taxpayers with required information under the QEF rules, in event that the Company is a PFIC and a U.S. taxpayer wishes to make a QEF election. As a second alternative, a U.S. taxpayer may make a “mark-to-market election” if the Company is a PFIC and its common shares are “marketable stock” (as specifically defined). A U.S. taxpayer that makes a mark-to-market election generally will include in gross income, for each taxable year in which the Company is a PFIC, an amount equal to the excess, if any, of (a) the fair market value of the common shares as of the close of such taxable year over (b) such U.S. taxpayer’s adjusted tax basis in the common shares.

The above paragraphs contain only a summary of certain U.S. federal income tax considerations. Investors should consult their own tax advisor regarding the PFIC rules and other U.S. federal income tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership, and disposition of common shares of the Company.

**SUMMARY OF QUARTERLY RESULTS**

The table below sets out the quarterly results for the past eight quarters:

<b>Quarter Ended Amounts in 000's</b>	<b>July 31, 2017</b>	<b>April 30, 2017</b>	<b>Jan. 31, 2017</b>	<b>October 31, 2016</b>	<b>July 31, 2016</b>	<b>April 30, 2016</b>	<b>January 31, 2016</b>	<b>October 31, 2015</b>
Net loss	(\$356)	\$(325)	\$(334)	\$(214)	(\$676)	(\$105)	(\$168)	(\$268)
Gain (loss) per share – basic and diluted	(0.01)	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.00)	(0.02)	(0.00)	(0.0)	(0.01)
Exploration and evaluation assets	1,307	1,062	800	685	629	622	601	567
Total assets	2,729	1,591	1,880	2165	1,953	823	997	1,122
Working capital	1,297	465	993	1,399	1,229	13	166	363

During the quarter ended July 31, 2015 the Company completed the RTO and incurred a RTO listing fee of \$1,082,599, an increase in exploration and evaluation assets of \$535,000 and an increase in working capital because of the RTO financing of \$550,000.

During the quarter ended July 31, 2016 the Company incurred stock based compensation of \$482,502.

For the quarters ended October 31, 2015 through July 31, 2017 the general and administrative expenses were consistent from quarter to quarter.

During the quarter ended July 31, 2017 the Company's total assets and working capital increased because of the exercise of 9,665,625 warrants for gross proceeds of \$1,441,876.

The variation seen over such quarters is primarily dependent upon the success of the Company's ongoing property evaluation program and the timing and results of the Company's exploration activities on its then current properties, none of which are possible to predict with any accuracy. There are no general trends regarding the Company's quarterly results, and the Company's business of mineral exploration is not seasonal, except to the extent that exploration works on certain properties may be restricted to certain portions of the year if prevailing weather conditions make such work prohibitively expensive or practically impossible to complete at other times. Quarterly results can vary significantly depending on whether the Company has granted any stock options or paid any employee bonuses and these are factors that account for material variations in the Company's quarterly net losses, none of which are predictable. General operating costs other than the specific items noted above tend to be quite similar from period to period. The variation in income is related solely to the interest earned on funds held by the Company, which is dependent upon the success of the Company in raising the required financing for its activities which will vary with overall market conditions, and is therefore difficult to predict.

### **Financial Results of Operations**

During the period ended July 31, 2017, the Company incurred a net loss of \$1,015,156 (July 31, 2016 – \$949,293).

The following discussion explains the variations in key components of these numbers but, as with most junior mineral exploration companies, the results of operations are not the main factor in establishing the financial health of the Company. Of far greater significance are the mineral properties in which the Company has, or may earn, an interest, its working capital and how many shares it has outstanding. Quarterly results can vary significantly depending on whether the Company has abandoned any properties or granted any stock options.

#### ***Nine Months ended July 31, 2017 compared to Nine Months ended July 31, 2016***

The Company's general and administrative costs were \$992,374 (2017 - \$999,004), and reviews of the major items are as follows:

- Consulting fees increased to \$254,612 (2016 - \$165,112), and consisted of fees paid to the CFO \$45,000 (2016 - \$40,500), Corporate Secretary \$18,000 (2016 - \$18,000), Business Development \$45,000 (2016 - \$26,000) Argentinian Management \$84,433 (2016 - \$46,077) Investor relations \$59,868 (2016 - \$Nil) and other \$2,311 (2016 - \$34,535);
- Office and general costs of \$120,204 (2016 - \$61,892), consisted of rent of \$30,724 (2016 - \$17,277) and office cost \$89,492 (2016 - \$44,615);
- Professional fees of \$117,767 (2016 - \$84,027), consisted of legal \$86,857 (2016 - \$55,756) and audit and accounting of \$30,910 (2016 - \$28,271), increased due to property agreements entered and general corporate matters;
- Stock based compensation of \$48,285 (2016 - \$486,827), due to options issued during the period; and
- Salaries and benefits of \$238,925 (2016 - \$111,768), which includes salaries, benefits and director's fees which increased due to activities of the Company and increase in employees.

#### ***Three Months ended July 31, 2017 compared to Three Months ended July 31, 2016***

The Company's general and administrative costs were \$335,309 (2016 - \$721,753), and reviews of the major items are as follows:

- Consulting fees increased to \$85,519 (2016 - \$79,341), and consisted of fees paid to the CFO \$15,000 (2016 - \$13,500), Corporate Secretary \$6,000 (2016 - \$6,000), Business Development \$15,000 (2016 - \$11,000) Argentinian Management \$29,650 (2016 - \$20,653) Investor relations \$19,868 (2016 - \$Nil) and other \$Nil (2016 - \$28,188);
- Professional fees of \$34,540 (2016 - \$36,318) consisted of legal \$24,129 (2016 - \$25,626) which consisted of property agreements and general legal matters and audit and accounting of \$10,411 (2016 - \$10,692);

- Stock based compensation of \$Nil (2016 - \$482,502), due to options issued during the period;
- Office and general costs of \$34,214 (2016 - \$20,752) consisted of rent of \$10,529 (2016 - \$5,972) and office cost \$23,685 (2016 - \$14,780) and
- Salaries and benefits of \$80,669 (2016 - \$39,604), which includes salaries, benefits and director's fees increased due to activities of the Company and increase in employees.

## **LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

The Company has no revenue generating operations from which it can internally generate funds. To date, the Company's ongoing operations have been predominantly financed by the sale of its equity securities by way of private placements and the subsequent exercise of share purchase warrants issued about such private placements as well as loans and convertible debentures. However, the exercise of warrants/options is dependent primarily on the market price and overall market liquidity of the Company's securities at or near the expiry date of such warrants/options (over which the Company has no control) and therefore there can be no guarantee that any existing warrants/options will be exercised. When acquiring an interest in mineral properties through purchase or option the Company will sometimes issue common shares to the vendor or optionee of the property as partial or full consideration for the property interest to conserve its cash.

The Company expects that it will operate at a loss for the foreseeable future, and that it will require additional financing to fund to the acquisition of a resource property and to continue its operations (including general and administrative expenses) beyond 2017. Additional financing will be required for the Company to maintain its existing level of operations and / or acquire, explore or develop any resource property.

As at July 31, 2017, the Company reported cash of \$1,353,018 compared to \$1,418,545 as at October 31, 2016. The Company has working capital of \$1,296,712 as at July 31, 2017 compared to working capital of \$1,399,124 as at October 31, 2016. The decrease in cash on hand and working capital was the result of cash used in operating activities of \$951,727, cash used for investing activities of \$555,675 and cash received from financing activities of \$1,441,875.

On June 21, 2016 46,875 warrants were exercised for gross proceeds of \$5,625.

On July 11, 2016, the Company completed a private placement of 7,900,000 common shares at \$0.16 per common share for gross proceeds of \$1,264,000. The Company incurred share issuance costs of \$106,053 of which \$78,880 was paid in cash and the Company issued 286,608 broker warrants valued at \$27,173 using the Black-Scholes model.

During the period ended July 31, 2016 3,250,000 options were exercised for gross proceeds of \$227,500.

On September 29, 2016, the Company issued 100,000 common shares for a deemed value of \$41,500 for property acquisition.

On August 25, 2016, the Company completed a non-brokered private placement of 1,548,000 common shares at a price of \$0.25 per common share for gross proceeds of \$387,000. The Company incurred share issuance costs of \$7,439.

During the period ended July 31, 2017 9,665,625 warrants were exercised for gross proceeds of \$1,441,875.

**CENTENERA MINING CORPORATION**  
(An Exploration Stage Company)  
**Form 51-102F1**  
**MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS**  
**For the period ended July 31, 2017**

**20**

During the period ended July 31, 2017 the Company issued 235,818 common shares for a deemed value of \$46,930 for property acquisition.

The Company has not entered any long-term lease commitments nor is the Company subject to any mineral property commitments other than those outlined under note 5 in the Company's condensed consolidated interim financial statements for the period ended July 31, 2017.

The Company currently has no further funding commitments or arrangements for additional financing now (other than the potential exercise of options and warrants) and there is no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain additional financing on acceptable terms, if at all. There is significant uncertainty that the Company will be able to secure any additional financing in the current equity markets - see "Risk Factors - Insufficient Financial Resources/Share Price Volatility". The quantity of funds to be raised and the terms of any proposed equity financing that may be undertaken will be negotiated by management as opportunities to raise funds arise. Specific plans related to the use of proceeds will be devised once financing has been completed and management knows what funds will be available for these purposes.

**OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS**

There are no off-balance sheet arrangements to which the Company is committed.

**INVESTOR RELATIONS**

On November 1, 2016, the Company had entered into an investor relations agreement with Liviakis Financial Communications Inc. ("Liviakis") to provide investor relations services to the Company. The agreement is for an initial term of one year and Liviakis will be paid \$10,000 per month.

On May 9<sup>th</sup>, 2017, the Company entered an trading services agreement with Trapeze Capital Corp. ("Trapeze") to provide market making services to the Company. The agreement is for an initial term of 180 days, and will be automatically renewed for an additional 180 days unless terminated by the Company or Trapeze. Under the agreement, Trapeze will be paid the sum of \$5,500 per month.

**RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

During the three and nine months ended July 31, 2017, the Company entered the following transactions with related parties and paid or accrued the following amounts, excluding share-based payment charges in connection therewith:

<b>Name</b>	<b>Relationship</b>	<b>Purpose of transaction</b>	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>
Keith Henderson	CEO, President & a Director of the Company	Wages and Salaries	\$ 37,500	\$ 112,500
Promaid Services Ltd.	Personal services company controlled by the CFO of the Company	Consulting	\$ 15,000	\$ 45,000
Marval Office Management Ltd.	Company controlled by the Corporate Secretary of the Company	Consulting	\$ 6,000	\$ 18,000

During the period ended July 31, 2017, there were no stock options granted to related parties.

## **PROPOSED TRANSACTIONS**

As at the date of this MD&A there are no proposed transactions where the Board of Directors or senior management believes that confirmation of the decision by the Board is probable or with which the Board and senior management have decided to proceed.

## **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES**

The preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting year. Areas requiring the use of estimates in the preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements the carrying value and the recoverability of the exploration ad evaluation assets included in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position, the assumptions used to determine the fair value of share-based payments in the Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Loss, and the estimated amounts of reclamation and environmental obligations. Management believes the estimates used are reasonable; however, actual results could differ materially from those estimates and, if so, would impact future results of operations and cash flows.

## **CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING POLICIES INCLUDING INITIAL ADOPTION**

Please refer to note 2 of the July 31, 2017 condensed consolidated interim financial statements on [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com) for a comprehensive list of the accounting policies not yet adopted during the current period.

## **FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND RISK MANAGEMENT**

Fair value estimates of financial instruments are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant information about financial markets and specific financial instruments. As these estimates are subjective in nature, involving uncertainties and matters of significant judgment, they cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions can significantly affect estimated fair values.

Financial instruments measured at fair value are classified into one of three levels in the fair value hierarchy according to the relative reliability of the inputs used to estimate the fair values. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are:

- Level 1 – Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;
- Level 2 – Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability either directly or indirectly; and
- Level 3 – Inputs that are not based on observable market data.

The fair value of cash has been measured at level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. The Company's convertible promissory note has been fair valued using level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The carrying value of receivables, and accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate their fair value because of the short-term nature of these instruments.

## **Financial Risk Factors**

The Company is exposed in varying degrees to a variety of financial instrument related risks. The Board of Directors approves and monitors the risk management processes, inclusive of documented investment policies, counterparty limits, and controlling and reporting structures. The type of risk exposure and the way in which such exposure is managed is provided as follows:

### *Credit Risk*

Credit risk is the risk that one party to a financial instrument will fail to discharge an obligation and cause the other party to incur a financial loss. Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to credit risk consist of cash. Cash deposits are maintained with Canadian financial institutions of reputable credit and are redeemable on demand.

### *Liquidity Risk*

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not be able to meet its financial obligations as they fall due. The Company is engaged in ongoing evaluation of opportunities to improve its financial position which includes, but is not limited to, additional equity financings, obtaining exploration partners and/or the sale of assets. At July 31, 2017, the Company has working capital of \$1,296,712 (October 31, 2016 - \$1,399,124).

### *Market Risk*

Market risk is the risk of loss that may arise from changes in market factors such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and commodity and equity prices.

### *Interest Rate Risk*

Interest rate risk is the risk that the fair value of future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market interest rates. The Company has no outstanding debt subject to interest. Accordingly, the Company does not believe it is exposed to significant interest rate risk.

### *Foreign Exchange Risk*

The Company's exploration work is conducted in Argentina. The international nature of the Company's operations results in foreign exchange risk as transactions are denominated in foreign currency.

The Company's operating expenses are incurred primarily in Canadian dollars; its exploration programs are primarily in Argentina and are denominated in either United States dollars or Argentine Pesos. The fluctuation of the Canadian dollar will, consequently, have an impact upon the reported profitability of the Company and may also affect the value of the Company's assets and liabilities. The Company continuously monitors this exposure to determine if any mitigation strategies become necessary.

*Price Risk*

The Company is exposed to price risk with respect to commodity prices, particularly those included in its exploration and evaluation asset portfolio. The Company closely monitors commodity prices to determine the appropriate course of action to be taken by the Company.

**MATERIAL PROCEEDINGS**

The Company is not a party to any material proceedings.

**MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING**

Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that all relevant information required to be disclosed in the Company's reports filed or submitted as part of the Company's continuous disclosure requirements is gathered and reported to senior management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, on a timely basis so that appropriate decisions can be made regarding public disclosure and such information can be recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by applicable regulatory authorities.

Management of the Company, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as at July 31, 2017 as required by Canadian securities laws. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of July 31, 2017, the disclosure controls and procedures were effective. However, Management and the Board of Directors recognize that no matter how well designed the Company's control systems are, such controls can only provide reasonable assurance, not absolute assurance, of detecting, preventing and deterring errors.

**CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING**

There have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting or any other factors during the period ended July 31, 2017, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

**SHARE CAPITAL**

- (a) As of the date of this MDA, the Company has 66,344,407 issued and outstanding common shares. The authorized share capital is unlimited no par value common shares.
- (b) As at the date of this MDA, the Company has 400,000 incentive stock options outstanding.
- (c) As at the date of this MDA, the Company had no outstanding share purchase warrants.

**DISCLOSURE OF MANAGEMENT COMPENSATION**

In accordance with the requirements of Section 19.5 of TSXV Policy 3.1, the Company provides the following disclosure with respect to the compensation of its directors and officers during the period:

1. During the nine months ended July 31, 2017, the Company did not enter any standard compensation

arrangements made directly or indirectly with any directors or officers of the Company, for their services as directors or officers, or in any other capacity, with the Company or any of its subsidiaries except as disclosed under “Related Parties Transactions”.

2. During the nine months ended July 31, 2017, officers of the Company were paid (or accrued) for their services as officers of the Company as noted above under “Related Parties Transactions”.
3. During the nine months ended July 31, 2017, the Company did not enter any arrangement relating to severance payments to be paid to directors and officers of the Company and its subsidiaries.

#### **APPROVAL**

The Board of Directors of the Company has approved the disclosures in this MDA.

Additional information on the Company available through the following source: [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com).