

**UCORE RARE METALS INC.**  
**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**  
**FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2016**

*This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Ucore Rare Metals Inc. ("Ucore" or the "Company"), prepared as of August 26, 2016, provides analysis of the Company's financial results for the period ended June 30, 2016. The following information should be read in conjunction with the unaudited financial statements and notes thereto for the period ended June 30, 2016 which are prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards. All amounts are expressed in Canadian dollars unless otherwise noted.*

*This discussion includes certain statements that may be deemed "forward-looking statements". All statements in this discussion, other than statements of historical facts, that address anticipated operating costs, possible future resource property expenditures, reserve potential, exploration drilling, exploitation activities, the potential for molecular recognition technology to be used in the processing of rare earth elements, and events or developments that the Company expects, are considered forward-looking because we have used what we know and expect today to make a statement about the future. Although the Company believes the expectations expressed in such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, such statements are not guarantees of future performance and actual results or developments may differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements usually include words such as may, expect, plan, anticipate, budget, believe or similar words. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in forward-looking statements include market prices, exploitation and exploration successes, continued availability of capital and financing and general economic, market or business conditions. Additional details of the specific risks associated with the operations of the Company and such forward-looking statements are set out below under "Risks and Uncertainties". Investors are cautioned that any such statements are not guarantees of future performance and that actual results or developments may differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements.*

## **Overview**

Ucore Rare Metals Inc. is a junior exploration and technology company listed on the TSX Venture Exchange (the "Exchange"). Ucore's corporate strategy is to build shareholder value through the exploration and development of economically viable rare earth element ("REE") properties and the processing of third party concentrates using Molecular Recognition separation technology ("MRT"). Ucore is currently focusing its exploration activities on its Bokan Mountain/Dotson Ridge property in Alaska, while exploring various options for advancement of its other properties. In addition, Ucore is continuing to develop the MRT technology and pursuing potential customers for this business line.

On November 28, 2012, the Company released a preliminary economic assessment, prepared by Tetra Tech on the Bokan property, which estimated an NPV of \$577 million at a 10% discount rate and an IRR of 43%. Further details of the assessment are disclosed below.

On February 18, 2014, Alaska Senator Bert Stedman (AK-R) presented an Amendment to Alaska Senate Bill No. 99 ("SB99" or "the Bill"), originated by Alaska State Senator Lesil McGuire (AK-R) in 2013. The amended Bill would give the Alaska Industrial Development and Export Authority ("AIDEA") the authority to issue long term bonds to finance the infrastructure and construction costs of the Bokan-Dotson Ridge rare earth project up to a Principal Amount of \$145,000,000 subject to its own board approval and due diligence. SB 99 passed through the Alaska State Senate in a unanimous vote, with all 20 senators voting in favor of the Bill. On April 28, 2014, SB 99 passed the Alaska State Legislature in a unanimous vote with all 38 representatives in attendance voting in favor of the bill. AIDEA will undertake its due diligence subsequent to the completion by the Company of a feasibility study on the Bokan-Dotson Ridge property.

On March 2, 2015 the Company announced that it had used MRT to successfully separate each of the rare earth elements at high purity. The rare earth carbonates were produced from a Pregnant Leach Solution ("PLS") derived from Bokan Dotson-Ridge feedstock. Each rare earth element was separated to a purity level exceeding

99%. The separation procedure, employing a customized SuperLig® hydrometallurgical process, was accomplished across the entire lanthanide suite, from lanthanum (La) to lutetium (Lu), inclusive, plus yttrium (Y) and scandium (Sc).

On March 3, 2015 the Company announced that it had entered into an agreement with IBC Advanced Technologies to acquire the exclusive rights to IBC's Superlig® MRT for rare earth separation and recycling applications, in addition to tailings processing applications. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company has agreed to pay a one-time licensing fee to IBC in the amount of \$2.9 million USD. The Company has made payments of approximately \$2.4 million up to June 30, 2016 towards this licensing fee. The payment is subject to the delivery by IBC of a fully operational rare earth pilot plant and due diligence review by the Company. Upon satisfactory completion of the foregoing terms and conditions, at the Company's discretion, the parties agree to constitute a joint venture for the purpose of marketing and purveying Superlig® products and services. The joint venture will utilize IBC's proprietary technology on a royalty free basis for rare earth processing, recycling application, and tailings processing. The Company will have up to a 60% controlling interest in the joint venture and IBC will retain a 40% beneficial interest.

On May 11, 2015 the Company announced that it had upgraded the Bokan Dotson Ridge resource using the data from the 2014 drilling program. The details of this upgrade are discussed in more detail in the Resource Property Interests section.

On May 20, 2015 the Company entered into an agreement in which \$4,000,000 USD was raised through the sale of a royalty on the future sale of products and services related to the processing of rare earth elements and other specialty metals and critical materials utilizing the SuperLig® MRT process. This royalty was convertible into common shares under certain conditions and has been converted at a rate of \$0.25 per share during the quarter, resulting in the issuance of 24,721,560 common shares.

On August 17, 2015, the Company entered into a second royalty based financing in which \$1,000,000 USD was raised through an arrangement similar to the May 20th financing, and was also converted at a rate of \$0.25 per share during the quarter resulting in the issuance of 5,749,200 common shares.

On December 8, 2015 the Company entered into an additional royalty based financing in which \$5,000,000 USD was raised, of which \$2,500,000 USD was received prior to March 31, 2016. The royalty will be on production from future MRT installations and will be comprised of a gross royalty of 5% of sales payable until the recapture of the investment; and a net smelter royalty of 2.5% (increasing to 5% upon payment of the second \$2,500,000 USD) on the Company's first MRT installation. The terms of the second payment of this royalty have been amended as discussed below under *May 30, 2016*.

On January 15, 2016, these investors exercised their right to convert their royalty investment into common shares of the Company. The investors initially paid \$5.3 million USD for the royalties which were converted at a rate of \$0.25 per share. At the conversion date, the liabilities were converted into 30,470,760 common shares of the Company.

On February 23, 2016 the Company extended the term of share purchase warrants of the Company. A total of 20,000,000 share purchase warrants were originally issued by the Company pursuant to the closing of the equity offering as originally announced on May 13, 2013. Each share purchase warrant entitled the holder to purchase one common share of the company at a price of \$0.35 per common share for a period of 36 months. A total of 17,070,340 of these Warrants remained outstanding at June 30, 2016. As a result of market conditions during the term of the Warrants and the capital raising opportunity represented by the Warrants, the Board of Directors of the Company has approved an amendment to the term of the original Warrant so that the term will be extended from May 13, 2016 to December 31, 2016.

On March 4, 2016, as mentioned above, an investor exercised their right to convert their royalty investment into common shares of the Company. The investor initially paid \$2.5 million USD (approximately \$3.3 million

CAD) for the royalty which was converted at a rate of \$0.26625 per share. At the conversion date, the liabilities were converted into 12,676,050 common shares of the Company.

On March 7, 2016 the Company announced that it had completed construction of the MRT pilot plant, Superlig®-One. Commissioning and acceptance of feed-stock for the plant were both announced on April 5, 2016.

On May 30, 2016 the Company announced it had amended the terms to the payment outstanding on the December 8, 2015 royalty agreement. Under the original terms of the Agreement, a foreign investment fund was to pay USD \$5 million, USD \$2.5 million of which was received in 2015, in exchange for a royalty or profit share from the production of the Company's early stage MRT installations. Under the revised terms of the amended agreement, the Investor has now elected to increase its remaining investment to USD \$6M and to convert the royalty into shares in the Company. This resulted in the issuance of 25,344,821 units upon conversion. For more details see the *Liquidity and Capital Resources* section.

On June 7, 2016 the Company announced that it had completed the first steps in processing the Bokan-Dotson Ridge feedstock through its SuperLig®-One pilot plant. This involved the PLS being separated into two classes of REE: heavy REE, comprised of samarium to lutetium ("HREE") and light REE, comprised of lanthanum to neodymium plus yttrium ("LREE"). The class separations have been achieved at 99%+ purity and 99%+ recovery.

On August 15, 2016 the Company announced that it had produced 99.99% dysprosium from the Bokan-Dotson Ridge feedstock using the Superlig®-One MRT pilot plant. The pure Dy was recovered from the samarium-dysprosium sub group of the heavy rare earth element class consisting of samarium through to lutetium.

Until a decision is made to proceed with the commercial development of one of its properties or revenue is generated through third party MRT installations, the annual level of exploration and development expenditures of the Company is dependent on the Company's ability to either raise capital through the sale of shares or other forms of financing to continue to finance its exploration programs and technology development.

## Rare Earth Processing

The Company has an agreement to acquire the exclusive rights to IBC's Superlig® MRT process for rare earth separation among other applications. This technology has been used by the Company to separate the entire suite of rare earth elements from Bokan ore with minimum purities of 99% (see press releases on March 2, 2015 and April 28, 2015). MRT is a proven technology that is used extensively around the world in non-REE mining applications; IBC and Ucore have adapted this technology for use in the rare earth industry. This has the potential to provide a clean and cost effective alternative to traditional solvent extraction technology for not only Ucore, but any company developing a primary rare earth mine or with the potential to produce rare earths as a secondary revenue stream in an already established operation.

Ucore contracted IBC to design and construct an MRT pilot plant. The completion of this pilot plant, named SuperLig®One was announced on March 7, 2016 at a total cost of approximately \$2.7 million. Since the announcement of the completion of construction of SuperLig®-One a number of milestones have been achieved, as detailed below:

**Rare Earth Class Separation from Gangue Metals** - The REE, as a group, have been separated from the impurity metals in the PLS ("Gangue Metals"). The Gangue Metals are non-REE constituents such as iron, thorium, uranium, zinc, copper, nickel, titanium, zirconium, and other trace base metals. This early-stage separation of REE from Gangue Metals distinguishes SuperLig®-One from other, less selective technologies such as solvent extraction and ion exchange ("Legacy Separation Technologies"). In the case of Legacy Separation Technologies, Gangue Metals are co-extracted with the REE, necessitating the use of excessive separation stages downstream in order to achieve the same purity levels obtained by SuperLig®.

**Rare Earth Element Recovery** – The REE, as a group, have been recovered at the > 99% level, leaving essentially no REE in the tailings. This accomplishment replicates prior lab-scale work, permitting practically all of the REE originally present in the PLS to be available for commercial utilization. Legacy Separation Technologies result in appreciable quantities of REE remaining in the tailings.

**Separation of Scandium** - Scandium has been separated at the >99% level from the other REE, leaving essentially no Sc in the PLS. This separation replicates prior lab-scale work. The purified group of REE originally present in the PLS, absent the Sc, is now available for further separations.

**Separation of HREE and LREE Classes** - The PLS has now been separated into two classes of REE: heavy REE, comprised of samarium to lutetium ("HREE") and light REE, comprised of lanthanum to neodymium plus yttrium ("LREE"). The class separations have been achieved at 99%+ purity and 99%+ recovery.

**Separation of Dy and Ho Sub-Groups** - The separation of the two Sub-Groups was achieved at 99%+ purity and 99%+ recovery.

**Production of 99.99% Dysprosium** - 99.99% Dysprosium has been produced from the PLS. The pure Dy was recovered from the Dy Sub-group of the HREE class consisting of samarium-lutetium.

Steven R. Izatt, President and CEO of IBC, has approved the scientific and technical content of this section and is the Qualified Person responsible for its accuracy. Mr. Izatt, Registered Member of the Society for Mining, Metallurgy, and Exploration, holds a B.A. degree in Chemistry from Brigham Young University ("BYU"), as well as an M.S. in Chemical Engineering Practice and an M.S. in Technology and Policy, both from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology ("MIT").

More information on the MRT process can be found at <http://mrt.ucore.com/>.

## **Resource Property Interests**

Ucore's primary resource property focus for the first two quarters of 2016 has been the Bokan-Dotson Ridge REE property in Alaska, where the Company has incurred the majority of its exploration expenditures for the past several years. Ucore's strategy continues to be, to the extent possible, to progress its properties, to seek strategic opportunities for the advancement of its properties or to release the properties. A detailed schedule of the Company's deferred exploration costs for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2016 is included in Schedule "A" and "B" respectively.

### ***Bokan-Dotson Ridge, Alaska***

In 2006, the Company acquired the right to the Bokan Mountain property through five separate option agreements to acquire a 100% interest in a parcel of unpatented mineral claims from underlying owners and through staking a 100% interest in an additional parcel of prospective ground. The option agreements provide for the Company to acquire a 100% interest in the optioned claims in exchange for total remaining payments of US\$90,000. The five vendors will retain Net Smelter Royalties ("NSR") ranging from 2% to 4% on their specific claims. The Company has the right to purchase between 33% and 100% of the NSR for cash payments of US\$500,000 to US\$1,000,000 per vendor.

On November 28, 2012, the Company reported the results of the Preliminary Economic Assessment ("PEA") completed by Tetra Tech of Vancouver, BC, regarding the Dotson Ridge Zone of the Company's Bokan Mountain heavy rare earth property in Southeast Alaska.

#### **Highlights of the PEA Include:**

- **Net Present Value (NPV):** \$577M at a 10% discount rate, pre-tax.
- **Internal Rate of Return (IRR):** 43%.

- **Payback Period:** 2.3 years.
- **Capital Cost:** \$221M, including a complete on-site rare earth oxide (“REO”) separation plant, and a contingency provision in the amount of \$25M. Among the lowest capital outlays in the rare earth mining sector.
- **Mining Rate:** 1,500 tonnes per day (“TPD”), 75% of mill feed is eliminated via the use of Dual Energy X-Ray Transmission (“DEXRT”) sorting and magnetic separation, netting approximately 375 TPD to feed the leach circuit.
- **Average Total Rare Earth Recoveries:** 81.6%
- **REO Production:** Averaging 2,250 tonnes per year (“TPY”) during the first five years at full production, including 95 tonnes of dysprosium oxide, 14 tonnes of terbium oxide, and 515 tonnes of yttrium oxide.
- **Mine Life:** 11 years, based on existing Inferred Mineral Resource Estimate (April 21, 2011), excluding highly prospective expansion at depth, along strike, and other exploration targets at the I&L Zone and beyond.
- **Direct Employment:** 170 employees.
- **Ease of Shipping Access:** Only rare earth project with immediate deep water shipping facilities, resulting in prospective mine-mouth shipping rates among the lowest in the industry.
- **Elimination of Tailings on Surface at Closure:** Only known mine to eliminate tailings on surface at closure. All tailings will be placed underground via cemented paste backfill. The processing plant will generate approximately 735 TPD of tailings, significantly less than the mine requirement of approximately 1,030 TPD backfill.
- **Recycling of Nitric Acid:** Nitric acid that is not consumed in the leach circuit will be recycled through the use of diffusion dialysis, greatly reducing acid consumption by more than 75%, resulting in significant financial and environmental benefits.
- **Near Term, High Value Production:** Relative high percentage of rare earth metals strategically critical to the US defense, clean energy, aerospace, supercomputing and transportation sectors: including Tb, Dy and Y.
- **Excellent Geopolitical Support:** Offset of completion risk through strong legislative and financial support at state and federal levels.

## Overview of Bokan Project and PEA

Ucore’s Bokan Mountain project is located on Prince of Wales Island, Alaska, approximately 60 km southwest of Ketchikan, Alaska and 140 km northwest of Prince Rupert, British Columbia, with direct ocean access to the western seaboard and the Pacific Rim. The project is situated in the Tongass National Forest, within an area set aside for natural resource development.

The PEA has been completed based on the Inferred Resource Estimate Technical Report filed on April 21<sup>st</sup>, 2011 by Ucore, with the exclusion of the I&L Zone. The resource was estimated by R. J. Robinson of Aurora Geosciences. The resource incorporated into the current mine plan totals 5.3 million tonnes, with an average grade of 0.65% total rare earth oxides (“TREO”), at a cut-off grade of 0.4% TREO. Of the TREO, approximately 40% are comprised of heavy rare earth oxides. A summary of the operating assumptions and financial model for the project is as follows:

Item	Units	Year 1	Year 2	Annual for balance of mine life
Tonnes Mined	Mt	198,000	470,900	540,000
Tonnes Processed	Mt	198,000	470,900	540,000
Mined Grade TREO		0.416%	0.511%	0.473%

Recovery		81.6%	81.6%	81.6%
----------	--	-------	-------	-------

	(million \$US)
Total Revenue	\$2,546
Initial Capital Expenditure	\$221
Sustaining Capital	\$145
Total Before-Tax Cash Flow (undiscounted)	\$1,516
Before-tax NPV @ 8%	\$697
Before-tax NPV @ 10%	\$577
Before-tax NPV @ 12%	\$478
Before tax IRR (%)	43%

### Capital Cost Estimate

Initial capital cost estimates for the project are as follows:

Item	Total Cost (million \$US)
<b>Direct Capital Cost</b>	
Site development	6.1
Mine underground	18.9
Mine surface facilities	23.8
Process	62.9
Tailings and waste rock management	10.1
Utilities	3.4
Buildings	3.0
Temporary facilities	5.2
Plant mobile equipment & misc.	1.4
Subtotal	134.7
<b>Indirect Capital Cost</b>	
Indirect construction costs	51.1
Owner's costs	10.9
Contingency	24.5
Subtotal	86.5
<b>Total Capital Cost</b>	<b>221.3</b>

Initial capital costs include all costs required to bring the facility to production. The ongoing sustaining capital costs are estimated to be \$145M over the 11 year mine life.

## Operating Cost Estimate

Item	Average Unit Cost (\$US/t mined)
Mining	41.69
Processing	54.83
G&A	13.56
Power	11.78
Misc.	0.93
<b>Total Operating Cost</b>	<b>122.78</b>

## REE Pricing Considerations

In developing rare earth pricing assumptions, a number of sources were considered by both Ucore and Tetra Tech. Price forecasts generated by analysts and Ucore's rare earth peer group vary widely. In selecting pricing assumptions, efforts were made to incorporate assumptions that were independent, supportable, and conservative. As a result, Tetra Tech has used a three-year trailing average of China FOB prices from October, 2009 to October, 2012 to establish prices for the rare earth oxides, except Ho, Lu, Yb & Er oxides, where two-year trailing averages were used due to limited Chinese market data. These prices are displayed in "Scenario 1" below. The Company also considered the impact of pricing REO's based on a 6-month trailing average and a 3-month trailing average. These results are displayed in "Scenario 2" and "Scenario 3" below, respectively.

	Pricing Scenario 1 3-Year trailing average	Pricing Scenario 2 6-Month trailing average	Pricing Scenario 3 3-Month trailing average
REO	\$US/kg	\$US/kg	\$US/kg
La <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	48.69	20.85	18.42
Ce <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	47.21	21.38	19.23
Pr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	113.10	110.00	103.08
Nd <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	126.70	108.96	101.58
Sm <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	57.74	71.79	61.42
Eu <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1,834.94	2,185.00	2010.00
Gd <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	81.70	99.42	96.35
Tb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1,520.83	1,907.12	1,840.38
Dy <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	845.80	1,009.42	948.08
Ho <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	211.39	107.25	107.05
Er <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	88.20	153.61	140.08
Tm <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	N/A	N/A	N/A
Yb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	102.79	124.07	110.51
Lu <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1,036.40	1,420.79	1,427.56
Y <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	80.41	100.75	85.12
<b>NPV @ 10% Discount</b>	<b>\$577M</b>	<b>\$620M</b>	<b>\$513M</b>

## Economic Analysis and Sensitivity Analysis



The economic analysis was based on the mineral resource estimate filed by Ucore in April of 2011, totalling 5.3 million tonnes at an average grade of 0.65% TREO in the Inferred category. This resource is adequate to allow for an 11 year mine life, based on current mining assumptions including a mining rate of 1,500 TPD. TREO recoveries are expected to average 81.6%.

These assumptions, together with capital cost and operating cost estimates noted above, result in a before tax NPV, at a 10% discount rate, of \$577 million. The payback period for the project is 2.3 years from the start of production. The project generates a pre-tax IRR of 43%.

A sensitivity analysis was performed, to test the impact of changes to several key assumptions included in the economic model, with the following results:

Changes to selling price of REOs	NPV at 10%, \$US million	IRR, %
Increase of 20%	802	52%
Increase of 10%	690	47%
Base Case	577	43%
Decrease of 10%	464	38%
Decrease of 20%	352	33%

Changes in operating costs	NPV at 10%, \$ US million	IRR, %
Increase of 20%	519	40%
Increase of 10%	548	42%
Base Case	577	43%
Decrease of 10%	606	44%
Decrease of 20%	635	45%

Change in initial capital expenditure	NPV at 10%, \$ US million	IRR, %
Increase of 20%	526	37%
Increase of 10%	552	40%
Base Case	577	43%
Decrease of 10%	602	46%
Decrease of 20%	627	51%

## Environmental Assessment

Ucore is currently conducting environmental baseline studies to prepare for the forthcoming permitting process at the Dotson Ridge Project. The project plan is being developed in consultation with local stakeholders as well as state and federal regulators. A Plan of Operations, which will be based upon engineered facility designs advanced from the concepts presented in the PEA, will be submitted to the US Forest Service to initiate a National Environmental Policy Act review. Permitting advantages for the project include the elimination of a permanent surface tailings storage facility, due to the use of x-ray sorting technology, which will allow for 100% of the mill tailings to be placed in mined out areas underground as cemented paste backfill. The study includes cost estimates for site water management and treatment.



## Qualified Persons

The technical disclosures in this document have been reviewed and approved by James Robinson, P. Geo., an independent geologist with Aurora Geosciences.

## Cautionary Notes

Please note that the PEA is preliminary in nature, that it includes inferred mineral resources that are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as mineral reserves, and there is no certainty that the PEA will be realized. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.

## Overview of the May 2015 Bokan Resource Upgrade

On May 11, 2015, the Company announced an upgraded resource estimate for the Bokan property, which was prepared by Aurora Geosciences (Alaska) Ltd.

An additional 1.043 million tonnes of newly estimated Inferred mineralization grading 0.604% TREO at a cut-off grade of 0.40% TREO has now been added to the total Resource at Bokan, as a result of deeper exploratory drilling during the 2014 field season. Further, more than 98% of the previously established Resource has now been upgraded to the fully Indicated category under NI 43-101 standards by way of supplemental infill drilling. The previously announced Resource, consisting of 2.936 million tonnes in the Indicated category and 1.995 million tonnes in the Inferred category under NI 43-101 standards at the same cut-off grade, was announced by Ucore in a press release dated Oct. 21, 2013.

A summary of the upgraded mineral resource is as follows:

### *Indicated Mineral Resource Estimate*

TREO CUT-OFF	TONNES	LREO (%)	HREO (%)	TREO (%)	Contained TREO (lbs)
.20	5,786,500	0.331	0.221	0.552	70,418,800
.30	5,411,900	0.345	0.228	0.573	68,365,700
<b>.40</b>	<b>4,787,900</b>	<b>0.363</b>	<b>0.239</b>	<b>0.602</b>	<b>63,544,100</b>
.50	3,532,900	0.395	0.258	0.653	50,860,200
.60	2,110,100	0.440	0.285	0.725	33,726,800

### *Inferred Mineral Resource Estimate*

TREO CUT-OFF	TONNES	LREO (%)	HREO (%)	TREO (%)	Contained TREO (lbs)
.20	1,201,100	0.343	0.224	0.567	14,934,500
.30	1,136,400	0.355	0.230	0.584	14,631,100
<b>.40</b>	<b>1,050,000</b>	<b>0.365</b>	<b>0.237</b>	<b>0.603</b>	<b>13,958,600</b>
.50	820,400	0.389	0.255	0.645	11,665,900

.60	507,300	0.421	0.286	0.707	7,907,100
-----	---------	-------	-------	-------	-----------

**Notes:**

1. Total Rare Earth Oxides (TREO) includes: La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Ce<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Pr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Nd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Sm<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Tb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Dy<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Ho<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Er<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Tm<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Yb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Lu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>
2. Heavy Rare Earth Oxides (HREO) includes: Eu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Tb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Dy<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Ho<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Er<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Tm<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Yb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Lu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>
3. The mineral resource estimate was completed by Mr. Jim Robinson, a Senior Consulting Geologist at Aurora. Mr. Robinson is an independent qualified person for the purposes of NI 43-101 standards of disclosure for mineral projects of the Canadian Securities Administrators and has verified the data.
4. The resource estimate is based on:
  - A database of 97 diamond drill holes totaling 20,000 m and 56 surface channels totaling 200 m. This diamond drilling and channel sampling was completed by Ucore in 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2014 on the Dotson Ridge zone
  - All geochemical analyses were performed by ALS Chemex, Eco-tech Laboratories Ltd., Bureau Veritas (ACME Analytical) and Activation Laboratories.
  - The specific gravity (SG) used is the overall mean of 2.77, determined from 141 SG readings.
  - Block model was estimated by the Inverse Distance Squared interpolation method on blocks of maximum 4 m x 4 m and minimum 1 m x 1 m dimensions.
  - All REE assays exceeding the 95% confidence level (CL) were cut to the 95% CL for each element.
  - All intercepts with a true width of less than 1.5 m were diluted to a potential mining width of 1.5m.

The drill-defined Mineral Resource at Bokan Dotson-Ridge commences at surface and is open both at depth and along strike. Additional information regarding significant drill results from the Company's prior exploration programs and maps and sections detailing the drill-hole locations and individual REE values are available at: [www.ucore.com](http://www.ucore.com).

### **Expenditure on Bokan**

During the first two quarters of 2016 the Company has been focussed on completing environmental and permitting work for the Bokan project as well as the advancement of the MRT separation technology for use at the Bokan property. Expenditures on environmental and permitting during the first two quarters totalled approximately \$143,000, \$102,000 of which was incurred in the second quarter. Expenditures on metallurgy totalled approximately \$435,000, of which \$137,000 was incurred in the second quarter. These metallurgy expenditures are largely the result of the commissioning of the pilot plant. An additional \$176,000 was spent on geological work during the period, \$64,000 of which was incurred in the second quarter, which includes the general carrying costs of the property.

In total, the Company incurred expenditures totalling approximately \$754,000 on the project during the six months ended June 30, 2016, and \$303,000 during the three months ended June 30, 2016.

### **Ray Mountains, Alaska**

During 2012, the Company acquired through physical staking and claim recording approximately 11,400 acres located in the Ray Mountains, Alaska. Due to uncertain plans for future development, the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$329,857 in 2015, writing down the carrying value of the Ray Mountains property to \$nil.

### ***Seagull Tin, Yukon***

The Company holds an option on a 100% interest in the Seagull Tin property located in the Southwestern Yukon. The options can be exercised on the second anniversary of the agreement for the lesser of 500,000 shares of the Company or 2% of the outstanding shares of the Company at that date. The Company's interest is subject to a 1.5% NSR, on which a \$200,000 advance payment is due on the fourth anniversary of the option agreement.

### ***Sandybeach Lake, Nunavut***

Ucore holds a 100% interest in the Sandybeach Lake property, located in southwestern Nunavut. The 18-square kilometre property is centered on Sandybeach Lake, located five kilometres northeast of the northern extents of Neultin Lake in Manitoba. The carrying value of the property was written down to nil in 2010.

## **Selected Annual Information**

The following annual information is prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). Amounts are reported in thousands of Canadian dollars, except for per share amounts.

	<b>For the year ended December 31, 2015 \$</b>	<b>For the year ended December 31, 2014 \$</b>	<b>For the year ended December 31, 2013 \$</b>
Net loss	4,525	3,903	3,567
Loss per share – basic and diluted	0.02	0.02	0.02
Total assets	46,089	35,981	28,762

## **Results of Operations**

### **During the six months ended June 30, 2016**

During the first half of 2016, the Company incurred a net loss before income taxes of \$2.67 million compared to a net loss before income taxes of \$1.52 million for the first half of 2015. The biggest drivers of the increase in net loss are increases in salary expense due to the timing of executive bonuses, a foreign exchange loss of approximately \$197,000 related to the convertible royalty liabilities, and an increase in professional services. These variances and others are discussed in the narrative below.

The Company earned approximately \$3,000 less in interest income during the first half of 2016 than during the same period in fiscal 2015 as a result of a decrease in cash balances on hand during each period due to the timing of financings.

Operating expenses for the first half of 2016 totalled \$2.4 million, compared with \$1.5 million for the same period during the prior year.

Salaries and consultants' expenditures of approximately \$1.1 million were incurred during the period, an increase of approximately \$382,000 compared to the same period in 2015. The main reason for this increase is the timing of bonuses that were paid during Q2 of 2016, whereas bonuses were not paid out until Q3 in 2015. Staffing levels have remained unchanged between periods.

Travel expenditures were up by approximately \$97,000 between the two periods. This significant increase is the result of increased travel due to the operation of the pilot plant in Utah. Travel expenditures are expected to remain at an increased level due to heightened activity in Utah, however they will likely decrease from current levels as pilot plant operations wind down.

Professional services totalled approximately \$442,000, an increase of \$291,000 compared to the same period in 2015. This was largely due to a one-time payment to a European consultant of \$195,000. The remaining increase is the result of increased legal fees and increased use of a REE industry consultant. Expenditures on investor relations increased by approximately \$65,000 compared to the same period in 2015 largely as a result of hiring a Company to provide European investor relations for 2016.

The Company recorded non-cash stock-based compensation expense of approximately \$216,000 attributable to the estimated value of stock options earned during the period, an increase of approximately \$79,000 as compared to the first half of 2015. This increase can be attributed to the timing of Company-wide option grants between the two years as well as slightly higher black-scholes valuations in the current year. The Company capitalized approximately \$14,000 of non-cash stock-based compensation expense during the period to resource properties, attributable to the value of stock options earned by the Company's exploration personnel. There was also non-cash amortization expense of approximately \$19,000, representing depreciation of the Company's fixed assets, which is very consistent with 2015 amortization of \$20,000.

The Company recorded a foreign exchange loss on the convertible royalty liabilities of approximately \$197,000 during the period. These liabilities are revalued at each period end, and in the current case, at conversion date, resulting in a foreign exchange gain or loss depending on the exchange rate. As all convertible royalty liabilities have been converted into common shares, this will not be a recurring item. Aside from the \$197,000 loss on the revaluation of the convertible royalty liabilities, the Company realised a currency exchange loss of approximately \$48,000 during the period relating to its foreign currency translation, as compared to a loss of approximately \$3,000 for the same period of the prior year. As the Company continues to deal in both the Canadian and United States currencies, the Company may continue to incur foreign exchange gains and losses arising from changes in the value of the United States dollar relative to the Canadian dollar.

No impairment charge was required for the current or comparative period, however the Company will continue to review its portfolio of resource properties and write-down the carrying costs of any properties considered to be impaired in value, which could have a material impact on the Company's net loss in future periods.

### **During the three months ended June 30, 2016**

During the three months ended June 30, 2016, the Company incurred a net loss before income taxes of approximately \$1.6 million, representing an increase from the three months ended June 30, 2015 in which a net loss of approximately \$870,000 was incurred. The most significant variances are in salaries and consultants expense, professional services, and travel. Details of these changes are discussed below.

The loss has increased by \$345,000 as a result of salaries and consultants expense. The increase in salaries expense as discussed in the six month section is due to the timing of executive bonuses paid out in Q2 of 2016 which were not paid out until Q3 in 2015. Professional services increased by \$238,000 compared with the same quarter in the previous year as a result of the \$195,000 one-time consulting payment discussed above and increased use of a REE industry consultant.

Travel expenses increased by approximately \$76,000 between the two periods. As discussed in the 6 month narrative, this is related to increased travel to Utah as a result of the pilot plant operation.

The Company realised a currency exchange gain of approximately \$5,000 during the period relating to its foreign currency translation, as compared to a loss of approximately \$6,000 for the same period of the prior year. As the Company continues to deal in both the Canadian and United States currencies, the Company may continue to incur foreign exchange gains and losses arising from changes in the value of the United States dollar relative to the Canadian dollar.

All other expense categories remained relatively consistent between the two periods.

No impairment charge was required for the current or comparative period, however the Company will continue to review its portfolio of resource properties and write-down the carrying costs of any properties considered to be impaired in value, which could have a material impact on the Company's net loss in future periods.

## Summary of Quarterly Results

Expressed in thousands of dollars, except per share amounts	6/30/16 \$	3/31/16 \$	12/31/15 \$	9/30/15 \$	6/30/15 \$	3/31/15 \$	12/31/14 \$	9/30/14 \$
Net loss before provision for taxes	1,555	1,119	1,781	1,220	870	654	939	889
Loss per share – basic and diluted	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00
Total Assets	50,025	43,684	46,089	43,041	41,177	37,586	35,981	36,051

The reasons for the variance between the current quarter and the comparative quarter in 2015 are discussed in Results of Operations.

During the fourth quarter of 2015 the Company undertook an impairment review of its Ray Mountains property as discussed above. This review resulted in a write-down of resource properties in the amount of \$330,000, bringing the property to a value of \$nil. In addition, the Company recorded an unrealized foreign exchange loss on the revaluation of the royalty based financings of \$660,000.

The variance between the third quarter of 2015 and the comparative quarter of 2014 is the result of bonuses paid to executives in the third quarter of 2015 but the second quarter of 2014.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

At June 30, 2016, the Company had working capital of \$7.1 million, with a cash balance of \$6.7 million. The company also had restricted cash, which has certain restrictions limiting withdrawal of \$232k.

The Company used approximately \$2.2 million of working capital to fund operating expenses for the first six months of the year of which \$1.1 million was used in the second quarter. Net cash expenditures on resource properties and related deferred costs totalled \$735,000 during the six month period ended June 30, 2016 and \$207,000 during the three month period. This was primarily funded from working capital.

On May 27, 2016, the Company amended the terms to the payment outstanding on the December 8, 2015 royalty agreement. Under the original terms of the Agreement, a foreign investment fund (the "Investor") was

to pay USD \$5 million in exchange for a royalty or profit share from the production of the Company's early stage MRT installations (the "Royalty"). Under the revised terms of the amended agreement, the Investor has now elected to increase its investment to USD \$6M and to convert the royalty into shares in the Company. The specific amendments to the Royalty are as follows:

Under the terms of the original agreement, the Royalty was to be comprised of two components: (i) a Gross Royalty equal to 5% of gross sales from the Company's first MRT installation or installations, payable until the recapture of the Investment; and (ii) a Net Profits Royalty ("NSR") equal to 5% of the Company's net profits from the installation. The terms of the original agreement additionally allowed the Investor to convert the total amount of the Investment (minus any Royalty amounts already then paid by the Company) into common shares of the Company, subject to any applicable regulatory, stock exchange or shareholder approvals. If the Investor elected to convert such amount, the Company's Royalty obligations would then cease and the conversion amount would be converted into common shares at the greater of: (i) the 30 day volume weighted average share price of the Company's common shares, less a 20% discount; or (ii) the market price of the Company's common shares on the day immediately prior to the conversion date, less a 20% discount; or (iii) \$0.20 per common share. The conversion right was exercisable until June 15, 2021.

Under the amended agreement, the Gross Royalty and the NSR are reduced from 5% to 2%, and the conversion Rate has been increased to the greater of: (i) the market price of the Company's common shares on the day immediately prior to the conversion date, less a 20% discount; or (ii) \$0.29 per common share. The amended agreement additionally allows the Royalty to be converted to Units at the aforementioned Conversion Rate. Units consist of one common share of the Company plus a common share purchase warrant. Each common share purchase warrant shall entitle the Investor to acquire 1 new common share from the Company at a price of CAD\$0.38 per common share for a period of 3 years from the issuance date of the warrant.

In accordance with the conversion terms of the Amended Agreement, the Investor has elected to convert a total of CAD\$7.35 million of its Royalty to Units. As a result, a total of 25,344,821 Units have been issued. Additionally, under the terms of the original Agreement, the Investor had the option to increase the amount of the Investment by up to USD\$1 Million, in exchange for a larger Royalty, provided that written notice of such exercise is provided prior to August 31, 2016. The Investor has now exercised that option, the Investment was accordingly increased by USD\$1 Million, and was converted with the rest of the instrument.

In management's view, this financing will enable the Company to meet its resource property obligations, continue developing the MRT separation technology, fund its administration costs, and fund its planned exploration programs beyond the next twelve months.

## **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

At June 30, 2016, the Company had no material off-balance sheet arrangements such as guarantee contracts, contingent interest in assets transferred to an entity, derivative instruments obligations or any obligations that trigger financing, liquidity, market or credit risk to the Company.

## **Critical Accounting Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with International Financial Reporting Standards requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and notes. Critical accounting estimates used in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements include the Company's estimate of recoverable value of its mineral properties and related deferred expenditures, the value of the convertible royalty liability, non-cash stock-based compensation and deferred income tax assets and liabilities.

The Company's recoverability of the recorded value of its resource properties and associated deferred expenses is based on market conditions for minerals, underlying mineral resources associated with the properties and future costs that may be required for ultimate realization through mining operations or by sale. The Company

operates in an industry that is subject to a number of risk factors, including legal and political risks, the existence of economically recoverable reserves, and the ability of the Company to obtain necessary financing to complete the development and future profitable production or the proceeds of disposition thereof.

The factors affecting non-cash stock-based compensation include estimates of when stock options might be exercised and the stock price volatility. The timing for exercise of options is out of the Company's control and will depend on a variety of factors including the market value of the Company's shares and the financial objectives of the stock-based instrument holders.

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are computed based on differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities on the balance sheet and their corresponding tax values. Deferred income tax assets also result from unused losses carried forward and other deductions. The valuation of deferred income tax assets is adjusted, if necessary, by use of a valuation allowance to reflect the estimated realizable amount.

## Future Changes in Accounting Policies

For the purposes of preparing and presenting the Company's condensed interim consolidated financial statements, the Company has adopted all applicable standards and interpretations issued other than those discussed below. These standards have not been adopted because they are not effective for the Company until subsequent to December 31, 2016. Standards and interpretations issued, but not yet adopted include:

	Effective for the Company
Amendments to IAS 7, Statement of Cash Flows	January 1, 2017
Amendments to IAS 12, Income Taxes	January 1, 2017
IFRS 15, Revenue from Contracts with Customers	January 1, 2018
IFRS 9, Financial Instruments	January 1, 2018
IFRS 16, Leases	January 1, 2019

In January 2016, the IAS issued amendments to IAS 7, Statement of Cash Flows. The amendments require disclosures that enable users of financial statements to evaluate changes in liabilities arising from financing activities, including both changes arising from cash flow and non-cash changes. One way to meet this new disclosure requirement is to provide a reconciliation between the opening and closing balances for liabilities from financing activities. These amendments apply prospectively for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2017. The Company intends to adopt the amendments to IAS 7 in its financial statements for the annual period beginning on January 1, 2017. The extent of the impact of adoption of the amendments has not yet been determined.

In January 2016, the IASB issued amendments to IAS 12, Income Taxes. The amendments clarify that the existence of a deductible temporary difference depends solely on a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset and its tax base at the end of the reporting period, and is not affected by possible future changes in the carrying amount or expected manner of recovery of the asset. The amendments also clarify the methodology to determine the future taxable profits used for assessing the utilization of deductible temporary differences. The amendments apply retrospectively for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2017. The Company intends to adopt the amendments to IAS 12 in its financial statements for the annual period beginning on January 1, 2017. The extent of the impact of adoption of the amendments has not yet been determined.

In May 2014, the IASB issued IFRS 15, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. The standard replaces IAS 11, Construction Contracts; IAS 18, Revenue; IFRIC 13, Customer Loyalty Programmes; IFRIC 15, Agreements for the Construction of Real Estate; IFRIC 18, Transfer of Assets from Customers; and SIC 31, Revenue – Barter Transactions Involving Advertising Services. This standard establishes principles for reporting the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from an entity's contract with customers. This standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2018, and permits early adoption. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this standard on the consolidated financial statements.



In July 2014, the IASB issued IFRS 9, Financial Instruments, which will replace IAS 39, Financial Instruments, Recognition and Measurement. The replacement standard provides a new model for the classification and measurement of financial instruments. The IASB has determined the revised effective date for IFRS 9 will be for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2018. The Company will evaluate the impact of the change to the consolidated financial statements based on the characteristics of financial instruments outstanding at the time of adoption.

In January 2016, the IASB issued IFRS 16 Leases. This standard introduces a single lessee accounting model and requires a lessee to recognize assets and liabilities for all leases with a term of more than 12 months, unless the underlying asset is of low value. A lessee is required to recognize a right-of-use asset representing its right to use the underlying asset and a lease liability representing its obligation to make lease payments. This standard substantially carries forward the lessor accounting requirements of IAS 17, while requiring enhanced disclosures to be provided by lessors. Other areas of the lease accounting model have been impacted, including the definition of a lease. Transitional provisions have been provided. The new standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2019. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this standard on the consolidated financial statements.

## Related Party Transactions

As at June 30, 2016 the Company has recorded an advance, for corporate expenses, to officers of the Company in the amount of \$184,595 (December 31, 2015 - \$204,472), which is non-interest bearing and is to be repaid over three years. During the period ending June 30, 2016, the Company paid \$83,256 (2015 - \$3,054) in fees to a law firm of which a director of the Company is a partner. Additionally, travel expenditures in the amount of \$983 (2015 - \$nil) were reimbursed to directors of the Company.

All related party transactions were in the normal course of operations and were valued at the exchange amount agreed to between the parties.

## Outstanding Share Data

The following is the Company's issued and outstanding share data as of the date of this report. Each stock option and warrant is exercisable for one common share of the Company.

Securities	Number	Weighted average exercise price \$	Weighted average remaining life (years)
Common shares	270,122,077	n/a	n/a
Stock options under plans approved by shareholders	15,138,333	0.34	2.35
Warrants	64,550,080	0.41	1.45

## Subsequent Events

On July 29, 2016, the Company completed the conversion of the royalty based financing discussed in the *Liquidity and Capital Resources* section. This resulted in total proceeds of \$355,002 and the issuance of 1,500,007 units. Each unit consists of one common share and one warrant to purchase a common share of the Company at \$0.38 for a period of 3 years.

## Risks and Uncertainties

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash, restricted cash, short-term deposits, marketable securities, trade and other receivables, and accounts payable and accrued liabilities. Management does not believe these financial instruments expose the Company to any significant interest, currency or credit risks arising from these financial instruments. The fair market values of these financial instruments approximate their carrying values, unless otherwise noted.

In conducting its business, the principal risks and uncertainties faced by the Company relate to exploration and development success of the Company's mineral properties and to the successful advancement of MRT, as well as metal prices and market sentiment to a lesser extent.

The PEA discussed in the overview section is preliminary in nature, it includes indicated and inferred mineral resources only, which are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as mineral reserves, and there is no certainty that the PEA will be realized. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.

Most exploration projects do not result in the discovery of commercially mineable ore deposits and no assurance can be given that any particular level of recovery of ore reserves or resources will be realized or that any identified mineral deposit will ever qualify as a commercially mineable (or viable) ore body which can be legally and economically exploited. Estimates of reserves and resources, mineral deposits and production costs can also be affected by such factors as environmental permitting regulations and requirements, weather, environmental factors, unforeseen technical difficulties, unusual or unexpected geological formations and work interruptions. Material changes in ore reserves and resources, grades, stripping ratios, recovery rates or selling prices of the underlying commodities may affect the economic viability of any project.

The Company's future growth and productivity could possibly depend, in part, on its ability to identify and acquire additional mineral rights, and on the costs and results of continued exploration and development programs. Mineral exploration is highly speculative in nature and is frequently non-productive. Substantial expenditures are required to:

- establish ore reserves and resources through drilling and metallurgical and other testing techniques;
- determine metal content and metallurgical recovery processes to extract metal from the ore; and
- construct, renovate or expand mining and processing facilities.

In addition, the prices of metals fluctuate widely and are affected by many factors outside of the Company's control. The relative prices of metals and future expectations for such prices have a significant impact on the market sentiment for investment in mining and mineral exploration companies.

The Company will be reliant on equity or other types of financing for its long-term working capital requirements and to fund its exploration programs. The Company does not generate any revenue and does not have sufficient funds to put any of its resources interests into production from its own financial resources. There is no assurance that such financing will be available to the Company, or that it will be available on acceptable terms.

MRT is at advanced testing stages and has yet to be proven at a commercial scale, for the separation of rare earth elements. The Company has not yet released an economic assessment on the use of MRT for the

separation of rare earth elements or any other materials and does not yet have any specific contracts for the processing of rare earths or other materials using MRT. The following risks are specific to MRT:

The Company has not yet completed the required payments that will result in the creation of a joint venture between the Company and IBC (60%/40% respectively) holding the exclusive rights to MRT as outlined previously. Failure to make these payments will eliminate the ability for the joint venture to generate future revenues or earnings for the Company from MRT. Assuming completion of the payments, the MRT process is still subject to a high level of uncertainty and risk, and may be affected by many factors, some of which are beyond our control, including the emergence of newer, more competitive technologies and processes, the cost of building and operating MRT facilities, regulatory requirements, financial metrics, and the ability to attract sources of feed-stock.

Although MRT is a previously commercialized technology in some fields, using MRT to separate rare earth elements and certain other elements is a new application. To date, the Company has completed bench and certain pilot scale testing on the MRT process in relation to rare earth elements. There can be no assurance that MRT will be able to separate these elements at commercial levels on a profitable basis. In addition, there is inherent variability and uncertainty related to the ability to source and the composition of usable feed-stocks.

The execution of a commercial MRT separation joint venture, once implemented, involves risks associated with the planning, engineering, cost, construction, integration, commissioning, and start-up of a new MRT facility. Risks include: failures in the specification, design or technology selection; building the project within a reasonable time and cost structure; operating costs, efficiency, recoveries, and maintenance costs. Many factors can affect key outcomes, including general economic, business and market conditions, the availability and cost of qualified personnel, key materials and equipment, the complexity of managing multiple suppliers and contractors, the complexity of building in new and existing facilities, government regulations, and public expectations.

If launched, the success of the joint venture will depend upon, among other things, the Company and joint venture's ability to protect the key intellectual property regarding MRT. Our failure to protect that intellectual property could adversely affect our future growth and success. We will rely on patent, trade secret, trademark, and copyright laws to protect our intellectual property. There is no assurance that these measures will be effective or will always be available for our intellectual property.

The specialized scientific nature of MRT means that the joint venture's success depends in a large part on the ability to attract and retain key management, engineering, scientific, and operating personnel. Recruiting in these fields can be highly competitive and there is no assurance that key employees will be able to be retained.

## **Disclosure Controls and Procedures and Internal Controls over Financial Reporting**

Disclosure controls and procedures ("DC&P") are intended to provide reasonable assurance that material information is gathered and reported to senior management to permit timely decisions regarding public disclosure. Internal controls over financial reporting ("ICFR") are intended to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of consolidated financial statements for external purposes in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles.

TSX Venture-listed companies are not required to provide representations in their annual and interim filings relating to the establishment and maintenance of DC&P and ICFR, as defined in Multinational Instrument MI 52-109. In particular, the CEO and CFO certifying officers do not make any representations relating to the establishment and maintenance of (a) controls and other procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the issuer in its annual filings, interim filings or other reports filed or submitted under securities legislation is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in securities legislation, and (b) processes to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of consolidated financial statements for external purposes in accordance with the issuer's GAAP.

## Other Information

Additional information regarding the Company is available on SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com) and on the Company's website at [www.ucore.com](http://www.ucore.com). Additional information about the MRT Superlig® technology can be found at [mrt.ucore.com](http://mrt.ucore.com).

**UCORE RARE METALS INC.**

Schedule of Resource Properties

For the three months ended June 30, 2016

Schedule "A"

**Details of Resource Properties and Related Deferred Costs**

	Bokan Mountain/ Dotson Ridge	Ray Mountains, Alaska	Seagull Tin, Yukon	Total June 30, 2016
<b>Mineral Properties</b>				
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 4,766,301	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,766,301
Expenditures during period	-	-	-	\$ -
Change in foreign exchange rates	7,388	-	-	\$ 7,388
Balance, end of period	4,773,689	-	-	4,773,689
<b>Deferred Exploration expenditures:</b>				
Geology	63,753	-	-	63,753
Environmental & permitting	102,288	-	-	102,288
Feasibility Study	-	-	-	-
Metallurgy	137,031	-	-	137,031
Balance, beginning of period	303,072	-	-	303,072
	33,924,174	-	251,994	34,176,168
	34,227,246	-	251,994	34,479,240
Change in foreign exchange rates	58,687	-	-	58,687
Balance, end of period	34,285,933	-	251,994	34,537,927
<b>Mineral properties and deferred exploration expenditures, end of period</b>	<b>\$ 39,059,622</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>251,994</b>	<b>\$ 39,311,616</b>

**UCORE RARE METALS INC.**

## Schedule of Resource Properties

For the six months ended June 30, 2016

Schedule "B"

**Details of Resource Properties and Related Deferred Costs**

	Bokan Mountain/ Dotson Ridge	Ray Mountains, Alaska	Seagull Tin, Yukon	Total June 30, 2016
<b>Mineral Properties</b>				
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 4,935,243	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,935,243
Expenditures during period	-	-	-	-
Change in foreign exchange rates	(161,554)	-	-	(161,554)
Balance, end of period	<u>4,773,689</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>4,773,689</u>
<b>Deferred Exploration expenditures:</b>				
Geology	176,027	-	-	176,027
Environmental & permitting	142,632	-	-	142,632
Feasibility Study	-	-	-	-
Metallurgy	<u>435,290</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>435,290</u>
	753,948	-	-	753,948
Balance, beginning of period	34,815,335	-	251,994	35,067,329
	<u>35,569,283</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>251,994</u>	<u>35,821,277</u>
Change in foreign exchange rates	(1,283,350)	-	-	(1,283,350)
Balance, end of period	<u>34,285,933</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>251,994</u>	<u>34,537,927</u>
<b>Mineral properties and deferred exploration expenditures, end of period</b>	<u>\$ 39,059,622</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>251,994</u>	<u>\$ 39,311,616</u>