

EAGLE MOUNTAIN GOLD CORP.
(Formerly Stronghold Metals Inc.)
Management's Discussion and Analysis
Year Ended August 31, 2012

GENERAL

The following discussion of performance, financial condition and prospects should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company for the year ended August 31, 2012 presented in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards. The Company's reporting currency is Canadian dollars, unless otherwise indicated.

Effective July 26, 2012, the Company changed its name to Eagle Mountain Gold Corp. and consolidated its common shares on a one-new-for-five-old basis. Unless otherwise stated, all common shares numbers, stock options and warrants in the Management's Discussion and Analysis are retroactively restated to present the post-consolidated amounts. The Company continues to trade on the TSX Venture Exchange (the "Exchange") under the symbol "Z".

The date of this Management's Discussion and Analysis is December 27, 2012. Additional information on the Company is available on SEDAR at www.sedar.com and at www.eaglemountaingoldcorp.com

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This Management's Discussion and Analysis contains certain statements that may constitute "forward-looking statements". All statements, other than statements of historical fact, included herein, including but not limited to, statements regarding future anticipated property acquisitions, the nature of future anticipated exploration programs and the results thereof, discovery and delineation of mineral resources/reserves, business and financing plans and business trends, are forward-looking statements. Although the Company believes that such statements are reasonable, it can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct.

Forward-looking statements are typically identified by words such as: believe, expect, anticipate, intend, estimate, postulate and similar expressions, or which by their nature refer to future events. The Company cautions investors that any forward-looking statements by the Company are not guarantees of future performance, and that actual results may differ materially from those in forward looking statements as a result of various factors, including, but not limited to, variations in the nature, quality and quantity of any mineral deposits that may be located, variations in the market for, and pricing of, any mineral products the Company may produce or plan to produce, the Company's inability to obtain any necessary permits, consents or authorizations required for its activities, the Company's inability to produce minerals from its properties successfully or profitably, to continue its projected growth, to raise the necessary capital or to be fully able to implement its business strategies, and other risks and uncertainties identified herein under "Risks and uncertainties".

Should one or more of these risks and uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those described in any of those forward-looking statements. For this reason, investors should not attribute undue certainty to or place undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

Historical results of operations and trends that may be inferred from the following discussion and analysis may not necessarily indicate future results from operations. In particular, the current state of the global securities markets may cause significant fluctuations in the price of the Company's

securities and render it difficult or impossible for the Company to raise the funds necessary to develop any of its present or future mineral properties.

ADOPTION OF INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL REPORTING STANDARDS (“IFRS”)

As of September 1, 2011, the Company adopted IFRS. The consolidated financial statements for the year ended August 31, 2012 are presented in accordance with IFRS. The Company has restated its comparative consolidated financial statements and other financial information following its IFRS accounting policies. A reconciliation of the previously disclosed comparative periods' financial statements in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles to IFRS is set out in Note 18 to these consolidated financial statements.

DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

The Company is a natural resource company engaged in the business of acquiring, exploring and developing properties in South America and Canada with a focus on South America.

Guyana

Eagle Mountain Property

On March 29, 2012, the Company has effectively earned 50% interest in the Eagle Mountain property.

The Eagle Mountain property is a gold exploration project in Guyana and is one of the two main projects of the Company. Pursuant to a definitive Earn-In and Joint Venture Agreement with a subsidiary of IAMGOLD Corporation (“IAMGOLD”) dated September 15, 2010, and subsequently amended in January 2012, the Company has been granted the right to acquire in stages up to 100% in the Eagle Mountain property, located in Guyana, South America, by paying an aggregate US\$1,600,000, issuing an aggregate 2,300,000 common shares of the Company, and expending US\$3,500,000 in exploration expenditures. Of the total cash payment, US\$1,000,000 may be paid in common shares of the Company at the option of the Company. The Eagle Mountain property is owned by Omai Gold Mines Ltd. (“OGML”), a 95% owned subsidiary of IAMGOLD, with the Republic of Guyana holding the remaining 5%.

To acquire a 50% interest, the Company agreed to pay OGML \$600,000 (paid), issue a 800,000 common shares (issued) of the Company and fund total exploration expenditures of US\$3,500,000 (completed).

The Company may earn a further 50% (100% in aggregate) by paying an additional US\$1,000,000 by April 30, 2013. Once the Company has satisfied the above requirements, the Company will either be issued, or have assigned, transferred or conveyed to it, such number of shares in the capital of OMGL as will constitute it the registered and beneficial owner of 95% of OMGL's entire issued capital stock, once such shares have been issued.

The terms of the agreement as amended are summarized in the table below:

	Cash Payments US\$	Common Shares	Expenditures US\$
On signing the Agreement	\$ 500,000 (paid)	400,000 (issued)	\$ 400,000 (incurred)
Obligations completed prior to the amending agreement dated Jan.12, 2012	100,000 (paid)	400,000 (issued)	3,100,000 (incurred)
	600,000	800,000	3,500,000
Additional consideration to earn the first 50% interest	-	1,500,000 (issued)	-
	600,000	2,300,000	3,500,000
To earn remaining 45% interest (net of 5% held by Republic of Guyana)	1,000,000 (by April 30, 2013)	-	-
Total	\$ 1,600,000	2,300,000	\$ 3,500,000

The Company has the option to issue common shares in lieu of cash payment provided such issue of shares does not result in OGML controlling in excess of 19.99% of the Company.

In addition, upon the grant of a mining or exploration licence by the Government of Guyana, the Company has agreed to pay an additional US\$3,500,000 for which the Company may, at its sole option, elect to issue shares to OGML at a deemed value of US\$3,500,000. The number of common shares is determined by 95% of the Company's share prices during the 20 trading days before the date the Company notifies OGML of its intention to issue such shares, provided such shares does not result in OGML controlling in excess of 19.99% of the Company. After the commencement of commercial production of gold from the property, the Company has agreed to pay a further US\$5,000,000 to OGML.

Furthermore, by a separate agreement the Company has agreed to pay a finder's fee of up to 300,000 common shares in stages over the term of the agreement, as follows:

- (1) 85,745 common shares in the first year of the options (issued);
- (2) 41,276 common shares in the second year of the options (issued);
- (3) 21,277 common shares in the third year of the options; and
- (4) 151,702 when the Government of Guyana grants a mining license for the property.

In March 2012, the Company has effectively earned 50% interest in the Eagle Mountain property by issuing 1,500,000 shares to lamgold Corporation as the TSX Venture Exchange had accepted the filing for Amended and Restated Joint Venture and Earn-In Agreement. The Company also issued 127,021 shares to Guiana Shields Resources Inc. for finder's fee in connection with the acquisition of the property.

The Company pledged a US\$100,000 reclamation site deposit to the Guyana Geology and Mines Commission for exploration permits on the Eagle Mountain Property. The deposit was secured by a non-interest-bearing bond.

Mowasi Mineral Interest

On October 7, 2011, the Company entered into a definitive option agreement with Mowasi Gold Corp. ("Mowasi") whereby the Company can earn a 95% interest in Mowasi's exclusive interest in 23 prospecting permits and eight mining permits by agreeing to pay an aggregate of US\$1,500,000 cash, issue 500,000 common shares and incur US\$1,000,000 exploration expenditures subject to regulatory approval. The concessions are adjacent to the Company's Eagle Mountain property in Guyana.

Under the terms of the agreement, the Company can earn a 49% undivided interest as follows:

- Pay Mowasi US\$100,000 (paid);
 - Issue to Mowasi 50,000 common shares (issued) of the Company;
 - Expend exploration expenditures of no less than US\$1,000,000 in the first 18 months,
- and
- Pay Mowasi US\$300,000 14 days after the above 18-month term has been completed.

The Company can earn a further 46% undivided interest in the concessions within 90 days after making the exploration expenditures as follows:

- Pay Mowasi US\$1,000,000; and
- Issue to Mowasi 400,000 common shares of the Company.

Mowasi's remaining 5% interest in the concessions will be carried until such time as the Company completes a feasibility study on the concessions. The Company will be the operator on the concessions.

Brazil

Tucumã Project

On May 25, 2010, the Company entered into agreements with Stronghold Brasil Mineração Ltda. ("Stronghold Brazil") and the shareholders of Stronghold Brazil, whereby the Company agreed to acquire all of the issued and outstanding shares of Stronghold Brazil. Stronghold Brazil controls on a 100% basis, 6 mineral concessions, ("The Tucumã Project or Property") located in the State of Para, Brazil. In exchange for the Stronghold Brazil shares, the Company issued 300,000 common shares in the capital of the Company and 150,000 non-transferable share purchase warrants to the holders of the Stronghold Brazil Shares. Each warrant will entitle the warrant holder to acquire an additional common share in the capital of the Company at a price of \$3.75 per share expiring June 9, 2012. On the commencement of commercial production for primary ore (excluding alluvial minerals) from the Tucumã Property, the Company will pay a sum of US\$3,000,000 and a 1% net smelter return royalty to the former Stronghold Brazil shareholders.

The aggregate purchase price of \$941,753 consisted of 300,000 common shares valued at \$540,000, 150,000 share purchase warrants valued at \$124,725, and \$41,590 of transaction costs. The value of the common shares issued was based on the market price of the Company's common shares on the share issuance date. The value of the share purchase warrants was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The acquisition has been accounted for as a purchase of an asset, as Stronghold Brazil did not meet the definition of a business and the excess purchase price over the net asset acquired was allocated to mineral properties.

The Tucumã Project is a gold and copper/gold exploration project. The Company holds six exploration licenses for an aggregate 11,456 hectares. These exploration licenses are located in the City of Tucumã, State of Pará, Brazil. One of the exploration licenses expires in May 2012 and five expire in April 2013.

TRANSACTIONS WITH KENSINGTON

On August 3, 2011, the Company entered into a letter of intent (the "LOI") with Kensington Court Ventures Inc. ("Kensington"), a capital pool company listed on the TSX Venture Exchange (the "Exchange"), pursuant to which Kensington agreed to acquire all of the issued and outstanding shares of Stronghold's Brazilian subsidiary, Stronghold Brasil Mineração Ltda ("Stronghold Brazil") in exchange for \$25,000 cash, the issuance to Stronghold of 16,300,000 common shares of Kensington

("Shares"), plus the grant to Stronghold of a 2% net smelter returns royalty (the "NSR Royalty") on production from the Tucumã Property (the "Transaction"). Kensington has the right to purchase the NSR Royalty from the Company for \$1,500,000, which right is exercisable by Kensington at any time. The Shares are considered as "value securities" in accordance with the policies of the Exchange and deposited in escrow with 10% of the shares released immediately and 15% releasable every six months for the balance of the 36 month escrow term.

On January 20, 2012, Kensington agreed to advance to the Company refundable deposits in the aggregate amount of up to \$75,000 payable in installments which are not refundable if the Transaction is completed.

Effective April 30, 2012, the Company had completed the sale of its Brazilian subsidiary Stronghold Brasil Mineração Ltda to Kensington Court Ventures Inc. ("Kensington"). The transaction constitutes Kensington's "Qualifying Transaction" as that term was defined in the policies of the Exchange. The Company has also received \$34,467 from Kensington for reimbursement of the cost of a technical report relating to the Tucumã Property.

Effective April 30, 2012 and as of August 31, 2012, the Company had 58.09% of all the outstanding common shares of Kensington and consequently has the effective control of Kensington.

Chile

The Combarbala property is located in the IV Region of Chile, 35 km NE of the city of Illapel. On August 31, 2009, the Company entered into a Royalty Agreement with BHP Billiton whereby the Company acquired a 100% undivided interest in 33 mineral claims in the Combarbala Property by agreeing to pay BHP Billiton a 2% net smelter return royalty in any future production. The transfer of the claims was completed in October 2009. On November 20, 2009, the title transfer from BHP Billiton to the Company for the claims forming the Combarbala property was completed when the public deed of transfer was executed by the Government of Chile.

During the year ended August 31, 2012, the Company had abandoned in this property and written off all the costs incurred in this property.

Canada

The Seneca Property is a poly-metallic VMS exploration project and belongs 100% to the Company. It consists of 8 mineral claims covering approximately 4,071 hectares located approximately 120 km east of Vancouver and 35 km northeast of Mission in the New Westminister Mining Division, British Columbia. The claims are subject to a Net Smelter Return Royalty of 1% which the Company has the option to purchase for \$250,000 at any time before the property is put into commercial production. As part of the project, the Company controls two more mineral claims situated in the New Westminister Mining Division, B.C The claims are subject to a 2% Net Smelter Royalty, which can be purchased by the Company for \$1.25 million at any time.

During the year ended August 31, 2009, the Company had written-off all the costs incurred in this property. The Company currently does not have any exploration program planned on this property.

OPERATIONS AND EXPENDITURES

Eagle Mountain Property, Guyana

The Company's current focus is to accelerate the development of this property. The project consists of an area of approximately 5,050 hectares (12,480 acres) in central Guyana, 200 kilometers

southwest of the capital Georgetown and 45 kilometers from the historical Omai gold mine, which was in production from 1993 to 2005.

In November 2010, the Company filed an NI 43-101 reporting an Inferred Mineral Resources of 18Mt @ 1.27 g/t gold, containing 733,500 ounces of gold (using a 0.5 g/t Au cut-off grade). More than 250,000 ounces of contained gold in this inferred mineral resource is hosted by oxide material (sapolite). The Project is on track to produce a preliminary economic assessment report or scoping study. The primary goal at Eagle Mountain is to enhance this NI43-101 compliant mineral resource with advanced exploration drilling and mineral resource definition drilling.

On March 23, 2011, the Company announced a 15,000 meters drilling program with the following three objectives:

- Expand the Inferred mineral resource by in-fill drilling and step-out drilling along and across strike in three directions.
- Confirm historic records of gold mineralized horizons by twinning older historic holes. With success, that gold mineralization can be brought into the mineral resource estimate.
- Upgrade the Inferred resources to Indicated resources with closely spaced in-fill drilling.

The program started in mid-April 2011 and is expected to take 6 to 8 months to complete. One drilling contract with Orbit Garant Drilling Services Inc. of Quebec, Canada has already been executed. As the program ramps up, the Company expects a second man-portable diamond rig to be mobilized to the site to allow access to the proposed drill locations in higher ground terrain.

To date, 73 drill holes totalling 10,338 meters had been completed. Analytical results from 46 complete holes had been received to date from ACME Analytical Laboratories Ltd. and that most holes have gold intersections of favourable grade that correspond well with historical data from the project. The Company intends to continue its infill and step-out drilling program in order to increase the confidence of the mineral resource and to further expand the know mineralized zone.

On November 21, 2012, the Company announced the results of an updated mineral resource estimate for its Eagle Mountain Gold project ("Project") in Guyana, South America. The resource estimate has been completed in accordance with Canadian Securities Administration National Instrument 43-101 ("NI 43-101") and CIM Standards on Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves by A.C.A. Howe International Limited of Toronto, Ontario, Canada ("ACA Howe") using the Company's 2011/2012 diamond drilling results, the historical diamond drilling results from IAMGOLD, as well as other current and historical geological data that met QA/QC requirements. In November 2010, the Company announced an Inferred Resource estimate, using a block cut-off grade of 0.5 g/t gold of 17.96 million tonnes with an average gold grade of 1.27 g/tonne gold for 733,500 ounces of gold.

The updated classified mineral resource estimate of the Eagle Mountain gold deposit at 0.5 g/t Au cut-off consists of:

- Indicated resource of 3,921,000 tonnes, averaging 1.49 grams per tonne Au for 188,000 ounces.
- Inferred resource of 20,635,000 tonnes, averaging 1.19 grams per tonne Au for 792,000 ounces.

Therefore, the November 2012 updated resource estimate represents approximately a 34% increase from the November 2010 resource outline. The updated resource estimate is based on a comprehensive database consisting of 281 drill holes, totaling 35,993 meters and 21,235 assay samples, which were drilled by British Anaconda Mining, the Government of Guyana, Golden Star Resources, Cambior, IAMGOLD and Eagle Mountain. The database also contains 14,624 assays from 4,873 augers, 124 continuous channel sample segments from nine adits, and 1,318 assays

from 199 continuous channel sample segments from trench localities. Mineral resource estimation was carried out using only the diamond drill and trench sample results.

The November 2012 classified NI 43-101 updated resource estimate for gold at Eagle Mountain is summarized by material zone in the following table:

November 2012 Updated Eagle Mountain Resource Estimate by Category, Zone and Type Material (using Block Model Cut-off 0.5g/t Au)							
Category	Zone	Material	Density (t/m3)	Volume (m3)	Tonnes	Au_g/t	Ounces
Indicated	Zion	Saprolite	1.60	538,000	860,000	1.42	39,000
		Fresh	2.60	436,000	1,134,000	1.40	51,000
		Total	2.03	974,000	1,994,000	1.41	90,000
	Kilroy	Saprolite	1.60	456,000	730,000	1.49	35,000
		Fresh	2.60	461,000	1,197,000	1.63	63,000
		Total	2.08	917,000	1,927,000	1.58	98,000
	All	Saprolite	1.60	994,000	1,590,000	1.45	74,000
		Fresh	2.60	897,000	2,331,000	1.52	114,000
		Total	2.05	1,890,000	3,921,000	1.49	188,000
Inferred	Zion	Saprolite	1.60	2,671,000	4,274,000	1.31	180,000
		Fresh	2.60	3,035,000	7,891,000	1.13	286,000
		Total	2.16	5,706,000	12,165,000	1.19	466,000
	Kilroy	Saprolite	1.60	1,831,000	2,929,000	1.33	126,000
		Fresh	2.60	2,132,000	5,542,000	1.12	200,000
		Total	2.25	3,962,000	8,471,000	1.20	326,000
	All	Saprolite	1.60	4,502,000	7,202,000	1.32	306,000
		Fresh	2.60	5,167,000	13,433,000	1.13	486,000
		Total	2.19	9,668,000	20,635,000	1.19	792,000

Notes for mineral resource estimate:

1. A block cut-off value of 0.5 g/t Au was applied to all resource blocks.
2. Tonnes and ounces have been rounded to reflect the relative accuracy of the mineral resource estimate; therefore numbers may not total correctly.
3. A notional cut-off gold grade for mineralized domain interpretation was 0.2 g/tonne Au.
4. A top cut of 20 g/tonne Au was applied to raw assay values.
5. Composited Diamond drill hole and trench samples are assigned to 30 layered and fault bound resource domains that encompass the Zion and Kilroy portions of the deposit.
6. Corresponding domain blocks and composite samples are projected to a horizontal plane for grade estimation by Ordinary Kriging.
7. The block model is constrained by topography and saprolite and fresh weathering domains with bulk density values of 1.6 t/m3 and 2.6 t/m3 respectively were defined.
8. Mineral Resource tonnes quoted are not diluted.
9. Mineral resources are not mineral reserves and by definition do not demonstrate economic viability. This mineral resource estimate includes inferred mineral resources that are normally considered too speculative geologically to have economic considerations applied to them that would enable them to be categorized as mineral reserves. There is also no certainty that these inferred mineral resources will be converted to the measured and indicated resource categories through further drilling, or into mineral reserves, once economic considerations are applied.
10. This estimate of mineral resources may be materially affected by environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, socio-political, marketing or other relevant issues.

ACA Howe considers that gold mineralization identified at Eagle Mountain may be amenable to open-pit extraction and that mineral resources are reported at an appropriate cut-off grade taking

into account possible extraction scenarios and processing recoveries. The Eagle Mountain deposit is located within the Eagle Mountain Prospecting License (“EMPL”) which covers an area of 50.50 km² (5050ha) in west-central Guyana, South America approximately 200 kilometres south-southwest of Guyana’s capital, Georgetown. The property can be accessed by road from Georgetown in five to six hours, or by air to the Mahdia airstrip located five km north of the property.

Most of the gold mineralization at Eagle Mountain is related to low-angle (20-40°), southwest dipping brittle-ductile composite shear zones hosted in a composite granodiorite pluton that intrudes all older rocks. The updated geological model refers to two distinct mineralized shear zones that host the current mineral resource estimate: the Zion and Kilroy zones. Each zone can be distinguished based on visual geological and mineralogical characteristics. Very fine-grained gold is associated with chloritic ±pyritic micro-fractures and in some cases within or adjacent to discrete chlorite – pyrite ±potassic altered mylonitic shear zones. The mineral resource is located in both oxidized rock (referred to as “saproelite”) and non-oxidised rock (referred to as “fresh” or “hard rock”).

Qualified person

The resource estimate was prepared by Leon McGarry, B.Sc., Geologist, ACA Howe and supervised by Ian Trinder, M.Sc., P.Geo., Senior Geologist, ACA Howe. Technical information related to the 2012 Eagle Mountain Resource Estimate contained in this news release has been reviewed and approved by Mr. Trinder, who is an independent Qualified Person as defined by NI 43-101, with the ability and authority to verify the authenticity and validity of this data.

The effective date of this mineral resource estimate is November 21, 2012 and a NI 43-101 technical report will be filed at SEDAR within 45 days from this date.

The drilling plan as well as the analytical results is available to be viewed at the Company's corporate web site at www.eaglemountaingoldcorp.com

The following table summarizes all the costs incurred in the mineral properties during the years:

	Seneca Canada	Combarbala Chile	Tucumã Brazil	Eagle Mountain Guyana	Mowasi Guyana	Total
Balance, August 31, 2011	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 2,056,177	\$ 3,837,658	\$ 8,620	\$ 5,902,457
Additions - acquisition costs						
Acquisition – cash	-	-	-	100,000	128,334	228,334
Option payments – shares	-	-	-	1,906,894	68,750	1,975,644
Other acquisition expenses	-	-	-	19,200	10,256	29,456
Written off	(1)	(1)	-	-	-	(2)
Total acquisition costs for year	(1)	(1)	-	2,026,094	207,340	2,233,432
Additions-deferred exploration costs						
Analytical	-	-	35,461	123,137	-	158,598
Depreciation	-	-	-	20,877	-	20,877
Drilling	-	-	53,876	625,158	-	679,034
Equipment rental	-	-	10,203	170,520	-	180,723
Geological surveys, consulting and report	-	-	94,205	-	-	94,205
Labour	-	-	-	540,594	-	540,594
Legal	-	24,675	-	-	-	24,675
Repairs and maintenance	-	-	-	50,561	-	50,561
Travel, supplies and field expenses	-	12,677	106,355	125,343	-	244,375
Others	-	5,445	102,764	338,949	-	447,158
Taxes	-	-	228,555	-	-	228,555
Total deferred exploration costs for year	-	42,797	631,419	1,995,139	-	2,669,355
Impairment	-	(42,797)	(2,620,503)	-	-	(2,663,300)
Balance, August 31, 2012	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 67,093	\$ 7,858,891	\$ 215,960	\$ 8,141,944

SELECTED ANNUAL INFORMATION

Year ended	Revenue (\$)	Operating Loss (\$)	Basic & Fully Diluted Loss per Share (\$)	Total Assets (\$)	Long-term Liabilities (\$)	Cash Dividend (\$)
2012	-	5,436,710	0.39	8,836,320	-	-
2011	-	1,649,038	0.15	9,632,034	-	-
2010	-	2,412,473	0.29	2,275,527	-	-

SUMMARY OF QUARTERLY RESULTS

	Three Months Ended							
	31-Aug 2012	31-May 2012	29-Feb 2012	30-Nov 2011	31-Aug 2011	31-May 2011	28-Feb 2010	30-Nov 2010
Accounting principle used	IFRS	IFRS	IFRS	IFRS	IFRS	IFRS	IFRS	IFRS
Income Statement Data								
Total revenue	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Income (loss)	\$ (3,121,978)	\$ (808,052)	\$ (783,306)	\$ (723,373)	\$ (494,244)	\$ (363,788)	\$ (505,703)	\$ (285,303)
Net loss per share	\$ (0.39)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.03)

As the Company is still in the exploration and development stage, the Company will continue to incur losses in the near term until the Company accomplishes commercial production and profitable operations.

RESULT OF OPERATIONS

Quarter Ended August 31, 2012

The Company recorded a quarterly loss of \$3,121,979 compared to a loss of \$494,244 for the same quarter of last year. The increase in loss of \$2,627,735 was primarily due to consolidating Kensington's expenses.

- (1) Salaries, benefits and director fees increased by \$103,667 due to increased remuneration to directors, consultants and employees;
- (2) Share-based payments increased by \$134,069 due to no stock options granted and vested during the quarter;
- (3) Impairment of mineral properties increased by \$2,594,720 mainly due to lower value for the properties in Brazil;
- (4) Interest expenses and finance fees increased by \$155,225 was due to interest paid on loans to the Company;
- (5) Credit from reversal of fair value of marketable securities in the amount of \$652,000 as a result of the change in accounting treatment for the investment in a subsidiary; and
- (6) Reversal of gain in sale of mineral property in the amount of \$227,173 as a result of the change in accounting treatment for the investment in a subsidiary.

Year Ended August 31, 2012

The Company recorded a loss of \$5,436,709 compared to a loss of \$1,649,038 of last year. The increase in loss of \$3,787,673 was primarily due to consolidating Kensington's expenses since the acquisition.

- (1) Management and consulting fees increased by \$101,444 due to acquisition of Kensington during the year;
- (2) Office and miscellaneous expenses increased by \$265,050 due to increase in corporate activities;
- (3) Professional fees increased by \$103,752 due to the due diligence work for the Kensington acquisition;
- (4) Salaries and benefits increased by \$247,779 due to increased remuneration to directors, consultants and employees;
- (5) Share-based payments increased by \$345,740 due to stock options granted and vested during the year;
- (6) Travel and promotion increased by \$136,312 due to increased number of business trips;
- (7) Impairment of mineral properties increased by \$2,472,244 relating to properties in Brazil;
- (8) Interest expenses and finance fees increased by \$177,438 was due to interest paid on loans to the Company; and

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Company had a working capital deficit of \$1,555,010 as of August 31, 2012, compared to working capital of \$2,604,341 as at August 31, 2011. The decrease in working capital of \$4,159,351 was attributable mainly to funds used in operating activities of \$1,205,446, expenditure in mineral properties of \$3,196,007 and purchase of equipment of \$97,867. As the Company is still in exploration stage, the Company does not generate any revenue. The Company is currently exploring opportunities to sell majority portion of the Kensington shares for the purpose of improving its working capital.

The continued operations of the Company are dependant upon its ability to raise adequate financing in the future for its exploration projects and to cover general and administrative expenses.

As of August 31, 2012, the Company had \$31,482 (August 31, 2011 - \$3,172,856) in cash and cash equivalents. The Company had obtained loans totalling \$920,000 and repaid \$270,103 during the year ended August 31, 2012. The loans were fully repaid subsequent to August 31, 2012.

OFF BALANCE SHEET TRANSACTIONS

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements.

LITIGATION

A local Brazilian individual has initiated an action against the Company and two more entities under labour laws in Brazil. The Company's management, in consultation with legal counsel attending the matter, has settled the matter during the year in an expense of \$18,180.

COMMITMENTS

The Company has entered into two lease agreements with future minimum lease payments relating to office premise as follows:-

2013	\$ 147,420
2014	178,500
2015	184,716
2016	153,930
	<u>\$ 664,566</u>

As a condition of the office premises lease agreements, the Company placed a deposit of \$118,062 as of August 31, 2012 to be applied against future years.

CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Implementation of International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS")

In 2008, the Canadian Accounting Standards Board ("AcSB") confirmed that the transition to IFRS as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board, from Canadian GAAP will be effective for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2011 for publicly accountable enterprises. Accordingly, the Company has commenced reporting on an IFRS basis in the current condensed consolidated interim financial statements. The transition date, September 1, 2010, required the restatement for comparative purposes of amounts reported by the Company for the year ended August 31, 2011.

The August 31, 2012 consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with IFRS 1, First-time Adoption of IFRS (IFRS 1). Subject to available elections and exemptions under IFRS 1, the Company has applied the same accounting policies in its opening IFRS balance sheet as at September 1, 2010 and throughout all periods presented, as if the policies had always been in effect. The impact of the changes to IFRS is detailed in note 18 to the consolidated financial statements.

Accounting standards issued but not yet applied

IFRS 9, Financial Instruments

The standard was issued in November 2009, and amended in October 2010, as the first step to replace IAS 29: Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement. IFRS 9 retains but simplifies, the mixed measurement model and establishes two primary measurement categories for financial assets: amortized costs and fair value. The basis of classification depends on an entity's business model and the contractual cash flow of the financial asset. Classification is made at the time the financial asset is initially recognized, namely when the entity becomes a party to the contractual provisions of the instrument.

IFRS 9 also amends some of the requirements of IFRS 7: Financial Instruments: Disclosures, including added disclosures about investments in equity instruments measured at fair value in other comprehensive income/loss and guidance on financial liabilities and de-recognition of financial instruments. The effective date for the Company of IFRS 9 is July 1, 2015, with early adoption permitted. The Company has not yet assessed the impact of this standard.

IFRS 10, Consolidated financial statements

The standard provides additional guidance to assist the determination of control and whether an entity should be included within the consolidated financial statements of the parent company. This new standard is applicable for periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, with early application permitted. The Company has not yet assessed the impact of this standard.

IFRS 11, Joint arrangements

The standard provides for accounting of joint arrangements by focusing on the rights and obligations of the arrangement, rather than its legal form. The standard also eliminates the option to account for jointly controlled entities using the proportionate consolidation method. The new standard is effective for periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, with early application permitted. The Company has not yet assessed the impact of this standard.

IFRS 12, Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities

The standard was issued in May 2011 to create a comprehensive disclosure standard to address the requirements for subsidiaries, joint arrangements and associates including the reporting entity's involvement with other entities. It also includes the requirements for unconsolidated structured entities (i.e. special purpose entities). IFRS 12 is effective commencing July 1, 2013, with early adoption permitted.

IFRS 13, Fair value measurement

The standard sets out in a single IFRS a framework for measurement of fair value and related disclosures. The definition of fair value emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement. This new standard is applicable for periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, with early application permitted. The Company has not yet assessed the impact of this standard.

IAS 28, Investments in associates

IAS 28 was amended in 2011 which prescribes the accounting for investments in associates and sets out the requirements for the application of the equity method when accounting for investments in associates and joint ventures. The standard is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013, with early application permitted, and is not expected to significantly impact the Company.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

During the years ended August 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company had the following related party transactions:

a) Key management personal compensation

	2012	2011
Short-term employee benefits	\$ 421,796	\$ 279,987
Share-based payments	267,433	-
	<u>\$ 689,229</u>	<u>\$ 279,987</u>

Key management personnel were not paid post-retirement benefits, termination benefits or other long-term benefits during the year ended August 31, 2012 or August 31, 2011.

- b) During the year ended August 31, 2012, \$14,000 was paid for administration expenses, and \$7,000 was paid for accounting expenses to a related company. At August 31, 2012 \$nil was payable to this company (August 31, 2011 - \$nil).
- c) As of August 31, 2012, \$44,956 (August 31, 2011 - \$4,211) was due to a company controlled by an officer.

- d) As of August 31, 2012, \$65,500 (August 31, 2011 - \$300; September 1, 2010 - \$nil) was due to directors and companies controlled by directors; and \$nil was due from a director for common shares subscribed (August 31, 2011 - \$9,900).

The amounts due to and from related parties are non-interest-bearing, unsecured and are without fixed terms of repayment.

On January 2, 2008 the Company entered into an Employment Agreement with Ioannis (Yannis) Tsitos whereby Mr. Tsitos agreed to act as the President and CEO of the Company. In consideration, the Company agreed to pay Mr. Tsitos \$120,000 per year. In addition, Mr. Tsitos is entitled to receive \$120,000 if Mr. Tsitos' employment is terminated without just cause. Mr. Tsitos is entitled to receive \$360,000 if Mr. Tsitos' employment is terminated without just cause in a twelve month period following the date of any change of control. If Mr. Tsitos terminates his employment with the Company at any time within twelve months of a change of control, he would be entitled to a lump sum payment of \$120,000. Effective July 1, 2011, the directors have agreed to pay Mr. Tsitos \$180,000 per year.

On April 16, 2011 the Company entered into a Consultancy and Advisory Agreement with Hampson Equities Ltd. ("HEL") a private company controlled by Mr. Geoffrey Hampson, a director of the Company, whereby HEL will provide financing and business development services to the Company. In consideration, the Company agreed to pay HEL \$10,000 per month for a period of six months effective May 1, 2011. On October 10, 2011, the agreement had been extended to end on April 15, 2012.

FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Company classifies its cash and cash equivalents as held-for-trading; and accounts payable, loans payable and due to related parties as other financial liabilities. Instruments classified as held-for-trading are measured at fair value with realized gains and losses recognized in profit or loss.

The Company's risk exposure and the impact on the Company's financial instruments are summarized below.

(a) Fair value

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and accounts payable approximate their fair values due to the short-term nature of these financial instruments.

(b) Credit risk

Credit risk is the risk that a counterparty to a financial instrument fails to meet its financial obligations. The Company's exposure to credit risk is principally its cash and cash equivalents. The Company mitigates this risk by placing its cash and cash equivalents in major Canadian banks and subsidiaries of Canadian banks located in Guyana and Chile. The Company's exposure to credit risk is not considered significant.

(c) Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will encounter difficulty in meeting its financial obligations as they become due. The Company manages its liquidity risk by forecasting cash flows required by operations and anticipated investing and financing activities. At August 31, 2012, the Company had accounts payable totalling \$1,256,405 (August 31, 2011 - \$792,572; September 1, 2010 - \$117,731), due within three months of year-end, amounts due to related parties of \$78,613 (August 31, 2011 - \$32,580; September 1, 2010 - \$8,891), with no stated terms of repayment, loans payable of \$320,000 repayable within the next three months (August 31, 2011 - \$Nil; September 1, 2010 - \$Nil), and loans

payable of \$350,000 repayable within the next six months (August 31, 2011 - \$Nil; September 1, 2010 - \$Nil).

(d) Market risk

Market risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate due to changes in market prices. Market risk is comprised of three types of risk: interest rate risk, foreign currency risk and other price risk.

(i) Interest rate risk

The Company's cash and cash equivalents consists of cash held in bank accounts and a guaranteed investment certificate ("GIC") that earns interest at variable interest rates. Due to the short-term nature of these financial instruments, fluctuations in interest rates will not have a significant impact on the fair value or future cash flows of the cash and cash equivalents of the Company.

(ii) Foreign currency risk

The Company is exposed to foreign currency fluctuations to the extent financial instruments are not denominated in Canadian dollars. The Company has operations in Chile, Brazil and Guyana. As at August 31, 2012, August 31, 2011 and September 1, 2010, the Company had monetary net assets and net liabilities in foreign currency (expressed in Canadian dollars) as follows:

	2012		2011		2010	
	Monetary Net Assets	Monetary Net Liabilities	Monetary Net Assets	Monetary Net Liabilities	Monetary Net Assets	Monetary Net Liabilities
Chilean pesos	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,069	\$ -	\$ 19,104	\$ -
Guyana dollars	166,158	677	275,058	244,532	-	-
Brazil real	-	-	-	-	-	-
US dollars	-	-	5,654	-	-	-
	\$ 166,158	\$ 677	\$ 286,781	\$ 244,532	\$ 19,104	\$ -

Based on the above net foreign currency exposure as at August 31, 2012, a 10% increase (decrease) in the value of the foreign currencies against the Canadian dollar would increase or decrease the Company's net loss and comprehensive loss by \$16,548 for the year ended August 31, 2012 (2011 - \$4,225). The Company has not entered into any foreign currency contracts to mitigate this risk.

(iii) Other price risk

The Company is not exposed to significant other price risk.

PERSONNEL

Directors and Officers:

Ioannis (Yannis) Tsitos, Director, President and Chief Executive Officer
 Christopher G. Hampson, Chairman and Director
 Demetreus (Jim) Heras, Chairman Emeritus and Director
 Art Freeze, Director
 Tim Crowhurst, Director (Appointed August 31, 2012)
 Geoff Watson, Director (Appointed September 13, 2012)

Dr. Luiz Bizzi, Director
Albert Wu, Chief Financial Officer

DISCLOSURE OF OUTSTANDING SHARE DATA

Information as of December 27, 2012:

Common shares issued and outstanding	-	33,847,280
Stock options	-	1,220,000
Warrants	-	19,638,250

INVESTOR RELATIONS ACTIVITIES

The Company entered into an agreement with Black Swan Management ("Black Swan") which will provide investor relations services to the Company. In consideration for the services, the Company has agreed to pay Black Swan a fee of \$3,500 per month.

The Company entered into an agreement with First Canadian Capital Corp. which will provide investor relation services to the Company. In consideration for the services, the Company has agreed to pay First Canadian Capital a fee of \$6,000 per month. As of March 31, 2012, the Company has terminated this agreement.

On September 21, 2012, the Company announced that it has engaged Primoris Group Inc. ("Primoris Group") of Toronto, Ontario to provide media relations and investor relations (IR) services to the Company. As such, Primoris Group will provide the Company with a full range of media relations services in coordinating editorial coverage through print, radio and TV, and through online media outlets. Primoris Group will also provide a full range of IR services including investor communications, shareholder management, and writing and editing services. Under the terms of the Company's agreement with Primoris Group, which commenced September 20, 2012 for a one-year period, Primoris Group will execute a comprehensive communications program to support the Company's growth strategy, for which it will be paid a fee of \$8,000.00 per month. Primoris Group has also been granted options to purchase 300,000 common shares exercisable for a period of five years from the date of issuance (the "Options"). The Options will vest over a one-year period.

The Agreement between Eagle Mountain and Primoris Group is renewable and can be terminated after three months by either party with 30 days written notice. The agreement between Eagle Mountain and Primoris Group and the grant of the Options are subject to regulatory approval. Primoris Group does not currently have any interest, directly or indirectly, in Eagle Mountain or its securities, or any right or intent to acquire such an interest.

RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES

Resource Exploration and Development is generally a Speculative Business

Resource exploration and development is a speculative business and involves a high degree of risk, including, among other things, unprofitable efforts resulting not only from the failure to discover mineral deposits but from finding mineral deposits which, though present, are insufficient in size to return a profit from production. The marketability of natural resources that may be acquired or discovered by the Company will be affected by numerous factors beyond the control of the Company. These factors include market fluctuations, the proximity and capacity of natural resource markets, government regulations, including regulations relating to prices, taxes, royalties, land use, importing and exporting of minerals and environmental protection. The exact effect of these factors cannot be accurately predicted, but the combination of these factors may result in the Company not

receiving an adequate return on invested capital. There are no known reserves, on the Company's property. The vast majority of exploration projects do not result in the discovery of commercially mineable deposits of ore. Substantial expenditures are required to establish ore reserves through drilling and metallurgical and other testing techniques, determine metal content and metallurgical recovery processes to extract metal from the ore, and construct, renovate or expand mining and processing facilities. No assurance can be given that any level of recovery of ore reserves will be realized or that any identified mineral deposit, even it is established to contain an estimated resource, will ever qualify as a commercial mineable ore body which can be legally and economically exploited.

Insufficient Financial Resources/Share Price Volatility

The Company does not have sufficient financial resources to undertake all of its planned acquisition, exploration and development programs in the next twelve months and will need to raise additional funding. In the future, the Company's ability to continue its exploration, assessment, and development activities depends in primarily on the Company's ability to commence operations and generate revenues or to obtain financing through joint ventures, debt financing, equity financing, production sharing arrangements, sale of assets or some combination of these or other means. There can be no assurance that any such arrangements will be concluded and the associated funding obtained.

Recent market events and conditions, including disruptions in the Canadian, United States and international credit markets and other financial systems and the deterioration of the Canadian, United States and global economic conditions, could, among other things, impede access to capital or increase the cost of capital, which would have an adverse effect on the Company's ability to fund its working capital and other capital requirements.

In the past year, worldwide securities markets, particularly those in the United States and Canada, have experienced a high level of price and volume volatility, and the market price of securities of many companies, particularly those considered exploration or development stage companies, have experienced unprecedented declines in price which have not necessarily been related to the operating performance, underlying asset values or prospects of such companies. Most significantly, the share prices of junior natural resource companies have experienced an unprecedented decline in value and there has been a significant decline in the number of buyers willing to purchase such securities. In addition, significantly higher redemptions by holders of mutual funds has forced many of such funds (including those holding the Company's securities) to sell such securities at any price.

Mining Industry is Intensely Competitive

The Company's business of the acquisition, exploration and development of mineral properties is intensely competitive. The Company may be at a competitive disadvantage in acquiring additional mining properties because it must compete with other individuals and companies, many of which have greater financial resources, operational experience and technical capabilities than the Company. The Company may also encounter increasing competition from other mining companies in efforts to hire experienced mining professionals. Increased competition could adversely affect the Company's ability to attract necessary capital funding or acquire suitable producing properties or prospects for mineral exploration in the future.

Permits and Licenses

The operations of the Company will require licenses and permits from various governmental authorities. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain all necessary licenses and permits that may be required to carry out exploration, development and mining operations at its projects, on reasonable terms or at all. Delays or a failure to obtain such licenses and permits or a failure to comply with the terms of any such licenses and permits that the Company does obtain could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Government Regulation

Any exploration, development or mining operations carried on by the Company will be subject to government legislation, policies and controls relating to prospecting, development, production, environmental protection, mining taxes and labour standards. In addition, the profitability of any mining prospect is affected by the market for precious and/or base metals which is influenced by many factors including changing production costs, the supply and demand for metals, the rate of inflation, the inventory of metal producing corporations, the political environment and changes in international investment patterns.

Currency Fluctuations

The Company presently maintains its accounts in Canadian dollars. Due to the nature of its operations in such countries, the Company also maintains accounts in Chilean pesos. The Company's operations in Chile and Brazil and its proposed exploration expenditures in such countries are denominated in either local currencies or U.S. dollars, making it subject to foreign currency fluctuations. Such fluctuations are out of its control and may materially adversely affect the Company's financial position and results.

Surface Rights and Access

Although the Company acquires the rights to some or all of the minerals in the ground subject to the tenures that it acquires, or has a right to acquire, in most cases it does not thereby acquire any rights to, or ownership of, the surface to the areas covered by its mineral tenures. In such cases, applicable mining laws usually provide for rights of access to the surface for the purpose of carrying on mining activities, however, the enforcement of such rights can be costly and time consuming. In areas where there are no existing surface rights holders, this does not usually cause a problem, as there are no impediments to surface access. However, in areas where there are local populations or land owners (as with many of the Company's properties), it is necessary, as a practical matter, to negotiate surface access. There can be no guarantee that, despite having the right at law to access the surface and carry on mining activities, the Company will be able to negotiate a satisfactory agreement with any such existing landowners/occupiers for such access, and therefore it may be unable to carry out mining activities. In addition, in circumstances where such access is denied, or no agreement can be reached, the Company may need to rely on the assistance of local officials or the courts in such jurisdiction. The Company has not yet been successful in negotiating any formal surface access agreements.

Exploration and Mining Risks

Fires, power outages, labour disruptions, flooding, explosions, cave-ins, land slides and the inability to obtain suitable or adequate machinery, equipment or labour are other risks involved in the operation of mines and the conduct of exploration programs. Substantial expenditures are required to establish reserves through drilling, to develop metallurgical processes, to develop the mining and processing facilities and infrastructure at any site chosen for mining. Although substantial benefits may be derived from the discovery of a major mineralized deposit, no assurance can be given that minerals will be discovered in sufficient quantities to justify commercial operations or that funds required for development can be obtained on a timely basis. The economics of developing mineral properties is affected by many factors including the cost of operations, variations of the grade of ore mined, fluctuations in the price of gold or other minerals produced, costs of processing equipment and such other factors as government regulations, including regulations relating to royalties, allowable production, importing and exporting of minerals and environmental protection. In addition, the grade of mineralization ultimately mined may differ from that indicated by drilling results and such differences could be material. Short term factors, such as the need for orderly development of ore bodies or the processing of new or different grades, may have an adverse effect on mining operations and on the results of operations. There can be no assurance that

minerals recovered in small scale laboratory tests will be duplicated in large scale tests under on-site conditions or in production scale operations. Material changes in geological resources, grades, stripping ratios or recovery rates may affect the economic viability of projects.

Fluctuation of Metal Prices

Even if commercial quantities of mineral deposits are discovered by the Company, there is no guarantee that a profitable market will exist for the sale of the metals produced. Factors beyond the control of the Company may affect the marketability of any substances discovered. The prices of various metals have experienced significant movement over short periods of time, and are affected by numerous factors beyond the control of the Company, including international economic and political trends, expectations of inflation, currency exchange fluctuations, interest rates and global or regional consumption patterns, speculative activities and increased production due to improved mining and production methods. The supply of and demand for metals are affected by various factors, including political events, economic conditions and production costs in major producing regions. There can be no assurance that the price of any commodities will be such that any of the properties in which the Company has, or has the right to acquire, an interest may be mined at a profit.

Regulatory Requirements

The activities of the Company are subject to extensive regulations governing various matters, including environmental protection, management and use of toxic substances and explosives, management of natural resources, exploration, development of mines, exports, price controls, taxation, regulations concerning business dealings with indigenous peoples, labour standards on occupational health and safety, including mine safety, and historic and cultural preservation. Failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations may result in civil or criminal fines or penalties, enforcement actions thereunder, including orders issued by regulatory or judicial authorities causing operations to cease or be curtailed, and may include corrective measures requiring capital expenditures, installation of additional equipment, or remedial actions, any of which could result in the Company incurring significant expenditures. The Company may also be required to compensate those suffering loss or damage by reason of a breach of such laws, regulations or permitting requirements. It is also possible that future laws and regulations, or more stringent enforcement of current laws and regulations by governmental authorities, could cause additional expense, capital expenditures, restrictions on or suspension of the Company's operations and delays in the exploration and development of the Company's properties.

Title Matters

Although the Company has taken steps to verify the title to the mineral properties in which it has or has a right to acquire an interest in accordance with industry standards for the current stage of exploration of such properties, these procedures do not guarantee title (whether of the Company or of any underlying vendor(s) from whom the Company may be acquiring its interest). Title to mineral properties may be subject to unregistered prior agreements or transfers, and may also be affected by undetected defects or the rights of indigenous peoples. The Company has investigated title to all of its mineral properties and, to the best of its knowledge, title to all of its properties for which titles have been issued are in good standing. The process of acquiring exploration concessions involves an application process (which can be quite lengthy) and, until title to an exploration concession is actually granted, there can be no assurance that an exploration concession which has been applied for will be granted (especially as it is not always possible to determine if there are prior applications over the same ground).

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

Critical accounting estimates were used in the preparation of the financial statements. These estimates involve considerable judgment and are, or could be, affected by significant factors that are out of the Company's control.

The Company's recorded value of the Company's mineral properties is in all cases, based on historical costs that are to be recovered in the future. The Company's recoverability evaluation is based on market conditions for minerals, underlying mineral resources associated with the properties and future costs that may be required for ultimate realization through mining operations or by sale. The Company is in an industry that is exposed to a number of risks and uncertainties, including exploration risk, development risk, commodity price risk, operating risk, ownership and political risk, funding and currency risk as well as environmental risk. The Company's financial statements have been prepared with these risks in mind. All of the assumptions set out herein are potentially subject to significant change and out of the Company's control. These changes are not determinable at this time.

EVENTS SUBSEQUENT TO AUGUST 31, 2012

- (a) On September 10, 2012, the Company completed the first tranche of financing for total gross proceeds of \$322,750 by the issuance of 2,390,740 units at a price of \$0.135 per unit. Each unit consists of one common share and one share purchase warrant. Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase one common share at a price of \$0.18 until September 10, 2017. The Company paid a finder's fee of \$25,820 to Weiser Capital Limited.
- (b) On September 21, 2012, the Company entered into an agreement with Primoris Group Inc. ("Primoris Group") to provide media relations and investor relations services to the Company for a one-year period. Under the terms of the agreement, Primoris Group will execute a comprehensive communications program to support the Company's growth strategy, for which it will be paid a fee of \$8,000 per month. Primoris Group has also been granted options to purchase 300,000 common shares exercisable for a period of five years, which vest in one year.

The agreement between the Company and Primoris Group is renewable and can be terminated after three months by either party with 30 days' written notice. The agreement is subject to regulatory approval.

- (c) On October 15, 2012, the Company completed the second and final tranche financing in the amount of \$1,702,250 by the issuance of 12,609,260 units at a price of \$0.135 per unit. Each unit consists of one common share and one share purchase warrant. Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase one additional common share at a price of \$0.18 until October 15, 2017. The Company paid a finder's fee of \$136,180 to Weiser Capital Limited.
- (d) On November 27, 2012, the Company closed a non-brokered private placement for total gross proceeds of \$541,500 by the issuance of 3,800,000 units at a price of \$0.1425 per unit. Each unit consists of one common share and one transferable share purchase warrant. Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase one common share at a price of \$0.19 for a period of five years. No finder's fees were paid.